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Evaluation of anti-inflammatory activity of ethanolic extract of *Gmelina arborea* in experimental acute and sub-acute inflammatory models in wistar rats
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Abstract

**Background:** Inflammation is a complex reaction to various injurious agents such as infections, trauma, foreign bodies, tissue necrosis, physical and chemical agents, that consists of vascular responses, migration and activation of leukocytes and systemic reactions. Non-Steroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs (NSAIDs) are currently the most commonly prescribed drugs for treating pain and inflammation. The traditional NSAIDs usually cause various adverse effects on long term use. *Gmelina arborea* Linn (Gambhari) belongs to family Verbenaceae. The roots, leaves, flowers, fruits and bark are used for treating different ailments as anthelmintic, analgesic, anti-pyretic, antidiabetic, antimicrobial, diuretic and other common disorders.

**Methods:** The study was carried out by using inflammatory models in wistar rats. The anti-inflammatory activity of *G. arborea* was compared with standard drug aspirin. The study parameters for acute inflammation was assessment of reduction in inflammation & the percentage inhibition of the paw edema. The parameter for the sub-acute inflammation was percentage inhibition of the dry granuloma weight.

**Results:** The low and high dose of *G. arborea* root extract significantly showed the anti-inflammatory activity when compared to control group. The high dose of *G. arborea* extract showed comparable results in parameters like reducing inflammation, percentage inhibition of paw edema and dry granuloma weight in acute carrageenan paw edema and sub-acute inflammation cotton pellet granuloma models with standard aspirin treated group.

**Conclusions:** Since *G. arborea* root extract was having all the qualities required for anti-inflammatory drug. However, no clear inference can be drawn at this stage and hence we consider the work for further extensive research.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Inflammation is a complex reaction to various injurious agents such as infections, trauma, foreign bodies, tissue necrosis, physical and chemical agents, that consists of vascular responses, migration and activation of leukocytes and systemic reactions.¹

Inflammation is usually treated by anti-infective agents irrespective of its etiological factor. However, in case of inflammation caused by infection it needs treatment not
only with anti-infective / antimicrobial but also anti-inflammatory activity. In case, antimicrobials possess anti-inflammatory activity they would be able to control not only infection but also inflammation provided causative organisms are susceptible to them.

Non-Steroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs (NSAIDs) are currently the most commonly prescribed drugs for treating pain and inflammation. They are indeed effective in managing painful inflammatory conditions like osteoarthritis, ankylosing spondylitis, rheumatoid arthritis etc. However, they cause a number of side effects. The traditional NSAIDs usually cause gastrointestinal adverse effects on long term use and the selective cyclooxygenase (COX2) inhibitors are known to possess dangerous cardio toxic potential. Oxidative stress is one of the pivotal mechanisms of AP. Excessive reactive oxygen species (ROS) provoke inflammation.²

From olden days, there has been use of plant sources in the treatment of various disease modalities due to their perceived lower side effects, ease of accessibility and affordability. Plants are the indigenous sources for the discovery of new drugs and some have been shown to be promising in the treatment of inflammatory diseases with minimum side effects. Plants with medicinal uses in inflammatory conditions thus need to be screened for potential anti-inflammatory activity.³

_Gmelina arborea_ Linn (Gambhari) belongs to family verbenaceae. It is a medium sized, unarmed deciduous tree and can be found throughout India. It is well-known for its medicinal properties in ancient system of Indian medicine. The roots, leaves, flowers, fruits and bark are used for treating different ailments as anthelmintic, analgesic, anti-pyretic, antidiabetic, antimicrobial, diuretic and other common disorders. As the plant is rich in certain chemical constituents like flavonoids, saponins, terpenoids, lignans, sterols and cardiac glycosides.

Crude extracts of the plant are reported to possess wound-healing properties, antidiarrheal activity, antioxidant activity, antidiabetic activity, and antiulcer activity.⁴ However, little information is available on the effects of _G. arborea_ on inflammation. Therefore, the present study was designed to evaluate the effects of _Gmelina arborea_ on acute and subacute inflammation in albino wistar rats.

**METHODS**

An in vivo experimental study conducted after taking the approval from the institutional animal ethics committee 1284/ac14/18/CPCSEA.

**Equipment’s used**

Feeding tube, plethysmograph, digital weighing machine, surgical instruments and soxhlet apparatus.

**Chemicals used**

Ethanol, aspirin tablet from Zydus Cadilla, Carboxymethyl Cellulose (CMC) 0.5%, and Halothane.

**Selection of the animal**

Twenty four albino wistar healthy rats were taken from the central animal house for the study. The animals weighed 150 grams to 200 grams & the animals were housed under standard condition, housed individually with normal water and food granules, 12:12 hours light dark cycle, 50% humidity and 28°C temperature and provided with standard food granules and water ad libitum.

**Plant preparation and extraction**

The fresh roots of _Gmelina arborea_ were purchased from the local market and were shade dried and coarsely powdered. The powder is filled in to filter paper bag and placed in the soxhlet apparatus for extraction. The soxhlet apparatus is connected to round bottom flask which is fill by ethanol (90%) solvent and water bath to maintain temperature. The ethanol was boiled at 40°C for over a period of 24 hours. The extract obtained was 28 % and was stored in desiccator at room temperature.

**Sample size**

The minimum number of rats in each group was kept as six, so as to obtain statistically significant results within and between the groups.

**Animal grouping and treatment**

Total 24 rats were used in the present study. For acute inflammation 24 rats were used and divided in to 4 groups (6 rats in each group), the same grouping method is followed for subacute inflammation.

**Experimental models**

**Acute inflammation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group No.</th>
<th>Group name and drugs to be administered</th>
<th>Number of rats</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Control - Normal saline</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Standard - Aspirin 200mg/kg</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Low dose - <em>G.arborea</em> 750mg/kg</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>High dose - <em>G.arborea</em> 1000mg/kg</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All the drugs are given via oral route through feeding tube and animals are dosed once a day with 0.5% carboxy methyl cellulose as vehicle.
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Rats starved overnight with free access to water and divided into four groups (n=6 in each) to receive various treatments.

Calculated clinical equivalent doses, 200 mg/kg of aspirin (in aspirin group), 750mg/kg of G. arborea once daily (in low dose group), 1000mg/kg of G. arborea once daily (in high dose group) were administered, while the control group normal saline orally. One hour after drug administration, 0.05ml of carrageenan (1% w/v) in normal saline was injected into the sub plantar region of the left hind paw. A mark was made on both hind paws just below the tibiotalar junction, so that the paw could be dipped in the mercury column of the plethysmometer up to the mark to ensure constant paw volume. The paw edema was measured at 0 hr (immediately after injecting carrageenan), and the procedure was repeated at 0.5, 1, 3, 4, and 5 hours. The difference between 0 hr and subsequent reading was taken as actual edema volume.

The percentage inhibition of edema was calculated using formula:

**Percentage inhibition of edema mean**

\[ \text{Percentage inhibition} = \frac{\text{Mean increase in paw volume in control group} - \text{Mean increase in paw volume in treated group}}{\text{Mean increase in paw volume in control group}} \times 100 \]

### Subacute inflammation

Rats were divided into 4 groups of six in each. After clipping the hair in axillae and groin, under light halothane anaesthesia, two sterile cotton pellets weighing 10 mg each and two sterile grass piths (25mm × 2mm each) were implanted, subcutaneously through a small incision. Wounds were then sutured and animals were then caged individually after recovery from anaesthesia. Aseptic precautions were taken throughout the experiment. The rats then received calculated clinical equivalent doses, 200mg/kg of aspirin once daily (in aspirin group), 750mg/kg of G. arborea once daily (in low dose group), 1000mg/kg of G. arborea once daily orally (in high dose group), while the control group received normal saline orally. The treatment was started on the day of implantation and continued for 10 days. On 11th day, the rats were sacrificed with an overdose of anaesthesia to remove the cotton pellets and grass piths. The pellets, free from the extraneous tissue were dried overnight at 60°C to note their dry weight. Net granuloma formation was calculated by subtracting the initial weight of cotton pellet from the weights noted. Mean granuloma dry weight for various groups were calculated and expressed in mg/100g body weight.6

### Statistical analysis

The results were analyzed using one way ANOVA followed by post-hoc Tukey’s test in SPSS 21 Software for Microsoft. The statistical significant value for any measure was set to p<0.05 at a confidence interval of 95%. The results expressed is in mean±standard error.

### RESULTS

The animals were divided in to four group’s six rats in each group for acute and subacute inflammation. Seven days gap was given between acute and subacute inflammation.

### Acute inflammation

In acute inflammation the standard aspirin group showed significant reduction in paw edema when compared to low dose and high dose test groups.

The low dose test group reduced inflammation only to a certain extent which is significant when compared to the control group at the end of first hour and the percentage of edema reduction is not significant when compared with standard aspirin treated group. The high dose G. arborea group reduced the inflammation as well as paw edema which is significant when compared with control group. The high dose G. arborea has anti-inflammatory activity and it is comparable to the standard group (Table 2).

### Table 2: Effect of various treatments on carrageenan induced paw edema.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Control (Paw edema in ml (SEM))</th>
<th>Standard tramadol (Paw edema in ml (SEM))</th>
<th>Percentage inhibition</th>
<th>Low dose 750mg/kg (Paw edema in ml (SEM))</th>
<th>Percentage inhibition</th>
<th>G. arborea 1000mg/kg (Paw edema in ml (SEM))</th>
<th>Percentage inhibition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.5 hour</td>
<td>0.380±0.022</td>
<td>0.225±0.012</td>
<td>47.62</td>
<td>0.315±0.014</td>
<td>30.11</td>
<td>0.300±0.011</td>
<td>39.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 hour</td>
<td>0.506±0.018</td>
<td>0.263±0.022</td>
<td>51.81</td>
<td>0.392±0.026</td>
<td>35.28</td>
<td>0.374±0.055</td>
<td>44.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 hour</td>
<td>0.702±0.0184</td>
<td>0.311±0.015</td>
<td>56.32</td>
<td>0.479±0.025</td>
<td>41.33</td>
<td>0.447±0.025</td>
<td>50.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 hour</td>
<td>0.845±0.0168</td>
<td>0.297±0.015</td>
<td>63.28</td>
<td>0.531±0.038</td>
<td>47.29</td>
<td>0.378±0.089</td>
<td>57.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 hour</td>
<td>0.942±0.112</td>
<td>0.276±0.022</td>
<td>72.66</td>
<td>0.500±0.025</td>
<td>51.26</td>
<td>0.301±0.063</td>
<td>66.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 hour</td>
<td>0.782±0.263</td>
<td>0.203±0.033</td>
<td>80.21</td>
<td>0.441±0.033</td>
<td>58.33</td>
<td>0.261±0.011</td>
<td>74.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 hour</td>
<td>0.66±0.113</td>
<td>0.18±0.051</td>
<td>93.28</td>
<td>0.371±0.017</td>
<td>67.89</td>
<td>0.244±0.002</td>
<td>87.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All values are expressed as Mean±SEM. * denotes p<0.05 compared to control.

Table 3: Effect of various treatments on granuloma dry weight.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Granuloma dry weight</th>
<th>Percentage inhibition</th>
<th>Granuloma dry weight</th>
<th>Percentage inhibition</th>
<th>Granuloma dry weight</th>
<th>Percentage inhibition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low dose G. arborea 750mg/kg</td>
<td>35.22±0.258</td>
<td>31.33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High dose G. arborea 1000mg/kg</td>
<td>28.66±0.136</td>
<td>36.88</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All values are expressed as Mean±SEM. * denotes p<0.05 compared to control.

Sub-acute inflammation

The mean dry weight of 10 days old granuloma expressed as mg percent body weights. In the control group the dry granuloma weight was 44, while in standard aspirin (200 mg/kg) treated group it was significantly decreased (p<0.01) with the mean value of 21.36±0.236 and percentage inhibition of 40.28%. Similarly, low dose G. arborea (750mg/kg) and high dose G. arborea (1000mg/kg) treated group exhibited decreased granuloma weight (p<0.01) with mean value of 35.22±0.25, 28.66±0.13 with percentage inhibition of 31.33% and 36.88%.

The mean granuloma dry weight of aspirin, low dose and high dose G. arborea treated group showed significant difference (p<0.05) when compared with the mean granuloma dry weight of control group. It was found that, there was no significant difference (p>0.05) between the high dose G. arborea treated group with standard aspirin group, which indicates anti-inflammatory activity high dose of G. arborea was comparable to aspirin in sub-acute inflammatory model (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

Edema formation due to carrageenan in the rat paw is the biphasic event. The initial phase is attributed to the release of histamine and serotonin. The second phase of edema is due to the release of prostaglandins, protease and lysosome.7,8

The second phase is sensitive to most clinically effective anti-inflammatory drugs. The edema produced after injection of carrageenan in rodents is associated with the production of several inflammatory mediators, such as bradykinin, nitric oxide, prostaglandins and cytokines. It has been found that the injection of carrageenan into the rat paw induces the liberation of bradykinin, which later induces the biosynthesis of prostaglandin and other autacoids, which are responsible for the formation of the inflammatory exudates.9,11

The present study was carried out using different experimental inflammatory models like acute and subacute inflammation to evaluate anti-inflammatory activity of G. arborea. The inflammatory models in present experiment was checked using plethysmograph and granuloma model which are standard for screening agents for anti-inflammatory activity.

In present study the standard drug aspirin showed significant reduction in inflammation and edema when compared with the control group. The low dose and high dose G. arborea treated group showed the significant anti-inflammatory activity at the end of first hour in acute inflammatory model. The low dose group showed anti-inflammatory activity only to a certain extent whereas the high dose treated group started showing notable anti-inflammatory activity and reduction in the paw edema was more than 50% at the end of second hour. By the end of 5th hour the percentage edema inhibition was 74%.

In subacute inflammation the low and high dose G. arborea group showed significant percentage inhibition of granuloma when compared to the control group, but only the high dose results were comparable with the standard aspirin treated group. The results of our study were comparable to the study done by Bhabani et al.12

The anti-inflammatory activity of G. arborea may be because of the chemical constituent’s present. Luteolin, a flavonoid and lignans isolated from roots of G. arborea has been reported to reduce production of pro inflammatory mediators in LPS-stimulated macrophages, fibroblasts, and intestinal epithelial cells.13 The study done by Lewis et al, in 1994 reported that G. arborea contain preminazole an anti-inflammatory isoazole alkaloid.14

Alkaloids, flavonoids, lignans, tannins, iridoid glycoside and saponins were found to be present in this plant. The phenolic compounds such as flavonoids, tannins etc. are potential antioxidant and the antioxidant activity of these compounds is due to their ability to scavenge free radicals, lignans and iridoid glycosides have been shown good anti-inflammatory activity.15 The presence of most of the chemical constituents is found to be encouraging and indicates the therapeutic potential of the species.

CONCLUSION

Since G. arborea root extract was having all the qualities required for anti-inflammatory drug, it is safe to presume that this indigenous knowledge is a valid one and it can be safely used, considering its lack of toxicity for mammalian systems. However, no clear inference can be drawn at this
stage and hence we consider the work for further extensive research.
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