Prescription pattern of drugs in ENT outpatient department of tertiary care teaching hospital
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ABSTRACT

Background: Diseases of the ear, nose and throat (ENT) are common among general population affecting all age groups and accounts for most of the drugs prescribed and used. The aim of the study is to assess the prescription pattern of drugs in ENT outpatient department in a tertiary care teaching hospital.

Methods: A prospective observational study was conducted from December 2017 to May 2018 in the ENT OPD (Outpatient department) at Pt. J.N.M. Medical College and associated Dr. B.R. Ambedkar Memorial Hospital, Raipur. Data were collected in a proforma and evaluated.

Results: A total of 313 prescriptions were analysed. Most of the patients (40.89%) belonged to 13 – 35 years age group. 185 patients (59.10%) were male and 128 patients were females (40.90%). A total of 1003 drugs were prescribed. The average number of drugs per prescription was 3.2. Most common class of drugs prescribed was antimicrobials (24.42%), followed by H1 antihistaminics (18.84%), antiulcer drugs (15.55%) and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory (NSAIDs) drugs (14.35%). The average number of antimicrobials per prescription was 0.78. One or two antimicrobials were prescribed in 202 prescriptions (64.53%). The most common prescribed antimicrobial was of Penicillin group (64.89%) followed by Fluoroquinolone (25.71%). The most common prescribed route of drug administration was oral route (81.35%). The most common prescribed dosage form was tablets (74.87%). FDC constituted 24.62% of prescribed drugs.

Conclusions: The study revealed that the most common class of drug prescribed was antimicrobials followed by H1 antihistaminics, antiulcer drugs and NSAIDS.
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INTRODUCTION

Study of prescription pattern is important to assess the pattern of drug used. The World Health Organization (WHO) defined drug utilization as “the marketing, distribution, prescription and use of drug in a society with special emphasis on the resulting medical, social and economic consequence.”¹

Drug utilization research help to estimate number of patients exposed to specified drugs within a given time period, determine the pattern of drug use.²

The study of drug utilization helps in identifying the problems associated with drug usage in healthcare system as well as remarks the current approaches to the rational use of drugs.³

WHO defines rational use of medicines as “patients receive medications appropriate to their clinical needs, in doses that meet their own individual requirements, for an adequate period of time and at the lowest cost to them and their community.”⁴

Diseases of ENT commonly affect the general population. These diseases may vary from common cold to...
complicated chronic suppurative otitis media (CSOM). ENT diseases affect all the age group ranging from children to adults with significant disability-adjusted life (DALY) of patients. Various ENT diseases like upper respiratory tract infections, acute suppurative otitis media, chronic suppurative media are common.

According to WHO report respiratory tract infections were the fourth major cause of mortality constituting 7.4% in females and 7.1% in males.

Various drugs including antimicrobials are used for the treatment of ENT diseases though inappropriate use of the antimicrobials is a major problem as it can lead to development of drug resistance. Thus, it becomes necessary to monitor and evaluate different drug use patterns in the course of time and make necessary modification in the pattern of prescription so as to increase its therapeutic benefit and decrease its adverse effects.

Thus the present study is intended to assess the prescription pattern of drugs in ENT OPD in this institute. This would encourage good evidence based practice and facilitate appropriateness of drug use.

METHODS

The study was conducted in the ENT OPD and Department of Pharmacology, in Pt. J.N.M. Medical College and associated Dr. B.R. Ambedkar Memorial Hospital, Raipur, Chhattisgarh. The study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee.

This is a prospective observational study to evaluate the prescription pattern of drugs in ENT OPD. The study was carried out from December 2017 to May 2018 (six months). The study population consists of 313 patients who qualified for the inclusion criteria. Patients of either sex, visiting ENT OPD and giving consent were included in the study.

Data collection

A total of 313 prescriptions were analysed at varying time interval from the ENT OPD during a six months period. Data regarding demographics such as age, sex, WHO prescribing indicators which includes the average number of drugs prescribed per prescription, percentage of antimicrobials prescribed, percentage of other drugs prescribed, percentage of drugs prescribed by different routes, its dosage form, frequency, duration of treatment and FDC were collected in a predesigned proforma. The collected data was analyzed and results were expressed as mean, number and percentage.

RESULTS

A total of 313 prescriptions were analysed in this study. Majority of the patients were between the age group of 13-35 years age group (40.89%), followed by >35 years age group (33.54%), 6-12 years age group (14.69%) and least were in the age group of 0-5 years age group (10.86%) (Table 1).

Table 1: Age distribution of patients in this study (n=313).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (in years)</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-5</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>10.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-12</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>14.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-35</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>40.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;35</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>33.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this study the male patients were 185 (59.10%) and female patients were 128 (40.90%) (Table 2).

Table 2: Sex distribution of patients in this study (n=313).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>59.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>40.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The total number of drugs prescribed was 1003. The average number of drugs per prescription was 3.2. The total number of antimicrobials prescribed was 245. The average number of antimicrobials per prescription was 0.78 (Table 3).

Table 3: Prescription parameter.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Numbers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of prescriptions analysed</td>
<td>313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of drugs prescribed</td>
<td>1003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average number of drugs per prescription</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of prescriptions containing antimicrobials</td>
<td>202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of antimicrobials prescribed</td>
<td>245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average number of antimicrobials per prescription</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of FDC prescribed</td>
<td>247</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Number of drugs prescribed per prescription.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of drugs per prescription</th>
<th>Number of prescriptions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 drug</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 drugs</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 drugs</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 drugs</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 drugs</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 drugs</td>
<td>06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this study, 88 patients were prescribed 3 drugs (28.11%), 85 patients with 4 drugs (27.15%) followed by 65 patients prescribed with 2 drugs (20.76%) (Table 4).
The most frequent prescribed class of drug was antimicrobials (24.42%), H1 antihistaminics (18.84%), anti ulcer drugs (15.55%) followed by NSAIDs (14.35%) (Figure 1). The most common antimicrobial prescribed was the combination of Amoxicillin-Clavulanic acid (62.04%) (Figure 3).

In 202 prescriptions antimicrobials were prescribed, out of which 159 prescriptions had one antimicrobial prescribed in each whereas 43 prescriptions had 2 antimicrobials prescribed in each (Table 5).

Table 5: Number of antimicrobials per prescription.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of antimicrobials per prescription</th>
<th>Number of prescriptions</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>50.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No antimicrobials</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>35.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The most common prescribed class of antimicrobials and its various combinations was Penicillins (64.89%), Fluoroquinolones (25.71%) followed by Chloramphenicol (3.67%) (Figure 2). A total of 189 H1 antihistaminics were prescribed alone or in combinations out of which Levocetrizine was the most commonly prescribed H1 antihistaminics (68.25%) (Figure 4).

A total of 144 NSAIDS was prescribed either alone or in combinations out of which Paracetamol was the commonest prescribed NSAIDS (67.36%) (Figure 5).

This study also revealed that the most common route of drug administration prescribed was oral route in 816 drugs (81.35%) followed by topical route in 186 drugs (18.54%) (Figure 6).

The most common dosage form prescribed was Tablets (751; 74.87%), followed by Drops (134; 13.35%), Syrups (54; 5.38%) (Figure 7).
DISCUSSION

Prescription pattern studies are necessary to obtain data on drug use. In this study the maximum patients belonged to the age group of 13-35 years (40.89%). Male patients were predominant (59.10%). This is in accordance with the studies of Pradhan S et al, and Sridevi SA et al.3,10

This study reveals that 3.2 drugs were prescribed per prescription. 88 patients (28.11%) had received 3 drugs per prescription followed by 85 patients (27.15%) who were prescribed 4 drugs per prescription. A study by Padwal et al showed that the average number of drugs per prescription was 3.9.11 A similar hospital based study revealed that the average number of drugs prescribed per prescription was 3.12 However it has been advised that the average number of drugs prescribed per prescription should be kept to minimum in order to prevent antibiotic resistance, drug to drug interactions and adverse drug reactions.13,14

The study also revealed that amongst the different class of drugs, most common prescribed class of drugs were antimicrobials (24.42%) followed by H1 antihistaminics (18.84%), anti-ulcer drugs (15.55%), NSAIDS (14.35%) and multivitamins (11.26%). A study by Padwal et al, revealed in their study that the most common drug prescribed was antimicrobials (24.86%) followed by NSAIDS (23.6%), gastroprotective (22.55%) and antihistaminics (19.92%).11

In this study the most common class of antimicrobial prescribed was penicillin (64.89%) followed by fluoroquinolones (25.71%). A study conducted by Bhat GMN et al, study by Sumalatha R et al, and other studies, have revealed that B Lactum (penicillins and cephalosporins) were the most commonly prescribed antimicrobials.12,15-17 The most common antimicrobial prescribed in this study was amoxicillin-clavulanic acid (62.04%). This finding was in accordance with the study of Bhat GMN et al, and Sumalatha RK et al12,18

Regarding other class of drugs prescribed, our study revealed that amongst NSAIDS, paracetamol was the most common prescribed drug (67.36%) which is a good indicator as it is associated with least side effects. Similarly, amongst H1 antihistaminics, levocetirizine was the most common prescribed H1 antihistaminic (68.25%) which is from the second generation non- sedating antihistaminics. These groups of drugs were prescribed for the symptomatic treatment. Multivitamins were prescribed for oral ulcers and to patients on antibiotics. Anti-ulcer drugs were prescribed to combat drug induced gastritis.

Majority of drugs were prescribed by oral route (81.35%) followed by Topical route (18.54%). None of the prescribed drugs were administered via injections. This may be as this study is done on OPD patients. This is a welcome step and has to be encouraged. Similar findings were seen in the study done by Padwal et al, and Sumalatha
The most common dosage form prescribed was Tablet followed by drops and syrups.

The age, sex, dosage forms were written in all prescriptions. The routes of administration and frequency of doses were appropriate in the majority of cases. In writing prescription, it is found that chief complaints were mentioned in 79.87% prescriptions whereas diagnosis was mentioned in 23.96% prescriptions. As most of the collected prescriptions were prescribed by the resident doctors in the outpatient department, probably diagnosis was made by the consultant after discussion/ investigation report or histopathological report.

The study was conducted for a period of six months; hence seasonal variation in the pattern of disease may be different which may affect the prescribing patterns of drug.

CONCLUSION

The study showed the most common class of drug prescribed were antimicrobials followed by H1 antihistaminics, anti-ulcer drugs and NSAIDs. The most common antimicrobial prescribed was the amoxicillin-clavulanic acid combination. Most common route of administration prescribed was oral and the most common dosage form prescribed was tablets. Prescription pattern studies give us the feedback on over or under prescription of drugs, on rational use of drugs and its cost-benefit analysis.
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