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INTRODUCTION

Periodontitis is regarded as an inflammatory lesion, mediated by complex host parasite interactions, that leads to the loss of connective tissue attachment to root surface cementum and adjacent alveolar bone.¹

Tissue infiltration by polymorphonuclear leukocytes and monocytes and subsequent phagocytosis, features a burst of cyanide insensitive (i.e., non-mitochondrial) O₂ consumption, which may be 10 or 20 times that of resting consumption. Oxygen uptake in neutrophils and macrophages is due to the action of a plasma-membrane-bound flavoprotein cytochrome b₅₅₅ NADPH oxidase system that increases NADPH production via the hexose monophosphate shunt and generates superoxide anion radicals, hydrogen peroxide, hydroxyl radicals, and hypochlorous acid, all capable of damaging either cell membranes or associated biomolecules.² A homeostatic imbalance between ROS and antioxidant defense systems can trigger an oxidative stress response, which is believed to be related to periodontal destruction.³ Until now,
primary clinical weapons against periodontal disease have been scaling and root planning (SRP), antibiotics and surgery. Antioxidants, if given, can act systemically to support the body’s natural immune system.

Melatonin is a ubiquitous natural neurotransmitter like compound produced primarily by pineal gland. Melatonin is identified as a powerful direct free radical scavenger and indirect antioxidant. Melatonin reduces oxidative stress by several means. It is an active scavenger of both the highly toxic hydroxyl radical (OH), produced by 3 electron reduction of oxygen and peroxy radical which is generated during unsaturated lipid peroxidation. Melatonin also stimulates some important antioxidant enzymes, i.e., superoxide dismutase (SOD), glutathione peroxidase (GPx) and glutathione reductase. Melatonin additionally may stimulate the proliferation and synthesis of type I collagen and bone formation. Furthermore, many studies have proved that salivary, melatonin level varies according to the degree of periodontal disease indicating that salivary melatonin may act to protect the body from external body insults. Therefore, melatonin supplementation, i.e., synthetic version of hormone melatonin may be potentially valuable in the treatment of periodontal diseases.

Considering the above mentioned functions of melatonin, this study was designed to evaluate the ability of melatonin supplementation to raise the antioxidant capacity levels, to reduce lipid peroxidation and thereby to reduce the periodontal inflammation. This study is also aimed to compare the efficacy of melatonin and vitamin E supplementation as antioxidants in periodontal diseases. Present study was designed with an objective to determine the effect of melatonin and vitamin E on lipid peroxidation and antioxidant enzymes in periodontal disease.

METHODS

The present study was a prospective longitudinal type of study. The study commenced after the approval of Institutional ethical committee of Pravara Institute of Medical Sciences, Ahmednagar, Maharashtra, India. Patients satisfying the inclusion and exclusion criteria were included in the study after taking their written informed consent. Study was conducted at collaboration with the Department of Periodontics and Oral Implantology, Rural Dental College and Department of Biochemistry, Rural Medical College and Hospital, Loni. The study was carried out during the period of January 2008 to December 2008. The subjects enrolled for this study were selected from the Out Patient Department of Periodontics, Rural Dental College, Loni, Maharashtra, India.

Inclusion criteria

Patients of chronic periodontitis, of age between 18 to 65 years of either gender ready to give informed consent to participate in the study were included.

Exclusion criteria

Postoperative patients, patients having night duties, drivers and those using heavy machinery, pregnant women, lactating mothers, patients with any clinically significant systemic disease and patients on any other drugs were excluded from the study. Grouping: Depending on the treatment received, the patients were divided into three groups as Group A included patients who underwent SRP (Scaling and Root Planning) alone, Group B who underwent SRP and supplemented with vitamin E 200 IU daily at night for 4 weeks. Group C included Patients who underwent SRP and supplemented with tablet melatonin 3 mg daily at night for 4 weeks.

Methodology

The study participants visited on day 0, day 30, day 60 and day 90. During the baseline visit scaling and root planning was performed in all patients. During these visits the estimation of Malondialdehyde (MDA) for serum lipid peroxidation, Superoxide dismutase (SOD) and Glutathione peroxidase (GPx) was done. Statistical analysis was done by applying ‘Z’ test of difference between two sample means for comparison of MDA, SOD and GPx among the three groups.

RESULTS

In the present study, a total 240 patients were enrolled and evaluated in 2008.

Table 1: Age and sex wise distribution of the subjects under study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age in yrs</th>
<th>Group A</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Group B</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Group C</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;40</td>
<td>24 (30%)</td>
<td>6 (7.5%)</td>
<td>14 (17.5%)</td>
<td>23 (28.7%)</td>
<td>17 (21.2%)</td>
<td>11 (13.7%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-50</td>
<td>23 (28.7%)</td>
<td>15 (18.7%)</td>
<td>17 (21.2%)</td>
<td>12 (15%)</td>
<td>16 (20%)</td>
<td>17 (21.2%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-60</td>
<td>10 (12.5%)</td>
<td>2 (2.5%)</td>
<td>16 (20%)</td>
<td>12 (15%)</td>
<td>12 (15%)</td>
<td>7 (8.75%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>57 (71.2%)</td>
<td>23 (28.7%)</td>
<td>47 (58.7%)</td>
<td>33 (41.2%)</td>
<td>45 (56.2%)</td>
<td>35 (43.7%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 shows the age and sex wise distribution of the subjects in all 3 groups under study. All the three groups consisted of 80 subjects each. Group A consisted of 71.25% male and 28.75% female patients. Male patients in
Group B were 58.75% and female 41.25%. There were 56.25% male and 43.75% female patients in Group C.

From the Table 2 and Figure 1, it can be seen that, by applying 'Z' test of difference between two sample means, there is a highly significant decrease in mean values of MDA in group B when compared with group A at 3rd visit (p<0.01), while there was significant rise during all visits except significant fall during visit 3 in MDA values when Group C and Group A were compared. Also, there was statistically significant rise in MDA during visits 1 and 2 respectively, when Group C was compared with Group B.

Table 2: Comparison of mean values of lipid peroxidation and antioxidants at four visits in all groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group A (Mean±SD)</th>
<th>Group B (Mean±SD)</th>
<th>Group C (Mean±SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Malondialdehyde (MDA)- nmol/ml</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base line visit</td>
<td>4.19±0.52</td>
<td>4.71±1.14*</td>
<td>5.49±1.00*,#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visit 1st</td>
<td>3.96±0.58</td>
<td>3.89±0.88</td>
<td>4.57±1.18*,#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visit 2nd</td>
<td>4.18±0.58</td>
<td>3.99±1.30</td>
<td>4.50±1.29*,#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visit 3rd</td>
<td>4.21±0.60</td>
<td>3.91±1.17*</td>
<td>3.61±1.27*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superoxide dismutase (SOD)- Units/mg Hb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base line visit</td>
<td>2590.33±263.96</td>
<td>2137.58±537.28*</td>
<td>2199.50±460.03*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visit 1st</td>
<td>2571.62±208.27</td>
<td>3435.42±521.72*</td>
<td>2862.51±873.11*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visit 2nd</td>
<td>2585.43±210.68</td>
<td>2420.82±407.05*</td>
<td>2859.37±896.78*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visit 3rd</td>
<td>2543.94±198.48</td>
<td>3468.10±425.84*</td>
<td>3428.52±904.43*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glutathione peroxidase (GPx)- mgHb/min</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base line visit</td>
<td>0.74±1.50</td>
<td>0.70±0.12</td>
<td>0.70±0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visit 1st</td>
<td>0.78±0.15</td>
<td>1.72±0.47*</td>
<td>2.13±0.54*,#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visit 2nd</td>
<td>0.79±0.13</td>
<td>1.90±0.53*</td>
<td>2.08±0.52*,#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visit 3rd</td>
<td>0.77±0.12</td>
<td>2.01±0.55*</td>
<td>2.02±0.53*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P<0.01 vs Group A (‘Z’ test of difference between two sample means), # P<0.01 vs Group B (‘Z’ test of difference between two sample means)

Figure 1: Comparison of mean values of malondialdehyde at four visits amongst all the groups.

On comparing the SOD values, there was a statistically significant increase during visit 1 and 3 and statistically significant decrease during visit 2 respectively, on comparing group B with group A. On comparing Group C with Group A, there was significant elevation of the SOD values during all visits. Also, the SOD values were significantly lower and higher during the visits 1 and 3 respectively when group C was compared with Group B. (Table 2 and Figure 2).

Figure 2: Comparison of mean values of superoxide dismutase at four visits amongst all the groups.

Table 2 and Figure 3 shows that GPx values were significantly lower during Visit 1, while the values were significantly higher during visits 2 and 3 on comparing Group B with Group A. GPx values of all three visits were
significantly higher when Group C was compared with Group A. Also, the GPx values were higher during visits 2 and 3 when Group C was compared with Group B.

![Figure 3: Comparison of mean values of glutathione peroxidase at four visits amongst all the groups.](image)

**DISCUSSION**

The aim of this study was to evaluate the effects of administration of oral melatonin on the oxidative stress in periodontitis patients in rural population.

In subgroup analysis of lipid peroxidation and antioxidants level, it was seen that the study groups treated with vitamin E and melatonin showed a significant decrease in serum malondialdehyde (MDA) levels from baseline to post-treatment levels. High pre-treatment levels of MDA (nmol/ml) in all the groups (4.19±0.52, 4.71±1.14 and 5.49±1.00) indicate the presence of oxidative stress in these patients and serves as a biomarker of lipid peroxidation. A study to evaluate the protective effect of melatonin on oxidative stress demonstrated significant decrease in values of MDA after treatment with melatonin, reflecting increased lipid peroxidation because of oxidative stress.11 There are large numbers of studies demonstrating the protective effect of melatonin on lipid peroxidation in different clinical settings.

There was a highly significant decrease in the mean values of MDA in group B when compared with group A at 3rd visit. Mean value of MDA showed highly significant decrease in group C when compared with group A at all the visits (p<0.01). Group C showed highly significant decrease in MDA level when compared with group B at 1st and 2nd visits (p<0.01). These results show that melatonin treated group was better in reducing MDA levels as compared to both the other groups. Similarly, the study conducted by Ghosh G et al states that melatonin is generally more effective than vitamin E for neutralizing the free radicals normally responsible for more than half of the free radical damage of the body (causing lipid peroxidation).12

Superoxide dismutase (SOD) is the antioxidant enzyme which helps to remove the superoxide radical from tissues by spontaneous dismutation to hydrogen peroxide. In oxidative stress the levels of SOD are insufficient to remove large amount of free radicals from the tissue. Our study showed that pre treatment levels of SOD were low in all the groups (2590.33±263.96, 2137.58±537.28, 2199.50±460.03) which was significantly increased in group B and C after supplementation with vitamin E and melatonin. It showed that there was a highly significant increase in mean values of SOD in group B and C when compared with group A (p<0.01) at most of the visits. But the increase in levels of SOD was highly significant in group C as compared to group B at 2nd visit. Thus, our study proved that vitamin E treated group was better than control group and melatonin treated group was better than both the other groups. Similar improvement in all the antioxidant enzymes like SOD, GPx and catalase was shown by the study ‘Effect of melatonin on antioxidant enzymes in human diabetic skin fibroblasts’ conducted by Ewa Kilanczyk and Maria Bryszewska.13

Glutathione peroxidase (GPx) is the antioxidant enzyme present in the extracellular environment responsible for conversion of reduced glutathione (GSH) to its oxidized form (GSSG), thus reducing the free radical load in the body. Our study showed that there was a significant increase in the activity of GPx after treatment with vitamin E and melatonin as compared with the control group. There was a highly significant increase in the mean values of GPx in group B and C when compared with group A at all the visits, and group C at 1st and 2nd visits (p<0.01) when compared with group B. The study ‘Melatonin increases activities of glutathione peroxidase and superoxide dismutase in fetal rat brain conduct by Okatani Y et al also states that GSH-Px activity in fetal brain homogenates increased significantly (p<0.01) after melatonin administration.14

Ghosh G et al, studied that melatonin has no morphophysiological barriers and is readily available in cytosol; whereas vitamin E is primarily confined to the lipid membrane.12 Thus, it is likely that melatonin is more effective antioxidant in the cytosol than vitamin E. Melatonin is generally more effective than vitamin E, glutathione or such other antioxidants for neutralizing OH, the free radical responsible for more than half of the free radical damage in the body. In addition to the OH and peroxyl radical, melatonin neutralizes superoxide, singlet oxygen, hydrogen peroxide and hypochlorous acid. Melatonin increase gene expression and activity of antioxidant enzymes GPx, SOD and catalase. Moreover, not only melatonin, but several endogenously generated metabolites of melatonin, functions as free radical scavenger. These could be some of the reasons of superiority of melatonin over vitamin E.
The findings in our study indicate the important role played by melatonin in combating the oxidative stress in periodontitis at well-tolerated doses. In view of this antioxidant action, a combination of antioxidant vitamins may also be considered in the treatment of periodontitis.

Nevertheless, the treatment duration in our study was one month and since periodontitis can recur, it would be worthwhile to conduct a study with prolonged treatment with melatonin, involving a larger sample size.

**CONCLUSION**

It was demonstrated that there was considerable oxidative stress in periodontitis patients, as established by high serum MDA levels, which was reduced significantly by melatonin reflecting its antioxidant potential. Pretreatment levels of Sod and GPx also were low, which were improved with the treatment of melatonin far better than with vitamin E. With the above background, it can be said that melatonin acted as an antioxidant in the patients of periodontitis which has positive effect on biochemical parameters of periodontitis, conferring a new facet to the management of periodontitis and an attempt to impede the disease progression.

Thus, this study amply justifies the role of melatonin in the control of periodontal disease and the conclusions drawn could be useful in generating further studies.
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