Patterns of adverse drug reactions: a study in a tertiary care
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ABSTRACT

Background: Adverse drug reaction, usually reported by pharmacovigilance, either through health care professionals or the patients themselves is of utmost importance to give an accurate estimate of the severity of the drug and also if the ADRs are casual, preventable or severe.

Methods: A total of 42 patients satisfied the WHO definition of ‘adverse drug reactions’ were included in the study. The demographic details were taken, and the regular physical examination and clinical examination was done for all the patients. The latest drug they were on and the dosage were analyzed and the type of reaction was assessed. The causality, severity and preventability were also assessed.

Results: Majority of the ADRs were found in the medicine department, followed by pulmonology, obstetrics-gynecology and paediatrics. B-lactams were the major cause of ADRs with 42.2%, followed by NSAIDs with 23.8%, fluoroquinolones with 19% and 9.5% due to antitubercular drugs. 66.7% of the ADRs were mild, 28.6% were moderate and 4.8% were severe. The most common type of ADR were skin rashes (40.5%), urticara (16.7%), headaches (26.2%), insomnia (21.4%), diarrhoea (21.4%), abdominal pain (14.3%) and vomiting (4.8%). Most of the ADRs were evaluated as probably preventable (69%), definitely preventable (26.2%), non preventable (4.8%).

Conclusions: Most of the drug reactions are mild and preventable. More health care professionals as well as patients need to be aware of the drug reactions at much early age so as to prevent the condition before it becomes serious.
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INTRODUCTION

One of the main unavoidable risk factor in the use of drug therapy is the adverse reactions to the drugs. It is therefore one of the major concerns in medicine. It has been described by the World Health Organization as “noxious, unintended and undesired effect of a drug, which occur at doses used in humans for prophylaxis, diagnosis or cure of a disease.” ADRs are common, at times can be life threatening and in general leads to increased expenses. This is the reason that the clinicians are requested to be aware of the reactions that can be caused by the drugs before prescribing them.

ADRs are common in the hospital setup. They have been classified into two types, one that is the cause of hospitalization and the other which occurs after hospitalization. It is estimated that 5% of the hospitalizations and one in 10-20% of the hospitalized patients are due to drug reactions. In 1994, it was suggested by Lazarou J et al, that 10000 deaths in US had occurred due to ADRs, although this was considered to be
RESULTS

A total of 42 patients satisfied the WHO definition of 'adverse drug reactions'. Of them, 22 were females and 20 were males. Most of the cases were between 18 to 60 years of age and were classified as belonging to the adult group of patients. 11 patients were elderly i.e. >60 years of age and 7 patients were below 18 years (Table 1).

Table 1: Age and sex distribution of the patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adolescent (&lt;18 yrs)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7 (16.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult (18-60 yrs)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>24 (57.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elderly (&gt;60 yrs)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11 (26.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The number of ADRs were distributed based on the department in which they were found. It was observed that the majority of the ADRs were found in the medicine department (38.1%). This was followed by 19.04% in pulmonology department, and 11.9% each in obstetrics and gynaecology and paediatric departments (Figure 1).

Figure 1: Department wise distribution of ADRs.

Antibiotics like B- lactams were the major cause of ADRs in this study accounting to 42.2% of the total ADRs, which was followed by NSAIDs with 23.8%. Fluoroquinolones attributed to 19% of the total ADRs and 9.5% of the ADRs were due to antitubercular drugs (Table 2).

Most of the ADRs which were observed in the study were mild (66.7%). 12 (28.6%) were moderate while 2 (4.8%) were severe (Figure 2).
The most common type of ADR were skin rashes in 14 (40.5%) of the cases, and urticaria in 7 (16.7%). 11 (26.2%) of the patients complained of headaches and 9 (21.4%) of insomnia. Diarrhoea was observed in 9 (21.4%) of the cases, abdominal pain in 6 (14.3%) and vomiting in 2 (4.8%) (Figure 3).

Diagnosis of ADRs

Table 2: Drugs reporting to cause ADRs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drugs</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B Lactams</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>42.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSAIDS</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>23.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluoroquinolones</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>19.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metronidazole</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbamezepine</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fosphenytoin</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pantoprazole (Inj)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antitubercular</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tramadol</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brufen</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The causality assessment was done according to Naranjo’s probability scale and was found that 21 (50%) were probable, 16 (38.1%) were possible and 4 (9.5%) were certain. None of the ADRs were unlikely, conditional or assessable in our study (Figure 4).

The preventability assessment was based on the modified Shumock and Thornton criteria. Most of the ADRs were evaluated as probably preventable (69%), followed by 11 (26.2%), which were definitely preventable. 2 (4.8%) of them were non preventable (Figure 5).

**DISCUSSION**

India is said to be the second highest market for the sale of prescription drugs in the world, yet only about 2% of the adverse drug reactions are reported. The main cause for this low figure is the underreporting of the ADRs. Thus, it is imperative that more of the ADRs are reported so that the
correct picture is attained and we get the real picture of the scenario. This study was conducted to ascertain the number of ADRs in our institute.

The present study included patients who were diagnosed with symptoms of ADRs, thus the prevalence of ADRs in our hospital was not reported. However, a prevalence of 7.59% by Kumar A et al, 10.2% by Hurwitz N, 9.2% by De Vries EN et al, and 6.6% by Moore N et al, were reported in their respective studies.4,14-16

In the present study, the number of women (53.4%) were slightly higher than that of male population (47.6%). However, this number was not found to be significant. These results were similar to other studies by Saravanan SS et al, Sutrathar SD et al, and Naranjo et al.17,19 In contrast, in a study by Palanisamy S et al, Kumar A et al, Goyal YN et al, Adhikari A et al, the number of males affected by ADRs were more than the females.1,14,21 Thus, the gender was not found to be a risk factor for the development of ADR. The age group to be more affected was the adult group i.e. between 19 to 60 years. Similar results were observed in studies by Palanisamy S et al, Kumar A et al and Behera SK et al.14,22

It was observed in another study that adult females were more prone to the ADRs as they were ore into polypharmacy and drug intake as well as being more sensitive to medications.23,24 Authors, however did not differentiate between the males and the females in this study.

Department of medicine in present study had more number of ADRs. This could be due to the fact that there was more inflow of patients in that department. This was followed by pulmonology department followed by OBGY and paediatrics. ADR in medicine in larger numbers was also observed by Vora MB et al, in his study.25 Higher rate of ADRs in medicine was also observed by Behera SK et al.22

Of the drugs, b-lactams were the most common cause of ADRs as they were the most common antibiotics prescribed. This was in concurrence with other similar studies by Rodriguez-Pena R et al, and Raut A et al.26,27 In a similar study by Kumar A et al, antimicrobials was found to be the most common cause of ADRs, followed by NSAIDs and antihypertensive drugs, which was corroborated by studies by Gor AP et al, Karthkeyan M et al, Gupta A et al and Sriram S et al.4,7,28-30. In contrast, Behera SK et al, observed antiretroviral drugs to be the most common cause of ADRs.22

Most of the ADRs in the study were mild (66.7%), while 28.6% were moderate. This was in accordance with a study by Shamma M et al and Naranjo et al, who also observed 65% to be mild, 35% to be moderate and only 3% to be severe.19,31 Other studies showed slightly varied results. About 74.2% of the cases were moderate in nature in a study by Kumar A et al, 53.7% were mild in a study by Arulmani R et al, 80% were severe reported by Hurwitz N, and 61% were moderate by Palanisamy S et al.14,14,32

In the present study, skin was the most common organ to be affected (64.3%) with the predominant symptom being skin rashes seen in 40.5% of the patients, urticaria in 16.7%, dermatitis in 7.1%. GIT was another prominent site of reactions, with 21.4% having diarrhea, 14.3% with abdominal pain and 4.8% with vomiting. Headaches were observed in 26.2% of the patients and insomnia in 21.4%. GIT was the most affected site in studies by Kumar A et al, Sriram S et al, Goyal YN et al and Uchit GP et al.5,7,20,33 In a study by Naranjo et al, 75% of the patients had cutaneous reactions.10 Similar results were reported by Chawla S et al, Behera SK et al and Lihite RJ et al.25,34,35

Total 50% of the cases were classified as probable when causality assessment was done while 38.1% were classified as possible. None of the ADRs were unlikely, conditional or assessable according to the causality assessment. The causality assessment I a study by Kumar A et al showed the most common one was probable ADR in 61.2% cases, which was slightly more than this study, and possible in 32.3%, slightly lower than this study.4

Though authors had no cases of uncertain, their study had 1.5%. 62.5% of reaction were reported as probable in a study by Naranjo et al, 70.22% in a study by Sutrathar SD et al, and 88.6% in another study by Mandavi DS et al.18,19,36

In this study, according to the preventability assessment, 69% of the cases were evaluated as probably preventable, 26.2% as definitely preventable ad 4.8% as non-preventable. A higher definitely preventable rate was observed in studies by De Vries EN et al (43.5%), Palanisamy S et al (40%) and Sriram S et al (28%).1,7,15

CONCLUSION

Most of the drug reactions are mild and preventable. But, the information of the knowledge of the severity of the reactions, their preventability and the patient background information are scarce. This study is just a drop in the ocean for attaining such data. More such studies need to be undertaken to increase the data and information of the prevalence of this condition. More health care professionals need to be aware of the drug reactions at much early age so as to prevent the condition before it becomes serious. Moreover, the patients also need to be counseled regarding to the side effects and reactions that the drug can cause so that they can seek help before it worsens.
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