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INTRODUCTION
Mental disorders are usually associated with significant distress in social, occupational and other important activities.1 Of the top ten health conditions contributing to the DALYs, four are psychiatric disorders.2 Psychiatric disorders form an important public health priority and major causes of morbidity.3 Drug utilization study has been defined by the WHO as the marketing, distribution, prescription and uses of drugs in a society with special emphasis on the resulting medical, social and economic consequences.4 The rationality of prescribing pattern is of utmost importance because, bad prescribing habits includes misuse, overuse and underuse of medicines which can lead to unsafe treatment, exacerbation of the disease, health hazards, economic burden on the patients and wastage of resources.5

Drug utilization studies are a pre-requisite for the formulation of drug policies. This identifies the problems that arises from drug usage in health care delivery system and highlights the current approaches to the rational use of drugs. Measurement of drug use in health facilities not only describes drug use patterns and prescribing
behaviour but also helps in identification of factors responsible for the practice of polypharmacy and the problems associated with it. Setting standards and assessing the quality of care through performance review should become part of everyday clinical practice. Keeping this in mind, present study was conducted to identify the drugs used in various psychiatric disorders and find out differences between the actual and the ideal prescribing pattern of psychotropic drugs and to estimate the prevalence of various psychiatric illnesses.

METHODS

The study was conducted after approval issued by Institutional Ethics Commitee, VIMSAR (19-I-S-O-149/148).

Study protocol

This is a cross sectional study conducted by Department of Psychiatry and Pharmacology, VIMSAR, Burla for a period of 4 months (June 2019 to September 2019).

Study sample

A total of 150 prescriptions was collected at the OPD of Psychiatry department by convenience sampling method.

Inclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria were patients suffering from any psychiatric illness was included in the study.

Exclusion criteria

Exclusion criteria were patients suffering from epilepsy, in-patients and those with uncertain diagnosis were excluded from the study.

Data collection and analysis

The data of total 150 prescriptions was collected and entered into microsoft excel sheet and analysed using WHO core drug prescribing indicators. The results are expressed in numbers and percentage.

RESULTS

Total number of drugs prescribed in 150 prescriptions was 476. Average number of psychotropic drugs per encounter was 3.17. Average number of drugs prescribed by generic name was 40.33%. Only 4 prescriptions were found to have injections prescribed. Percentage of encounters with an injection prescribed was 2.66%. Out of total 476 drugs, 236 drugs were found from the essential list of medicines that is 49.57%. Tabular representation of the prescribing indicators is given in Table 1.

Out of 150 cases reviewed 66 (44%) cases were of bipolar affective disorder (BPAD), the study showed a higher incidence of psychiatric illness in male (65.15%) and maximum patients were under the age group of 31-40 years.

Patients of BPAD and schizophrenia accounted for a large majority (about 76%) of the patients attending the psychiatry OPD in our study followed by acute transient psychotic disorders (8.7%).

The most commonly prescribed fixed dose combinations (FDCs) were tri-hexyphenyldyl combination with risperidone (12%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average number psychotropic drugs per encounter</td>
<td>3.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of drugs prescribed by generic name</td>
<td>40.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of encounters with an injection</td>
<td>2.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of drugs prescribed from essential drugs list</td>
<td>49.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of drugs used as FDCs</td>
<td>13.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: WHO core drug prescribing indicators.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Psychiatry disorder</th>
<th>Male (n=96)</th>
<th>Female (n=54)</th>
<th>Total (n=150)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BPAD</td>
<td>43 (65.15)</td>
<td>23 (34.85)</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schizophrenia</td>
<td>32 (66.67)</td>
<td>16 (44.44)</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute and transient psychotic disorder</td>
<td>9 (69)</td>
<td>4 (31)</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychosis NOS</td>
<td>3 (37.50)</td>
<td>5 (62.50)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obsessive compulsive disorder</td>
<td>4 (67)</td>
<td>2 (33)</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance abuse</td>
<td>2 (67)</td>
<td>1 (33)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somatoform disorder</td>
<td>1 (50)</td>
<td>1 (50)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generalised anxiety disorder</td>
<td>2 (67)</td>
<td>1 (33)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (100)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Morbidity pattern and sex difference among different psychiatric illnesses.
Antipsychotic drugs were the most frequent class of drugs prescribed (27.60%) followed by anti-anxiety drugs (26.40%).

**DISCUSSION**

The study was carried out to find morbidity pattern of psychiatric diseases and prescribing trends of psychotropic drugs. The study of prescribing patterns seeks to monitor, evaluate and if necessary, suggest modifications in prescribing patterns so as to make medical care rational and cost effective.

The percentage of male patients on medical treatment for psychiatric illness was higher than female patients (64% vs 36%). Nearly similar results were also observed in a study carried out in OPD of psychiatry at tertiary care teaching hospital in Bastar region (68.18% males vs 31.8% females). The prodromal age group of 21-30 years reported for the majority of all psychiatric disorder findings of which are similar to studies presented at Mumbai and Jamnagar, India. Increasing use of psychotropic drugs in this age group may be due to increased incidence of mental ill health, improved literacy in general population, reduction in stigma associated with mental illness, increase in drug treatment option and due to more vigorous marketing of such agents.

In the present study the average number of psychotropic drugs per prescription was 3.17% (which is a reflection of polypharmacy); results of which are similar to studies conducted by Goyal et al, Ajmer. Oral formulations accounted for 97.34% and parenteral preparations for remaining 2.66%. This may be due to the fact that most of the patients attending psychiatry OPD belongs to adult age group and can take oral formulations safely and conveniently.

Percentage of drugs prescribed by generic name was 40.33% which is higher than study conducted in Jalna, Maharastra. Prescribing medicines by generic name avoid the confusion and makes therapy rational and cheaper. Despite, most doctors prescribe the medicines by their brand names. The reason for this could be tradition, aggressive medicine promotion, availability of multi-ingredient fixed dose drug combination.

Encouraging prescriptions by generic name is always recommended by various national and international bodies to promote rational use of drugs. It also gives an advantage to the pharmacist to dispense cheaper drugs to the patients, this helps to reduce economic burden on the patients. Also, this practice will certainly help to check the luring practices if offered by some of the pharmaceutical companies to the practitioners for promoting their costlier brands. But implementation of this practice of prescribing by generic names is not always satisfactory and requires motivation of prescribers and strong regulatory interventions.

The most commonly prescribed FDCs were trihexyphenydyl combination with risperidone (12%) in...
management of schizophrenia, findings of which is similar to study reported in Anantapur, Andhra Pradesh. 15

Anticholinergic agents are recommended to avoid EPS associated with use of typical (classical) antipsychotics. ‘A study of atypical antipsychotic use for adult outpatients’ by Wheeler. observed that the anticholinergic drug was used either with atypical antipsychotic or with prescriptions containing both typical and atypical antipsychotic drugs together. However, this study also revealed that co-prescribing of anticholinergic drug may add to new or additive adverse effects (e.g., dry mouth, blurred vision, constipation), which further reduces the quality of life. 16 As routine use of anticholinergic agents add to the complexity, side effects, and expenses, whether they should be prescribe routinely or reserved for the cases of overt EPS remains open to question.

Antipsychotic drugs were the most frequent class of drugs prescribed (27.60%) followed by anti-anxiety drugs (26.40%).

The benzodiazepine group was the most frequently prescribed group of anti-anxiety drugs. Further among all anti-anxiety drugs, clonazepam (17.2%) was most commonly prescribed anti-anxiety agent; similar finding was observed in Grover et al. 17 Benzodiazepines are efficacious for a wide range of conditions such as anxiety, insomnia, tonic-clonic seizures and muscular spasms. In addition, they were widely co-prescribed along with antidepressants and antipsychotics. However, with long term use the adverse effects (memory, impairment, depression, tolerance, dependence) overweigh the benefits, which should be minimized by rational prescribing. Guidelines for the rational use of BZDs recommend their use for short term (maximum four week) or intermittent courses in minimum effective doses, to be prescribed only when symptoms are severe. 18

Mood stabilizers accounted to be part of 9.80% prescriptions. A similar result in relation to use of anticonvulsants and mood stabilizers was shown by study of Rode et al. 19 Sodium valproate was the major mood stabilizer in our study (8.2%).

In our study atypical antipsychotics were prescribed to 4.20% and typical antipsychotics to 23.40% of patients. Atypical antipsychotics are now rated as first-line agents for the treatment of psychoses because of their low propensity to cause extra pyramidal side-effects (EPS), efficacy against refractory cases and better control against negative symptoms; better tolerance and low relapse rate and safer adverse effect profile. Atypical antipsychotics alleviate both positive and negative symptoms whereas typical antipsychotics can only treat negative symptoms. 20 However some atypical antipsychotics also show dose related EPS including tardive dyskinesia on long term use, weight gain and hyperprolactinemia. Essential difference between the typical and atypical antipsychotic is the size of therapeutic index in relation to acute EPS. Typical antipsychotics still play an important role in Schizophrenia and offer a valid alternative to atypical where atypical drugs are poorly tolerated. Guidelines of national institute of clinical excellence (NICE) of 2009 suggest that there is no longer any imperative to prescribe an ‘atypical’ as first line treatment. Clozapine may be offered only after primary failure of two antipsychotic drugs. 21

Among the atypical antipsychotics, olanzapine was the most commonly prescribed agent; our findings closely match with study of Grover et al. 17 22 The benefit of olanzapine may be due to its greater efficacy, noticeable improvement of negative symptoms, higher response rate, better maintenance of treatment and finally lower incidence of adverse effects. 23

The injectable antipsychotics prescribed were flupenthixol and haloperidol. Studies have shown that depot injections are useful in the management of Schizophrenia in acute phases and also for the maintenance treatment. 24 Concerns about the adverse effects and cost effectiveness of parenteral routes of drug administration, are probably the reason for the low utilization of ‘depot injection’ formulation in the psychiatry OPD.

The overall prescribing frequency of lithium was 0.8%. Because of low therapeutic index, periodic determination of serum concentrations is crucial. The concern about its narrow therapeutic index and difficulty in obtaining drug levels of lithium, explains the low use of lithium in our centre.

CONCLUSION

The prescribing pattern can be improved by keeping the number of medicines low; prescribing medicines by generic names, using less parenteral formulations and keeping the cost of therapy low. Such type of study can throw light in promoting, designing policy and motivation of physician for rational use of drugs.
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