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Cross sectional study to assess the awareness of pharmacovigilance among post graduate residents of tertiary care medical college hospital of central India
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ABSTRACT

Background: Pharmacovigilance knowledge and awareness in post graduate student doctors is key factor for proper implementation of PvPI. This study was planned to evaluate the knowledge & awareness of pharmacovigilance in post graduate students in tertiary care centre in Indore.

Methods: It was a single point cross sectional questionnaire-based study conducted in a tertiary care Institute MGM Medical College & M.Y. Hospital in the state of Madhya Pradesh at Indore. It was conducted among post graduate student doctors from various clinical departments. Total of 150 questionnaires were distributed, 115 of them were returned back and were analysed.

Results: Overall knowledge level was satisfactory. 91.30% knew about ADR while 95% were aware about PVPI. 13% knew about local AMC at Indore while only 4.34% knew global centre for Pharmacovigilance is at Sweden Uppsala. 86.95% thought Med watch as global database for ADR against only 13% knew its Vigibase. 96.50% thought ADR reporting is necessary. 97.40% thought it should be included in Ug curriculum. 95.65% had not reported any ADR till date while 86.95% had not seen an ADR form.

Conclusions: Post graduate doctors are the prime candidates to impart the importance of pharmacovigilance. The study strongly suggested that there was a great need to create awareness among the post graduate doctors to improve the reporting of ADRs.
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INTRODUCTION

Drugs used for therapeutic purpose are liable to produce adverse drug reaction even when used in therapeutic doses. Adverse drug reactions can be defined as an appreciably harmful or unpleasant reaction, resulting from an intervention related to the use of a medicinal product, which predicts hazard from future administration and warrants prevention or specific treatment, or alteration of the dosage regimen, or withdrawal of the product.¹

According to World Health Organization (WHO) definition, an ADR is any noxious, unintended, and undesired effect of a drug, which occurs at the doses which are used in humans for prophylaxis, diagnosis, or therapy.²

So, to monitor ADR in proper systematic way pharmacovigilance was started as a global need to control ADR and problems related to it. Pharmacovigilance is, “The science and the activities which relate to the detection, assessment, understanding and the prevention of adverse effects or any other drug-related problems.”³
Doctors, nurses, pharmacists are the key stakeholders of active reporting of ADR for any pharmacovigilance programme. Spontaneous reporting by various stakeholders is most important for monitoring known and unknown ADRs of medicines.4,5

The Uppsala Monitoring Centre (UMC, WHO) at Sweden is the apex body to maintain the international database of the adverse drug reaction reports. It has been estimated that only 6-10% of all the ADRs are reported worldwide which is very less.6

India is a participant of global pharmacovigilance programme but still due to lack of robust reporting structure and lack of awareness in doctors and other healthcare professionals; we still are miles behind in reporting ADRs.

For implement Pharmacovigilance programme in India efficiently and improve data collection The Pharmacovigilance Programme of India (PvPI) was initiated by the Government of India in July 2010 with AIIMS (All India Institute of Medical Sciences), New Delhi as NCC (National coordinating centre). The NCC was shifted from AIIMS, New Delhi to IPC (Indian Pharmacopeia Commission), Ghaziabad on 15th April 2011.7

AMCs (adverse drug reaction monitoring centres) are the principal data collecting centre in this programme. These are situated in various medical colleges and hospitals across the country. These centres collect individual case safety reports (ICSRs) and follow up the cases to gather necessary supplementary Information and perform scientific evaluation.8

Mahatma Gandhi Memorial medical college Indore is a tertiary care centre in Madhya Pradesh and every year around 200 post graduates across different specialities are enrolled who actively manage OPDs, ICU and other health care services. Till date no KAP study was done regarding pharmacovigilance awareness among post graduate students in the tertiary care institute. So, this study was aimed to evaluate their knowledge and attitude towards Pharmacovigilance and PvPI.

METHODS

This was a cross sectional, questionnaire-based survey which was conducted in a tertiary care Institute MGM Medical College and M.Y. Hospital in the state of Madhya Pradesh at Indore. It was conducted among post graduate student doctors from various clinical departments. The study instrument was a pre-designed 20 questionnaires which were structured to obtain information on the knowledge of the ADRs reporting and the attitudes towards the reporting.

There were 13 questions designed to test knowledge, 4 for attitude and 3 for practice.

The doctors were requested to complete the questionnaire and to return it within 1 day to their respective departmental offices.

Statistical analysis

The questionnaire was analysed and question-wise percentage values were calculated with the help of Microsoft excel spread sheet in MS Office 2010.

RESULTS

Total of 150 questionnaires were distributed, 115 of them were returned back and were analysed.

Percentage of responders: (115/150) X 100 = 76.66%

The percentage based calculation of all the responses were made by taking 150 (the total no. of responders) as the denominator.

Table 1 shows, 91.30% knew about ADR while 95% were aware about PVPI. 60.86% thought that important purpose of Pharmacovigilance is to calculate incidence of ADR.

82.60% knew about regulatory body of ADR monitoring is CDSCO.

69.50% knew apex body in India for Pharmacovigilance is IPC Ghaziabad.

Only 13% knew about local AMC is at SAIMS medical college Indore while only 4.34% knew global centre for Pharmacovigilance is at Sweden Uppsala.

52% thought it as duty of doctor only to report ADR and 47.82% knew all healthcare professionals can report ADR.

86.95% thought Med watch as global database for ADR against only 13% knew its Vigibase.

Table 2 shows, 96.50% thought ADR reporting is necessary, 86.9% thought it should be made mandatory for all health care professionals.

97.40% thought it should be included in UG curriculum and also the hospital should be made AMC.

Table 3 shows, 95.65% have not reported any ADR till date. 86.95% have not seen an ADR form. 60% did not know how to report while 20% had fear of legal consequences after reporting.

DISCUSSION

WHO has defined Pharmacovigilance as the science and activities relating to the detection, assessment, understanding and prevention of the adverse effects (AE), particularly long and short term side effects of medicines or any other drug related problems.9,10
Table 1: Responses to knowledge based questions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr No.</th>
<th>Most frequently answered %</th>
<th>Correct answer %</th>
<th>Most commonly wrong answered %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Do you know what an ADR is?</td>
<td>Adverse Drug Reaction: 105/115 91.30%</td>
<td>Adverse Drug Reaction: 105/115 91.30%</td>
<td>Acute Drug Reaction 10/115 8.69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Define Pharmacovigilance?</td>
<td>The science of monitoring ADR’s happening in a Hospital: 25/115 21.73%</td>
<td>The detection, assessment, understanding and prevention of adverse effects: 90/115 78.26%</td>
<td>The science of monitoring ADR’s happening in a Hospital: 25/115 21.73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Are you aware of Pharmacovigilance Programme of India?</td>
<td>Yes: 110/115 95.65%</td>
<td>Yes: 110/115 95.65%</td>
<td>No: 5/115 4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. The important purpose of Pharmacovigilance is</td>
<td>To calculate incidence of ADR’s 70/115 60.86%</td>
<td>To identify unrecognized ADR’s 45/115 39.13%</td>
<td>To calculate incidence of ADR’s 70/115 60.86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Which of the following methods is commonly employed by the pharmaceutical companies to monitor adverse drug reactions of new drugs once they are launched in the market?</td>
<td>Meta-analysis 40/115 34.78%</td>
<td>Post Marketing Surveillance (PMS) studies: 75/115 65.21%</td>
<td>Meta-analysis 40/115 34.78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. In India which Regulatory body is responsible for monitoring of ADR’s?</td>
<td>Central Drugs Standard Control Organization 95/115 82.60%</td>
<td>Central Drugs Standard Control Organization 95/115 82.60%</td>
<td>Central Drug Research Institute 20/115 17.39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. The national centre for monitoring ADRs in India is located at:</td>
<td>Indian Pharmacopoeia Commission, Ghaziabad 80/115 69.56%</td>
<td>Indian Pharmacopoeia Commission, Ghaziabad 80/115 69.56%</td>
<td>AIIMS, New Delhi 35/115 30.43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Which of the following is the AMC of the region?</td>
<td>GMC Bhopal 100/115 86.95%</td>
<td>SAIMS Indore 15/115 13.04%</td>
<td>GMC Bhopal 100/115 86.95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Rare ADRs can be identified in the following phase of a clinical trial</td>
<td>During phase-4 clinical trials 105/115 91.30%</td>
<td>During phase-4 clinical trials 105/115 91.30%</td>
<td>During phase-3 clinical trials 10/115 8.69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. The international centre for adverse drug reaction monitoring is located in</td>
<td>Unites States of America 110/115 95.65%</td>
<td>Sweden 05/115 4.34%</td>
<td>Unites States of America 110/115 95.65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. The healthcare professionals responsible for reporting ADR in a hospital is/are</td>
<td>Doctor 60/115 52.17%</td>
<td>Doctor, nurses and pharmacists 55/115 47.82%</td>
<td>Doctor 60/115 52.17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Which one of the following is the ‘WHO online database’ for reporting ADRs?</td>
<td>Med watch 100/115 86.95%</td>
<td>Vigibase 15/115 13.04%</td>
<td>Med watch 100/115 86.95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Which of the following scales is most commonly used to establish the causality of an ADR?</td>
<td>Schumock and Thornton scale 95/115 82.60%</td>
<td>Naranjo algorithm 20/115 17.40%</td>
<td>Schumock and Thornton scale 95/115 82.60%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Previous reported studies have found that underreporting of ADR is related with shortcomings in the knowledge and attitude of health care professionals.11-13 Hence, Authors planned this study to increase the awareness and practice among Post graduate students at the centre.
Table 2: Responses to attitude based questions.

| Do you think Pharmacovigilance should be taught in detail during undergraduate curriculum? | Yes 112/115 (97.40%) | Yes 112/115 (97.40%) | No 3/115 (2.60%) |
| Do you think reporting of adverse drug reaction is necessary? | Yes 111/115 (96.52%) | Yes 111/115 (96.52%) | No 04/115 (3.50%) |
| Do you think reporting of ADR should be made mandatory for healthcare professionals? | Yes 100/115 (86.95%) | No 86.95% | No 15/115 (13.40%) |
| Do you think the hospital should be AMC? | Yes 112/115 (97.40%) | Yes 112/115 (97.40%) | No 3/115 (2.60%) |

Table 3: Responses to practice based questions.

| Have you ever seen the ADR reporting form? | No 100/115 (86.95%) | Yes 15/115 (13.40%) |
| Have you ever reported an ADR? | No 110/115 (95.65%) | Yes 05/115 (4.34%) |
| What practical difficulties you have in reporting ADR at the center? | Don’t know how to report ADR 69/115 (60%) | ADRs are already documented in literature 12/115 (10%) | Don’t know how to fill up ADR form 12/115 (10%) | Fear of legal issues due to reporting ADR 22/115 (20%) |

Most important thing about KAP studies like these is response of participating candidates. This study had a very good response rate, 76.66%.

It shows most of the candidates were keen towards the subject of pharmacovigilance.

As far as knowledge is concerned, 93.30% knew ADR while 95.65% were aware about PvPI.

But only 39.13% knew its actual purpose to identify unrecognised ADR’s. This shows PG students have good approach towards this programme but they lack the training about it.

82.60% knew the apex body to monitor ADR is CDSCO and 69.56% knew the apex body of PVPI is IPC Ghaziabad. 91.30% knew about phase 4 trials in which rare ADR’s are identified. Above three values indicate that basic theoretical knowledge about ADR and PvPI is very good among the participating post graduates.

Advanced knowledge like local AMC was known to only 13.04% while global centre at Sweden Uppsala was known to only 4.34%. It shows lack of training in PVPI.

52.17% thought its only duty of doctors while 47.82% actually knew that any healthcare professional can report an ADR which further highlights importance of training in PVPI among budding health care professionals.

Attitude of the participating doctors was very much positive. 86% said that ADR reporting should be made mandatory to all health care professionals. 97.40% were of opinion to include pharmacovigilance from undergraduate syllabus itself and also the tertiary care centre should be made a recognised AMC to report ADR.

Practice part was found to be very much poor. 86.95% had never seen an ADR form ever while 95.65% never reported any ADR till date. This is a significant observation in this study because this clearly shows total lack of practice and training in PVPI.

This observation was further confirmed by asking the difficulties in reporting an ADR.

60% did not know how to report an ADR while 20% was afraid of legal consequences. 10% had seen but did not know how to fill up ADR form. Another 10% were reluctant to report an ADR because it was already documented in literature.

For improve the spontaneity in the reporting rates, the doctors suggested regular organization of training programmes and an uncomplicated reporting system with a quick feedback regarding their specific reports.

A similar study which was demonstrated that an educational intervention could increase the physicians’ awareness on ADRs and that the physicians would be able to incorporate the knowledge that they gained from their training into their everyday clinical practice.14

In some studies, the health care professionals were found to be highly aware of ADR reporting and were reporting ADRs. Authors found that majority of the health care professionals had good knowledge about pharmacovigilance and considered it essential.15

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this study strongly suggested that there was a great need to create awareness among the post graduate doctors to improve the reporting of ADRs. Post graduate doctors are the prime candidates to impart the importance of pharmacovigilance because they are the ones who actively are in touch with patients regularly and also, they are future specialists.
If they get habit to report the ADR’s it will greatly improve the quality of data collection and also boost goals of PVPI.

The training sessions must clarify the roles of the various healthcare professionals in pharmacovigilance. There should be closer relationship between the doctors and the pharmacovigilance centres.
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