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ABSTRACT

Background: Fever and pain are the most common symptoms prompting patients to seek medical attention. This study was planned to study the drug utilization pattern of fixed drug combination of antipyretic and analgesic compared to paracetamol in paediatrics department of Gauhati Medical College and Hospital.

Methods: It was a prescription based cross-sectional study where Prescriptions with fixed dose combination of antipyretic and analgesic and prescriptions with paracetamol were observed. Demographic characteristics such as age, sex and indications were also recorded. The data were analysed for the utilization pattern of antipyretic and analgesic combination and compared with prescriptions with paracetamol alone without any other analgesic combination.

Results: Out of 266 prescriptions screened 110 were prescribed with fixed dose antipyretic- analgesic combination while 156 were prescribed with paracetamol alone. The antipyretic - analgesic combination was composed of paracetamol and ibuprofen. This combination was prescribed in most of the post-operative cases and in cases with pain for various causes. Fever was the most common condition where only paracetamol and no other analgesic were prescribed. Percentage of combination prescribed was highest in children between 10 - 14 years of age and least in infants.

Conclusions: This study shows the increase utilization of antipyretic-analgesic combinations in various conditions and the preference of fixed dose combination over paracetamol increases with increase in age of the paediatric patients.
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INTRODUCTION

Fever and pain are the most common symptoms prompting patients to seek medical attention. Antipyretics are drugs that lowers raised body temperature.1 The role of antipyretics in children is sceptical as most children tolerate low grade fever (less than 38.5°C) well.2 Most common antipyretic used in paediatrics is paracetamol.3 Ibuprofen has equivalent antipyretic efficacy to Paracetamol when used at recommended doses, but it is unclear whether ibuprofen is equally effective in relieving important clinical outcomes like child’s discomfort and symptoms. It is also unclear whether Ibuprofen has any safety advantage.4 Antipyretic analgesic combinations are used commonly in paediatrics in cases of fever with pain and other conditions. The most common combination is ibuprofen and paracetamol. Both paracetamol and ibuprofen fall under non-steroidal anti-inflammatory group of drugs (NSAIDs). NSAIDs are one of the most common causes of adverse drug reactions (ADRs) reported to drug regulatory agencies as well as encountered in many clinical and epidemiological studies.5 The common adverse effects are gastric irritation or erosions, nausea and vomiting, headache,
bleeding etc. The use of ibuprofen and paracetamol in the paediatric population has been a topic of research for more than 30 years. The efficacy and safety of this combination have not been well evaluated and the physiological effects of each agent may potentiate the risk of toxicity. The American Academy of paediatrics and the National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence have advised against routinely using paracetamol and ibuprofen simultaneously. The frequency and the indications for such combinations are not specific. The present study has been undertaken to look for such indications and how frequently fixed dose combinations of antipyretic and analgesic are used over paracetamol only prescriptions in the paediatric populations.

METHODS

The present study was conducted in the Department of Paediatrics, Gauhati Medical College, Guwahati. The protocol was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee of Gauhati Medical College and Hospital, Guwahati bearing approval no. MC/2/2015/83.

The study was conducted in the paediatrics (inpatient and outpatient) department of Gauhati Medical College and Hospital.

It was a prescription based cross-sectional study. The study was conducted for duration of 6 months (June 2015 to Nov 2015).

Inclusion criteria

- Paediatric patients of all age groups
- Paediatric patients of both sexes
- Paediatric patients prescribed with fixed dose combination of antipyretic and analgesic
- Paediatric patients prescribed with paracetamol.

Exclusion criteria

- Paediatric patients not prescribed with fixed dose combination of antipyretic and analgesic
- Paediatric patient not prescribed with paracetamol.

Method of data collection

The samples were collected from the indoor and outpatient department of paediatrics, Gauhati Medical College and Hospital regularly for a period of six months from June 2015 to November 2015. The indoor samples were collected every Monday, Wednesday and Friday while the outdoor samples were collected every Tuesday, Thursday and Saturday. Prescriptions with fixed dose combination of antipyretic and analgesic and prescriptions with paracetamol were observed. Demographic characteristics such as age, sex and indications were also recorded. The data were analysed for the utilization pattern of antipyretic and analgesic combination and compared with prescriptions with paracetamol used alone without any other analgesic in combination. The various conditions for which antipyretic-analgesic combinations were given preference over paracetamol were also observed.

RESULTS

The results of the study have been expressed in Tables 1, 2 and Figures 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6.

Table 1: Indications and number of prescriptions of antipyretic-analgesic combination and paracetamol.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Indications</th>
<th>No. of prescription</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Paracetamol</td>
<td>Fever</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>50.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trauma, Abcess, Cysts, Ulcer</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-operative</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total 156</td>
<td></td>
<td>58.65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combination</td>
<td>Pain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trauma, Abcess, Inflammation after vaccine injection, Lymphadenitis, Insect bite, Cysts, Folliculitis</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>24.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-operative</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>16.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total 110</td>
<td></td>
<td>41.35%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The antipyretic-analgesic combination was composed of paracetamol and ibuprofen. Paracetamol and ibuprofen combination was prescribed in most of the post-operative cases and in various painful conditions like trauma, painful cysts and other inflammatory conditions (Table 1).

Fever was the most common condition where only paracetamol and no other analgesic were prescribed. A total of 50.4% of all prescriptions was analysed were prescribed paracetamol (Table 1, Figure 1).
Out of 266 prescriptions screened, 110 were prescribed with fixed dose antipyretic-analgesic combination while 156 were prescribed with paracetamol alone as antipyretic or analgesic or both (Table 1, Figure 1).

Paracetamol only was also prescribed in some of the post-operative and other painful conditions but the frequency was very low (Table 1).

Majority of the paracetamol only prescriptions were seen in cases of fever (Figure 2).

162 of the prescriptions analysed were of male paediatric patients, while 104 were female (Figure 4).

Percentage of combination prescribed was highest (67%) in children between 10 - 14 years of age and least (15%) in infants (Table 2, Figure 5).

Highest number of paracetamol prescriptions was seen in infants (85%) and least (33%) in children between 10 - 14 years of age (Table 2, Figure 6).
DISCUSSION

For a developing country like India, a national drug policy is needed to rationalize drug use. To achieve this, it is very important to determine drug use patterns and monitor drug use profiles over time. Previous data regarding the utilization of analgesic combinations in India is largely unknown. Paracetamol has antipyretic and analgesic effect but has negligible anti-inflammatory actions. Oral ibuprofen at lower doses has analgesic activity and at higher doses shows anti-inflammatory efficacy. Long term use of ibuprofen is associated with increased adverse effects. It has been reported that combining paracetamol with ibuprofen does not affect the analgesic efficacy of ibuprofen but only increases the risk of toxicity. In practice however, paracetamol and ibuprofen in combinations or in alternating doses has gained popularity. This practice cannot be routinely recommended in children with such paucity of evidence to support the safety or efficacy of this combination. Paracetamol and ibuprofen have similar mechanisms of action, which theoretically increases the risk of renal and hepatic toxicity. Although such cases have not been demonstrated in large clinical trials, there are individual case reports of reversible renal damage occurring in children being given these drugs in combination. There is some evidence that paracetamol - ibuprofen combination more effectively lowers body temperature than each one of them alone, but evidence of the combination showing superior analgesic activity than each of them alone is lacking. However, Ong et al in a systematic review have reported that paracetamol-ibuprofen combination and paracetamol combined with other NSAIDs (non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs) provide better analgesia in acute postoperative pain. The human studies reviewed by Ong et al consisted of studies in children comparing paracetamol and paracetamol-ibuprofen combination. Paracetamol has been found to reduce the antibody response to vaccinations, because of which it is no longer recommended to routinely administer paracetamol before or after an immunization to reduce the likelihood of fever. Interestingly, Donati et al reported 19 cases of ibuprofen induced hypothermia in children in Italy.

In this study it is seen that paracetamol is used in almost all the cases of fever as well as in various painful conditions and its combination with ibuprofen is invariably used in most of the postoperative cases and other painful conditions where ibuprofen or paracetamol alone could have given the same benefit as the combination. Paediatric patients are categorized as special population while treating and should be handled cautiously. Such combination would only increase the adverse effects as well as the expense load to the family. It is also seen that antipyretic - analgesic combination was less prescribed in infants and younger age groups and its prescription increases with increase in the age of the patients, being highest in the older age group (10-15 years). Fever was the only indication for which paracetamol was prescribed in this group (10 - 15 years). All painful conditions were prescribed with combinations only.
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