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ABSTRACT

Background: Calcium channel blockers are being used in the treatment of hypertension, ischemic heart disease, cardiac arrhythmias etc. They act by blocking the slow calcium channels. Influx of calcium is an essential step in the release of histamine and 5HT from mast cells and in the synthesis and release of prostaglandins. They are the main mediators in the process of inflammation. The aim of the present study was to compare anti-inflammatory properties of calcium channel blockers and aspirin in albino rats.

Methods: Present study was prospective intervention study carried out to compare anti-inflammatory properties of calcium channel blockers and aspirin in albino rats. Total 30 albino rats were used, and they were divided into 5 groups of 6 each. First group of rats was control group where normal saline was used. Second group was standard group where aspirin was used. Remaining three groups were test groups and given nifedipine, amlodipine and diltiazem respectively. Effects were observed and compared between the groups.

Results: In carrageenan method, the anti-inflammatory response of Aspirin was significantly higher. Nifedipine response was < aspin but > diltiazem. The response of amlodipine was not significantly > that of control percent inhibition. In histamine method, the anti-inflammatory response of aspirin was significantly highest. Anti-inflammatory response of nifedipine was < aspin but > diltiazem. Diltiazem response was < nifedipine but > anlodipine. In formaldehyde method, aspirin inhibition was highest at 96.2% followed by nifedipine (90.7%), diltiazem (75.9%) and amlodipine (3.7%). In cotton wool pellet granuloma, the mean dry granuloma weight was least for aspirin and percent anti-inflammatory activity was significantly high.

Conclusions: Calcium channel blockers (nifedipine, diltiazem) have shown comparable anti-inflammatory property with that of aspirin. Further clinical studies are required for confirmation.
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INTRODUCTION

Many of the human diseases are associated with inflammation, a protective response to various stimuli. But if the response is exaggerated the same process becomes harmful and calls for treatment. The inflammation process is so dynamic that it offers a number of parameters for the investigator seeking to inhibit the inflammation and the very availability of these parameters have led to a multiplicity of methods of testing for anti-inflammatory activity.1

The term anti-inflammatory used clinically refers to an agent which will lessen prevent one or more of the various recognized components of inflammatory reaction. The mechanism and causes of clinically important inflammatory conditions have been found to be very complex and intricate and vary with the disease. Hence the effectiveness of different drugs varies accordingly. Most of the currently available NSAIDs control inflammation by inhibiting synthesis of inflammatory mediators by acting on cycle oxygenase. Other class of the drug’s mainly; steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs inhibit phospholipase A2 enzyme.2
Interestingly several newer compounds such as heterocyclic aryl acetic acid derivates of inflammogens monoclonal antibodies and analgesics of inflammogens. Interestingly several other drugs like minocycline ascorbic acid and calcium salts (calcium dobesilase calcium hydroxide, calcium salts have been reported to possess anti-inflammatory property. Aspirin is an age-old drug widely used as an analgesic which alleviates pain without affecting consciousness. It was introduced by Dr. Hemich Dresser as an analgesic, but its anti-inflammatory action was demonstrated only after the demonstration of anti-inflammatory action of cortisone in 1948. Recent advances on various actions of aspirin on different symptoms warrants a relook into its exciting new possibilities like its role in reducing the risk of fatal and non-fatal heart attacks, stroke, migraine, cataract colorectal carcinoma and in control of pre-eclampsia pregnancy.3,4

Calcium channel blockers are being used in the treatment of hypertension, ischemic heart disease, cardiac arrhythmias etc. They act by blocking the slow calcium channels. Influx of calcium is an essential step in the release of histamine and 5HT from mast cells and in the synthesis and release of prostaglandins. They are the main mediators in the process of inflammation.5

While increased calcium may potentiate nociception or inflammatory events decreased calcium may reduce such events intra-dermal injection of calcium results with acute inflammation.6

Hence the present study was planned to compare anti-inflammatory properties of calcium channel blockers and aspirin in albino rats.

METHODS

Present study was prospective intervention study carried out at Deccan Medical College, Hyderabad during to compare anti-inflammatory properties of calcium channel blockers and aspirin in albino rats. Total 30 albino rats were used, and they were divided into 5 groups of 6 each. First group of rats was control group where normal saline was used. Second group was standard group where aspirin was used. Remaining three groups were test groups and given nifdefpine, amiodpine and diltiazem respectively. Effects were observed and compared between the groups. The anti-inflammatory activity was determined by producing inflammation in rats of either sex. The materials used was chemical and solutions like aspirin, calcium channel blockers, nifdefpine, amiodpine, diltiazem, carrageenan, histamine, formaldehyde, double distilled water and animals male-female rats were used in this experiment.

Rats were weighing between 150-250gm. Animals were procured from central animal house of the Deccan Medical College, Hyderabad. They were housed in cages in standard laboratory conditions with natural light and dark cycle and at room temperature. Food and water were given ad libitum. The protocol was approved by the Institutional Animal Ethical Committee (IEC).

Equipment used were mercury plethysmograph, insulin syringes, bell jars and glass beakers, animal weighing balance, animal cages, cotton pellets, stop watch, oral feeding tubes, spirit, skin suturing set, dissection set, 5% aqueous solution of amlocipillin, disposable needles, and markers. The drugs were administrated by oral feeding tubes.

Method of using the apparatus

An ink mark is made on a fixed point on the angle of the ankle joint of the rat. Now the limb is introduced gently into the mercury vessel. The mark of the limb and mercury level marks of the vessel are made parallel to each other. Within a few seconds the excess mercury passes and settles in the conjointed capillary where the mercury level is read. At the time of taking the reading, the ink marks of the animal limb. The mercury level mark of the glass vessel and the actual mercury level in the vessel should be accurately in one line. At this stage the capillary level of the mercury shows the amount of mercury displaced by the paw of the animal and indicates the paw volume.

Methods of inducing inflammation

- Method 1: Acute Model of inflammation: carrageenan induced hind paw edema in rats or Histamine induced Hind paw edema in rats.
- Method 3: Chronic model of inflammation: formaldehyde induced hind paw edema.

Method 1 (A): carrageenan induced hind paw edema in rats (Winter et al, 1962)7

The method used here in comprises the study of the acute inflammatory reaction induced by the carrageen injected into the sub-plantar surface of the right hind paw of rat according to the method of Winter et al.7 The apparatus used for recording the paw edema was the mercury plethysmograph.8

Acute inflammation was induced by sub-plantar injection of 0.1ml of freshly prepared 1% suspension of carrageenan in normal saline in the right hind paw of the rats and paw volume are measured in plethysmometrically at zero hours and 3hours after carrageenan injection. Aspirin in 2.5mg/kg body weight was administered orally 1 hour before carrageenan injection. Nifdefpine dissolved in normal distilled water 5mg/kg body weight was administered orally 1 hour before carrageenan injection. Amiodpine dissolved in normal distilled water 5mg/kg body weight was administered orally 1 hour before carrageenan injection. Diltiazem dissolved in normal
distilled water 4mg/kg body weight was administered orally 1 hour before carrageenan injection. Mean increase in paw volume percentage inhibition of inflammatory swelling were calculated for each group of drugs at 0 and 3 hours plethysmometrically. The group-I served as untreated control while group-II and group-III were designated as drug treated groups. The untreated group received normal saline 2ml orally. A convenient anatomical land mark was noted at the ankle to determine the swelling in paw to the same level every time. One hour after the oral administration of normal saline in the control group and drugs in the test groups, a sub plantar injection of 0.1ml of freshly prepared 1% suspension of carrageenan in normal saline was made into the right hind paw of each rat of the respective groups.

Method 1(B): Histamine induced hind paw edema

The method used here in comprises the study of the acute inflammatory reaction induced by the histamine injected into the sub-planar surface of the right hind paw of rat according to the method of Winter et al.7 The apparatus used for recording the paw edema was the mercury plethysmograph (Maurya R et al.).8 Drugs were administered as mentioned above and rest procedure was as above.

Method 2: Sub Acute Model of Inflammation

Sub-acute inflammation was produced by granuloma pouch method by implanting cotton pellets. This method is widely used to study the exudative and proliferative phases of inflammation. The rats were subjected to halothane anaesthesia and small linear incision of about 1cm was given in nape of the neck of the animal. Sterile cotton pellets (5mg) each impregnated with 0.4ml of 5% aqueous solution of Ampicillin were implanted in the inter-scapular area with aseptic precautions. The animals were maintained in clean cages food and water was allowed throughout the period of experimentation. On the 6th day, the rats were again anaesthetized with halothane and pellets were dissected out dried in the oven at 60° C and dry weight of cotton pellet is determined. The weight of granulomatous tissue formed was calculated by noting the difference in the dry weight of the cotton pellet recorded before and after the implantation.

Method 3: Chronic inflammation

The method used here in comprises the study of the chronic inflammatory reaction induced by the 0.1ml of 2% formaldehyde injected into the sub-plantar surface of the right hind paw of rats on day 1 and day 3. The apparatus used for recording the paw edema is mercury plethysmograph (Maurya R et al.).8 Drugs were administered as mentioned above and rest procedure was as above.

RESULTS

The anti-inflammatory response of drug aspirin was found to be significantly highest with percent inhibition of 72.4% the mean increase in paw volume was only 1.33ml with t value of 6.7 (p <0.001). Nifedipine anti-inflammatory response is less than Aspirin but more than diltiazem with percent inhibition of 65.60%. It was observed that when amlodipine was used as an anti-inflammatory agent, the anti-inflammatory response of drug amlodipine was not significantly more than that of control percent inhibition (p >0.05) (Table 1). The anti-inflammatory response of drug aspirin was found to be significantly highest with percent inhibition of 75.80% the mean increase in paw volume was only 1.33ml with t value of 6.93 (p <0.001). Anti-inflammatory response of drug nifedipine is less than aspirin more than diltiazem. Amlodipine was not significantly more than that of control percent inhibition (p >0.05). Anti-inflammatory response of drug diltiazem is less than nifedipine more than amlodipine (Table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Paw volume in ml Difference R- L at 3 hrs in 6 rats</th>
<th>Mean paw volume</th>
<th>% inhibition</th>
<th>T value</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control (normal saline)</td>
<td>6 5 4 6 3 5</td>
<td>4.83±0.47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspirin</td>
<td>1 2 1</td>
<td>1.33±0.21</td>
<td>72.40%</td>
<td>6.708</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nifedipine</td>
<td>3 1 1</td>
<td>1.67±0.33</td>
<td>65.60%</td>
<td>5.44</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diltiazem</td>
<td>2 2 1</td>
<td>2.33±0.42</td>
<td>51.70%</td>
<td>3.92</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amlodipine</td>
<td>5 4 5</td>
<td>4.5±0.22</td>
<td>6.80%</td>
<td>0.632</td>
<td>0.541</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Effect of calcium channel blockers and aspirin on rat hind paw inflammation induced by carrageenan (acute inflammatory model).
Table 2: Effect of calcium channel blockers and aspirin on rat hind paw inflammation induced by histamine (acute inflammatory model).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Paw volume in ml</th>
<th>Mean paw volume</th>
<th>% inhibition</th>
<th>T value</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Difference R - L at 3 hrs in 6 rats</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control (normal saline)</td>
<td>7 6 3</td>
<td>5.5±0.56</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspirin</td>
<td>1 2 1</td>
<td>1.33±0.21</td>
<td>75.80%</td>
<td>6.93</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nifedipine</td>
<td>3 2 2</td>
<td>1.83±0.30</td>
<td>66.70%</td>
<td>5.771</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diltiazem</td>
<td>2 4 2</td>
<td>3±0.36</td>
<td>45.40%</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amlodipine</td>
<td>6 5 5</td>
<td>5.16±0.16</td>
<td>6.18%</td>
<td>0.568</td>
<td>0.583</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Effect of calcium channel blockers and aspirin on rat hind paw inflammation induced by formaldehyde (chronic inflammatory model).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Day 1 Mean ±SE</th>
<th>% inhibition</th>
<th>Day 2 Mean ±SE</th>
<th>% inhibition</th>
<th>Day 3 Mean ±SE</th>
<th>% inhibition</th>
<th>Day 4 Mean ±SE</th>
<th>% inhibition</th>
<th>Day 5 Mean ±SE</th>
<th>% inhibition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aspirin</td>
<td>2.16±0.41</td>
<td>50.11</td>
<td>1.2±0.17</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1.33±0.21</td>
<td>84.03</td>
<td>1.00±0.0</td>
<td>88.9</td>
<td>0.33±0.21</td>
<td>96.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nifedipine</td>
<td>3±0.89</td>
<td>30.72</td>
<td>1.8±0.31</td>
<td>68.44</td>
<td>2±0.37</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>1.5±0.34</td>
<td>83.3</td>
<td>0.83±0.17</td>
<td>90.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diltiazem</td>
<td>3.67±0.82</td>
<td>15.24</td>
<td>3.5±0.34</td>
<td>39.67</td>
<td>3.83±0.40</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>3±0.37</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>2.17±0.48</td>
<td>75.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amlodipine</td>
<td>4.17±0.75</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>5.3±0.33</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>7.83±0.48</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8.5±0.67</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>8.67±0.56</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>4.33±0.52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.8±0.31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8.33±0.42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9±0.58</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9±0.56</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Cotton wool pellet granuloma: (sub-acute inflammation).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Dry Wt. granuloma (mg)</th>
<th>Mean dry granuloma wt (mg)</th>
<th>% inhibition</th>
<th>T value</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control (normal saline)</td>
<td>51 52 51</td>
<td>51.5±0.34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspirin</td>
<td>21 20 23</td>
<td>21.3±0.42</td>
<td>58.64</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nifedipine</td>
<td>32 32 34</td>
<td>32±0.52</td>
<td>37.86</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diltiazem</td>
<td>37 35 38</td>
<td>36.3±0.61</td>
<td>29.51</td>
<td>21.57</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amlodipine</td>
<td>47 46 46</td>
<td>47±0.45</td>
<td>8.73</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>0.051</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It was observed that with the use of anti-inflammatory drug aspirin the day 5 percent inhibition was highest at 96.2% followed by nifedipine at 90.7% diltiazem 75.9% and Amlodipine at 3.7%. The 5th day percent increase in mean of the right hind paw volume was found to be least for aspirin followed by nifedipine, diltiazem and amlodipine (Table 3). In the present study it was observed that the mean dry granuloma weight was least for aspirin and percent anti-inflammatory activity was significantly higher with t value of 55.6 (p <0.001).

For nifedipine and mean dry granuloma weight was less than aspirin and more than diltiazem with t value of 31.5 (p <0.001). For diltiazem and mean dry granuloma weight was less than Nifedipine and more than Amlodipine with t value of 21.57 (p <0.001). The mean dry weight granuloma...
8% anti-inflammatory activity of amlodipine was not significantly higher than control t value 7.9 (p >0.05) (Table 4).

**DISCUSSION**

Results of the present study indicate that calcium channel blockers nifedipine and diltiazem possess (P <0.001) Anti-inflammatory property in acute, sub-acute and chronic models of inflammation which were comparable to that of aspirin (P <0.001). Among the 3 calcium channel blockers only amlodipine did not show anti-inflammatory effect when compared to aspirin.

The present study is done in carrageenan and histamine induced hind paw edema method for acute model of Inflammation, cotton wool pellet granuloma used for sub-acute inflammation formaldehyde induced arthritis for chronic model of inflammation.

The possible mechanisms involved in the anti-inflammatory activity L-type of CCBs may be through Lake BG et al, Rodler S et al, Southan GJ et al, Craven PA et al. A reduction of the Ca\(^2+\) concentration in blood, causing a decrease in the vessel resistance, and consequent reduction of hydrostatic pressure in the capillaries, inhibition of the release of pro-inflammatory mediators by inhibiting the voltage dependent calcium entry, reduction of Ca\(^2+\) leading to inhibition of the activity of PLA2 and/ or PLC, the enzymes responsible for the synthesis of eicosanoids and leukotrienes. Stabilization of the cell membrane integrity (by inhibiting Ca\(^2+\) influx), thus preventing tissue injury and inflammation.

Aditya GN et al, in their study concluded that nifedipine produce significant and dose dependant anti-inflammatory effect in rat hind paw edema and was found to be more potent as compared to Aspirin. Authors also found that nifedipine was the most potent among all test drugs but its efficacy was lower as compared to aspirin which is in contrast to the study by Aditya GN et al.

Khaksari M et al, noted that the reduction in the edema was dose dependent. They also observed that verapamil at low doses was effective and not in higher doses. Verapamil and nifedipine effect were prevented by adrenalectomy. The anti-inflammatory effect of nifedipine was 66% at low doses before the treatment was given with α-corticotropin releasing factor. α-corticotropin releasing factor is an antagonist to corticotropin releasing hormone (CRH) receptor. It is as good as adrenalectomy. This factor can prevent only the low dose nifedipine effect.

Gitanjali K et al, studied anti-inflammatory activities of calcium channel blockers. They observed that the formaldehyde, histamine and carrageenan induced acute inflammation in the rats. But this was effectively decreased by all calcium channel blockers except nimodipine. The result was statistically significant for all calcium channel blockers except nimodipine (p <0.05). These findings are similar to the findings of the present study. Similar to the present study, the authors also used cotton pellet implantation for sub-acute inflammation and then tested the effectiveness of calcium channel blockers. They found similar results as mentioned above.

Since NSAIDs have many adverse effects including ulcerogenic, renal toxicity, hypertension the search for a better and less toxic drug is going on calcium channel blocker have shown comparable anti-inflammatory property so they can be used for this purpose or they can be used as adjuvants along with NSAIDs by reducing their dosage. Anyway, further studies and clinical trials are required to confirm their effectiveness.

**CONCLUSION**

The anti-inflammatory property of calcium blockers nifedipine, amlodipine, diltiazem was observed by inducing acute, sub-acute and chronic inflammation in Albino rats and was compared with that of Aspirin. Among the 3 calcium channel blockers, nifedipine showed the maximum anti-inflammatory response in acute, sub-acute and chronic inflammation. The anti-inflammatory response of diltiazem was comparable to aspirin but less than that of nifedipine more than Amlodipine. Amlodipine showed no anti-inflammatory response in acute sub-acute and chronic inflammatory models.
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