A prospective study of N-acetylcysteine treatment in drug-induced fulminant hepatic failure
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ABSTRACT

Background: Acute liver failure (ALF) is a rare but severe, life-threatening, complex, multisystemic gastroenterological emergency. Its rapid progression and high mortality demand early diagnosis and expert management. Drug-induced ALF (DI-ALF) remains the uncommon cause of ALF in India. To date, there is no established treatment for DI-ALF other than liver transplantation and little is known about the use of N-acetylcysteine (NAC) in DI-ALF. A prospective case-control study was carried with the aim to determine the effect of NAC on mortality of DI-FHF patients and also to evaluate the safety and efficacy of NAC use.

Methods: A total of 18 patients with a diagnosis of DI-FHF were included in the study. 10 patients received NAC infusion for 72 hours whereas the control group received placebo. The variables evaluated were demographic, signs and symptoms, biochemical parameters, outcome and length of hospital stay.

Results: Out of 18 DI-FHF patients, 13 (72.2%) had antituberculosis therapy (ATT) induced FHF and 5 (27.8%) patients had ayurvedic induced FHF. The two groups were comparable for the various baseline characteristics (age, INR, alanine aminotransferase, creatinine, albumin, grade of encephalopathy, etc.). The mortality decreased to 20% with the use of NAC versus 75% in the control group (P=0.023). Use of NAC was associated with a shorter length of hospital stay of survived patients (P=0.043). Moreover, the overall survival was improved by NAC (P=0.023) in DI-FHF. ATT induced FHF showed better outcome as compared to ayurvedic induced FHF use (P=0.019).

Conclusions: Author recommended the use of NAC along with conventional treatments in patients with DI-FHF in non-transplant centers while awaiting referrals. ATT induced FHF showed better outcome as compared to ayurvedic induced FHF with NAC administration and its use was safe.
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INTRODUCTION

Acute liver failure (ALF) is a relatively rare liver disorder that often leads to devastating consequences. It is one of the most life threatening hepatological emergency encountered in clinical practice. ALF is a syndrome characterized by the development of hepatic encephalopathy (HE) together with signs of hepatocellular insufficiency, especially jaundice and coagulation disorders, in patient who previously had normal liver.¹ Fortunately, it is a rare disease with 2000 to 3000 reported cases in the United States per year and is responsible for 6% of liver transplants.²,³

Etiology of ALF is diverse and shows wide geographical variation. The most important step in the management of ALF is to identify the cause which helps in the execution of targeted therapies and antidotes, when available. The main etiological factor includes viral, drugs, autoimmune, toxin and indeterminate.⁴ Viral hepatitis is the commonest cause of ALF in the Indian subcontinent and it accounts for 90% of cases.⁵
Drug-induced ALF (DI-ALF) remains the most common cause of ALF in the UK and USA.6,7 Specifically, in the UK, paracetamol overdose causes approximately 57% of all ALF with non-paracetamol drugs accounting for a further 11%.8 DI-ALF is largely a diagnosis of exclusion because there are no laboratory, imaging, or biopsy findings that are specific for hepatotoxicity from a particular drug.8

The drugs responsible vary by location and prevailing drug use. The drugs most commonly implicated in ALF include anti-tuberculosis therapies (ATT), herbal and dietary supplements, sulpha-containing drugs, nitrofurantoin, phenytoin, sodium valproate, flutamide and amoxicillin-clavulanate.9,10 Herbal or adulterated traditional or complementary medications are also a notable cause in east Asia.11 Liver damage induced by drugs other than paracetamol has been the most frequent cause of safety-related marketing withdrawals in the past 50 years.12 Fewer than 10% of drug-induced liver injury (DILI) progress to ALF.10 However, up to 80% of patients who develop liver failure might die or require transplantation.10,11

Mortality related to ALF can be attributed to three complications in particular cerebral edema, multorgan dysfunction syndrome (MODS), and sepsis. The overall management strategy starts with the identification of cause and an initial assessment of prognosis. Because there is no specific therapy for ALF, treatment is limited to supportive measures, allowing the liver time to regenerate. Treatment of suspected DI-FHF is to stop using the drug before the development of irreversible hepatic failure.

Although many people recover with supportive treatment, Orthotropic Liver Transplantation (OLT) remains the only definitive therapy for patients who are unable to achieve sufficient hepatocyte regeneration on supportive treatment. OLT has made a significant impact on survival of patients with ALF but the facility is largely unaffordable and only available in limited medical centers.7,13 Therefore, better cost-effective alternatives are needed in locations where facility is not available. There is limited availability of specific antidotes to DI-ALF. Two randomized controlled trials showed no benefit of corticosteroids in ALF and even demonstrated a poorer outcome in those with DI-ALF.14,15 N-acetylcysteine (NAC) has a well-established role in paracetamol-induced ALF although it is now also recommended in selected cases of non-paracetamol ALF because of its multiple mechanisms of action.16-18 Some antidotes which have found to be effective in DILI are carnitine for valproic acid toxicity.19 Cholestyramine may mitigate lefunomide toxicity.20 Ursodeoxycholic acid, silymarin, and glycyrhrizin have been reported to be effective, but evidence remained largely anecdotal.21

NAC is a thiol-containing agent that scavenges free oxygen radicals and replenishes cellular mitochondrial and cytosolic glutathione.22 Its anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, inotropic, and vasodilating effect has been proved from various trials.23 NAC may benefit patients either by improving systemic hemodynamics and tissue oxygen delivery or via other mechanisms.24,25 Role of NAC in DI-FHF has not been studied in controlled trials except for case reports and the results have been reassuring.26 The present study was carried out to understand the role of NAC in DI-FHF, in a centre in Kashmir (North India) without liver transplant facility with the aim to determine the effect of NAC on mortality of DI-FHF patients and also to evaluate the safety and efficacy of NAC and its impact on the duration of hospital stay.

METHODS

It was a single centre prospective study of adult patients with DI-FHF. This study was carried out in the Department of Gastroenterology of Sher-i-Kashmir Institute of Medical Science (SKIMS), Saura, Jammu and Kashmir, India. The study was approved by the institutional ethical committee (SKIMS). Informed consent was obtained from all the recruited subjects.

Total of 18 patients with diagnoses of DI-FHF who fulfilled eligibility criteria were recruited in the study. This study was conducted over a period of three years from 2011 to 2014. Information regarding various demographics characteristics was taken through well-structured questionnaires from all subjects. Besides a detailed history, physical examination and biochemical workup which included baseline investigations, liver function test (LFT), coagulogram of subjects were carried out.

Eligibility criteria

Inclusion criteria included patients having age >18 years and DI-FHF which was defined as biochemical evidence of acute liver injury with INR ≥1.5 and any degree of encephalopathy caused by the illness of duration ≤8 weeks in a patient with no prior known liver disease with history of hepatotoxic drug exposure/intake, including homeopathic, herbal medications at least for ≥2 weeks.1 Exclusion criteria includes viral ALF, autoimmune ALF, acute on chronic liver failure, ALF during pregnancy and hepatic shock.

Procedure

After FHF was diagnosed, a detailed history was taken for any hepatotoxic drug intake, including homeopathic, herbal medications and intravenous drug abuse. DI-FHF is largely a diagnosis of exclusion because there are no laboratory, imaging, or biopsy findings that are specific for hepatotoxicity from a particular drug. Roussel Uclaf Causality Assessment Method (RUCAM) was used to calculate the causality score for individual cases.27 Blood samples of all the patients were taken for exclusion of other etiological diagnoses, which included hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg), hepatitis B core IgM (HBc-
IgM), hepatitis A virus IgM (HAV-IgM), and hepatitis E virus IgM (HEV-IgM), hepatitis D virus (IgG and IgM anti-HDV), anti HCV (hepatitis C virus), ANA (anti-nuclear antibody), ASMA (anti smooth muscle antibody), Wilson profile (serum ceruloplasmin, serum copper) and iron profile. HSV (herpes simplex virus), CMV (cytomegalovirus) and EBV (Epstein barr virus) serology were done if non hepatotropic viruses were suspected as a cause of FHF in immunocompromised patients. Imaging was obtained to rule out biliary processes, hepatic vascular abnormalities, and intrahepatic lesions. Liver injury pattern was determined by below formula into hepatocellular, cholestatic and mixed. Subjects were then randomized by simple random method into two groups.

Calculation of R value:

ALT/AST value divided by its ULN = fold elevation/fold elevation above ULN for alkaline phosphatase.

Definitions

- Hepatocellular injury = R > 5,
- Cholestatic injury = R < 2,
- Mixed injury = R > 2 < 5.

NAC group

About 10 DI-FHF patients who fulfilled the eligibility criteria were treated with intravenous NAC for duration of 72 hours.

Control group

About 8 DI-FHF patients who received 5% dextrose (placebo) infusion for 72 hours.

Study medication

After informed written consent was obtained from next of kin, the patients in NAC group were administered intravenous NAC with initial loading dose of 150 mg/kg over 1 hour, followed by 12.5 mg/kg/hr for 4 hours and then continuous infusion of 6.25 mg/kg/hr for remaining 67 hours. Patients in Control group were given 5% dextrose infusion (placebo) for 72 hours. All the ethical considerations were taken care of during the study. Patients were given the option of liver transplant (to be done at the hospital with transplantation facility) at various stages of study when indicated. No patient underwent OLT in this study.

Supportive treatment

All patients were managed with the standard supportive care treatment with stoppage of the offending drug. The patients received treatment of and prevention for the complications of FHF. The treatment mainly involved continuous intravenous dextrose to prevent hypoglycemia, proton pump inhibitors for stress-related ulcers and lactulose enema. With the development of advanced hepatic encephalopathy, intensive care management, fluid and electrolyte balance, midazolam sedation and mannitol infusion in case of raised intracranial pressure. Fresh frozen plasma and vitamin K was given in only those patients who had a spontaneous bleed. Blood and urine cultures were obtained in suspected cases of sepsis, which were then treated as per sensitivity. Renal impairment was defined as serum creatinine level of more than 1.5 mg/dl.

Monitoring

Response to treatment was monitored clinically (Grade of encephalopathy) and biochemically (bilirubin, PT, INR etc.). In addition, morbidity and mortality was also assessed. Patients were followed till discharge or death in hospital.

Statistical analyses

In univariate analysis, the categorical variables were compared in the two groups by using χ² test or Fisher exact test where appropriate. For continuous variables, the independent sample t test was used. P values <0.05 was considered statistically significant. All the analyses were performed by the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA, version 21.0).

RESULTS

In this study, out of 18 DI-FHF patients, 13 (72.2%) had ATT induced FHF and 5 (27.8%) patients had ayurvedic induced FHF. There were 10 patients in the NAC group and 8 patients in control group. Table 1 shows the distribution of baseline characteristics (both categorical and continuous) of two groups of DI-FHF. All the patients were of Kashmiri ethnicity. The mean age in NAC group was 40.50±15.40 years and in control group was 38.98±20.20 years (P=0.857). Majority of the patients were females (72.2%) and they were equally distributed between two groups. Coma grade at the time of admission showed that majority of patients (66.67%) had grade I and II encephalopathy. The patients in both the groups were comparable for the different grade of encephalopathy (P=0.514). Depending on the pattern of liver injury, hepatocellular pattern was most common followed by mixed and cholestatic pattern and they were comparable between groups. The two treatment groups did not differ significantly with respect to fever, vomiting, rash, MELD Score, interval between jaundice and encephalopathy and biochemical measures of liver injury (INR, bilirubin, AST, ALT, and albumin).

The mean number of days of admission in hospital of survived patients in NAC group was 8.68±3.10 versus 12.3±3.90 in controls. The difference was statistically significant (P=0.043) (Table 2).

A total of 8 of 18 (44.4%) patients died with FHF complications; 2 (20%) patients belonged to NAC group.
and 6 (75%) patients to control group (Chi Square=5.143, P = 0.023). More patients (80%) survived in NAC group than in the Control group (25%) and the difference was statistically significant (Table 3). When survival was considered between different etiologies of DI-FHF, ATT induced FHF showed significant improved in survival than ayurvedic induced FHF with NAC administration (P = 0.019) (Table 4).

Logistic regression analysis was performed in order to study the role of independent risk factors on mortality in DI-FHF patients. In the study not using NAC, age >55 years, III-IV grade of encephalopathy, renal impairment and MELD score >30 were the independent prognostic factors determining mortality.

### Table 1: Baseline characteristics of study subjects in the two groups of DI-FHF.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>NAC group (N=10)</th>
<th>Control group (N=8)</th>
<th>P-value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female gender</td>
<td>7 (70%)</td>
<td>6 (75%)</td>
<td>0.819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatic-encephalopathy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade I</td>
<td>4 (40%)</td>
<td>3 (37.5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade II</td>
<td>2 (20%)</td>
<td>3 (37.5%)</td>
<td>0.514</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade III</td>
<td>3 (30%)</td>
<td>2 (25%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade IV</td>
<td>1 (10%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fever</td>
<td>4 (40%)</td>
<td>2 (25%)</td>
<td>0.514</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vomiting</td>
<td>3 (30%)</td>
<td>2 (25%)</td>
<td>0.819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rash</td>
<td>2 (20%)</td>
<td>1 (12.5%)</td>
<td>0.680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatocellular pattern of liver injury</td>
<td>6 (60%)</td>
<td>4 (50%)</td>
<td>0.680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholestatic pattern</td>
<td>1 (10%)</td>
<td>2 (25%)</td>
<td>0.409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed pattern</td>
<td>3 (30%)</td>
<td>2 (25%)</td>
<td>0.819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuous variables (mean±SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>40.50±15.40</td>
<td>38.98±20.20</td>
<td>0.857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INR</td>
<td>2.67±1.19</td>
<td>2.57±0.98</td>
<td>0.851</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilirubin (mg/dl)</td>
<td>21.12±8.94</td>
<td>20.87±9.54</td>
<td>0.958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AST (mg/dl)</td>
<td>1526±502</td>
<td>1396 ±512</td>
<td>0.595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT (mg/dl)</td>
<td>994.6±617.4</td>
<td>945.8±589.7</td>
<td>0.867</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin (g/dl)</td>
<td>3.24±0.55</td>
<td>3.60±0.44</td>
<td>0.152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine (mg/dl)</td>
<td>1.42±0.72</td>
<td>1.37±0.67</td>
<td>0.881</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interval between jaundice and encephalopathy (days)</td>
<td>43±14.4</td>
<td>49±16.2</td>
<td>0.418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MELD Score</td>
<td>29.82±6.24</td>
<td>27.48±5.64</td>
<td>0.421</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P-value <0.05 is considered statistically significant, n = Number, SD = Standard deviation.

### Table 2: Length of hospital stay in NAC group and controls.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Etiology</th>
<th>NAC group</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>P-value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ATT</td>
<td>87.5%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N=13</td>
<td>N=8</td>
<td>N=5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ayurvedic</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>0.738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N=5</td>
<td>N=2</td>
<td>N=3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P-value <0.05 is considered statistically significant.

### Table 3: Survival of study subjects in DI-FHF.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mean±SD (range)</th>
<th>P-value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NAC group (days)</td>
<td>Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of hospital stay</td>
<td>8.68±3.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of survived patients</td>
<td>(6-14)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P-value <0.05 is considered statistically significant.

### Table 4: Survival for study subjects stratified by etiology of DI-FHF.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Survival n (%)</th>
<th>NAC group</th>
<th>Control group</th>
<th>P-value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8 (80%)</td>
<td>2 (25%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P-value <0.05 is considered statistically significant.

In this study, no adverse effects were noted in patients which could have been attributed to NAC administration in DI-FHF patients.

### DISCUSSION

ALF is a rare but severe multisystemic disease and its rapid progression and high mortality necessitates early diagnosis and expert management. DI-ALF remains the most common cause of ALF in the UK and USA.6,7 DI-ALF is largely a diagnosis of exclusion. OLT has remained the
treatment of choice for DI-FHF. Data suggested some benefits of NAC use in non-paracetamol induced ALF, while data on use of NAC in non-paracetamol drug induced ALF is scarce. Only case reports of NAC use for ATT and other drug induced ALF exist.

So, study on the use, safety and efficacy of NAC in DI-FHF was warranted. Therefore, author carried out a prospective study to access the role of NAC in DI-FHF in particular at a medical centre (SKIMS, Soura) lacking liver transplantation facility.

In this study, out of 18 DI-FHF patients, 13 (72.2%) had ATT induced FHF and 5 (27.8%) patients had ayurvedic induced FHF. ATT also topped the list of DI-ALF in India as studied by Devarbhai H, where acetaminophen use is rare and tuberculosis is prevalent. In India, anti-tuberculosis drugs account for nearly three-quarters of all DI-ALF cases.

In this study, the majority of the patients were females (72.2%) and middle-aged (39.60±14.30 years). In a case series of 128 patients with ALF (including 21 children <18 years old) from Bangalore, India, the mean age was 38 years. While in ALFSG study, the average age of subjects was 44 years and the majority were women (71%).

A total of 8 of 18 (44.4%) patients died with DI-FHF complications, 2 (20%) patients belonged to NAC group and 6 (75%) patients to control group (Chi Square = 5.143, P=0.023). While other studies, from India showed mortality ranged between 67.1% to 70% which is higher than ours. The reason for higher mortality in their study is because their patients had more severe disease as suggested by higher MELD, INR, and higher grade of HE.

Author found significant improvement in the survival of patients treated with NAC, mortality decreased to 20% with the use of NAC versus 75% in control group. On stratification of patients between different etiologies of DI-FHF i.e., ATT induced FHF showed significant improved in survival than ayurvedic induced FHF with NAC administration (P=0.019). Cheng SL et al, showed the protective effect of NAC on ATT-induced hepatotoxicity.

Furthermore, use of NAC was safe and was associated with a shorter length of hospital stay of survived patients (P=0.043). No adverse effects were noted in patients that could have been attributed to NAC administration. Darweesh SK et al, in his study reported NAC decreased encephalopathy, hospital stay, ICU admission, and failure of other organs.

To the best of the knowledge, no prospective study has reported benefits of NAC use in DI-FHF patients. The major strengths of this study included prospective cases and controls. Some of the limitations of this study included small sample size, single centre study and the duration of follow up was short (hospital stay till discharge or death in the hospital).

CONCLUSION

ATT was the class of drugs most frequently associated with DI-FHF. Author suggested that the use of NAC along with conventional treatments will benefit the patients with DI-FHF.
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