Human papilloma virus vaccines awareness among female medical and dental undergraduate students
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ABSTRACT

Background: Human papilloma virus (HPV) infection is the major risk factor for cervical cancer. Pap smear is the commonest technique for early screening and diagnosis of cervical cancer.

Methods: Cross sectional study was carried out at a medical and dental institution of Punjab, India, among 84 medical and dental female undergraduate students, falling in the age group of 18-25 years using a validated and guided questionnaire. Goal of the study was to raise the attention of the underrated and unaware agenda of prevention of cervical carcinoma by available vaccines.

Results: 96.42%, 25%, population was aware of HPV vaccination in 3rd, 2nd, medical students respectively and 60.71% in 2nd year dental students. 8.33% of the whole population (n=84) was vaccinated against HPV. The main reasons of not taking the vaccination were that the students had not heard of such a vaccine followed by the cost of the vaccine.

Conclusions: Medical and dental undergraduates are not adequately aware about the HPV vaccine and are not vaccinated in large number.

Keywords: Cervarix, Cervical cancer, Gardasil, HPV, HPV vaccination, Pap smear

INTRODUCTION

Human papilloma virus (HPV) infection is the leading cause of cervical cancer (CC).¹ CC is the most frequent cancer in women in India.

Current Indian estimates indicate one lakh thirty two thousand new cases and seventy four thousand deaths annually, contributing nearly 1/3rd of the global deaths due to cervical cancer.²

HPV are deoxy-ribonucleic acid (DNA) viruses. They have propensity for squamous epithelium of skin and mucosa.³ There are around 100 reported HPV serotypes, fitting into two categories- low risk and high-risk HPV.⁴

Low-risk/ non-oncogenic serotypes (6, 11, 40, 42, 43, 44, and 54) are associated with genital warts. High risk/ oncogenic types (16, 18, 31, 33, 35, 39, 45, 51, 52, 56 and 58) are associated with cervical, vulvar, vaginal, and anal cancers.⁵

HPV types 16 and 18 are the most oncogenic and type 16 is the most prevalent.⁶

HPV transmission correlates with sexual activity and age.⁶ The incidence rises in 30–34 years of age and peaks at 55-65 years, with a median age of 38 years.²

According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), CC can be prevented with the help of available screening tests (Pap smear) and the HPV vaccine.¹
Current HPV vaccines are based on virus like proteins (VLPs) which are produced by HPV surface components. VLPs are non-infective because they lack the virus’s DNA. The VLPs are strongly immunogenic, leading to high levels of antibody production in the body.2

We currently have Gardasil-4, Cervarix and Gardasil-9 vaccines licensed for HPV infection. These vaccines are prophylactic and not therapeutic.

The quadrivalent (Gardasil-4) vaccine was licensed in 2006 by United States Food and Drug Administration (USFDA) and it gives protection against HPV types 16, 18, 6, 11.3 Bivalent (Cervarix) vaccine was licensed in 2009 and it protects against HPV-16, 18 infection.8

The nonavalent HPV vaccine (Gardasil-9) protecting against HPV types 16, 18, 6, 11, 31, 33, 45, 52, 58 was approved by the USFDA in 2014.8

The primary target population for above vaccines is girls in the age range of 9-13 years.9

WHO recommends a two dose schedule for females <15 years of age. Dose is 0.5 ml and it is administered by intramuscular route at 0 and 6 months.10

If females ≥15 years of age at the time of first dose, then we give a 3-dose schedule (0, 2, 6 months).10

Catch-up vaccination is recommended for females aged 13-26 years who have not been previously vaccinated. The high cost of the vaccine is a limiting factor of its utilization.

Pap smear is the primary screening test for detection of precancerous cervical cancer lesions, hence it can screen and diagnose CC early.11

Pap smear sample is taken from the ectocervix by rotating an Ayre spatula at a 360° angle. Sample is smeared onto a glass slide and fixed with 95% ethyl alcohol. Further cytopathological examination divides lesions as negative for intraepithelial neoplasia or as epithelial cell abnormalities or abnormal pap smear. Abnormal pap test requires further investigations and treatment is given based on the stage of the disease.11

The Federation of Obstetric and Gynecological Societies of India (FOGSI) guidelines suggest screening should begin from the age of 25 years.13 Women aged 30-65 years should be screened every five years and it should continue till the age of 65 years. Thereafter screening can be stopped if there are consistently negative results for the last 15 years.

Studies conducted worldwide regarding HPV vaccination awareness reflect upon the inadequate and insufficient knowledge of the layman and even medical students. We conducted this study with an objective of raising awareness of an underrated but very important preventable public health condition of cervical cancer.

**Aim**

To assess the awareness about HPV vaccine and its implications in cervical carcinoma in female medical and dental undergraduate students.

**METHODS**

This was a cross sectional study carried out at the Department of Pharmacology, at Government Medical College, Amritsar, Punjab, India.

The study subjects comprised of 84 medical and dental students, falling in the age group of less than 25 years. Students who volunteered were only included in the study. Male students and students without any medical background were excluded from the study.

A validated and guided questionnaire was distributed to the students for assessment of awareness and knowledge about HPV vaccine and its implications in cervical carcinoma. The study duration was from September 15th to October 15th, 2019.

All the students were explained about the study and informed consent was taken before commencing the study. Data was compiled and evaluated by Microsoft excel 2010 version.

**RESULTS**

Figure 1 encompasses results about comparison general awareness, knowledge about HPV vaccine and pap smear amongst medical and dental students.

![Figure 1: Comparison of general awareness, knowledge of HPV vaccine and pap smear amongst medical and dental students.](image_url)

96.42% population in 3rd year medical students, 25% in 2nd year medical students and 60.71% in 2nd year dental students were aware about the HPV vaccine (Figure 1).
50% population in 3rd year medical students, 18% population in 2nd year medical students and 39.2% population in 2nd year dental students had knowledge about HPV vaccine, including doses and route of administration of the vaccine (Figure 1).

96.42% population in 3rd year medical students, 85.71% in 2nd year medical students and 42.85% in 2nd year dental students knew about the screening test (Pap smear) for cervical cancer (Figure 1).

Nearly all the students believed HPV vaccine can prevent cervical cancer, though it is not certain if this was their answer from medical knowledge or by chance.

Vaccinated percentage in MBBS 3rd year was 14% (4/28), 3.5% (1/28) in MBBS 2nd year and 7% (2/28) in BDS 2nd year (Figure 2).

### Figure 2: Percentage of population vaccinated against HPV in medical and dental students.

In total, only 8.33% of the whole population (n=84) was vaccinated against HPV. Main sources of their information were health professionals, followed by senior friends.

### Figure 3: Reasons listed by students for not getting vaccinated against HPV.

The reasons mentioned by the students for not getting vaccinated were that the students had not heard of such a vaccine followed by its cost and needle-stick fear (Figure 3).

### DISCUSSION

A study conducted among 1109 women in western China concluded that only 28.85% of respondents (n=320) had heard of HPV; among this subgroup, only half (53.44%) knew that it causes cervical cancer, only 26 (8.13%) correctly answered all questions about HPV.13

Cross sectional study conducted by Mehta et al, amongst 150 medical students in Delhi showed that 18% students did not know about the preventive measure of cervical cancer and 50% were unaware of the HPV infection.14

In a study by Das et al 165 female medical students participated and it was concluded that overall knowledge about cervical cancer its causation and prevention was adequate only in about 40%.15

Singh et al conducted a cross sectional study amongst 297 medical students. They concluded that knowledge regarding cervical cancer and HPV vaccine was almost double in medical 3rd year students than medical 2nd year students.16

### CONCLUSION

This study puts a light on the unmet need of awareness campaigns regarding cervical carcinoma and its primary prevention. Our study tried to evaluate knowledge of 2nd, 3rd year medical and 2nd year dental female students regarding HPV vaccination. Most of the students, but not all, of the participants in our study had awareness about the HPV vaccine. Nearly all knew about the viral etiology that leads to cervical cancer.

3rd year medical students seemed to be more aware and knowledgeable compared to 2nd year medical and dental students.

Medical and dental students are not adequately knowledgeable about the HPV vaccine and are not vaccinated in large number. The non-medical professionals and layman are expected to be less aware about HPV vaccine, hence spreading awareness through public platform like pamphlets, smart phone health applications, radio, television and messaging can fill the gap.

Lastly, we conclude that the need of the hour is to spread awareness regarding HPV vaccination and organize health awareness camps in rural and urban areas to increase the number of vaccinated population. This will directly decrease the burden of cervical cancer in developing countries and in turn bring economic benefit in cancer treatment facilities in India. Cancer screening programs should be organized freely in urban/rural sectors, and
women should be sensitized for accepting the HPV vaccines.
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