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ABSTRACT

**Background:** The existing medical undergraduate curriculum includes training in prescription writing in second phase under pharmacology and Therapeutics. This study evaluates the prescription writing skills of undergraduate medical students and interns and need for emphasis on prescription writing during various phases of learning process in medical undergraduates.

**Methods:** After obtaining institutional ethics committee approval, we recruited 4th to 8th semester medical undergraduate students and interns into the study, who gave written informed consent. They were given a pilot-tested, pre-evaluated questionnaire addressing the issues of prescription writing. They were asked to prescribe for a common clinical scenario. Their prescriptions were analysed for various parameters as indicated in WHO guidelines.

**Results:** Of the 350 medical undergraduate students and interns invited, 281 of them participated in the study. 169 participants (60.1%) agree that they have not written a formal prescription to any patient when the questionnaire was administered. 134 participants (47.6 %) feel that undergraduate training has not prepared them for prescribing properly. 90% of participants said reinforcing classes during 3rd, 4th year and during Compulsory Routine Rotatory Internship will be beneficial. Though 220 participants have opined that generic name should be used while prescribing, only 124 have actually used generic names in their prescriptions. Fifteen participants have failed to write the symbol while prescribing. All 121 interns in the study have used brand names while prescribing.

**Conclusions:** There are widespread lacunae in prescription writing by medical undergraduates. There is perceived need for reinforcement sessions at third and final phase of undergraduate education to address this gap. Most participants opine that clinicians do not discuss this issue during case discussion. There is knowledge and practice gap in using generic names while prescribing.
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INTRODUCTION

Prescription writing is one of the important ‘core’ competency expected from a medical graduate. Decision-making and proper transcribing are quintessential attributes of writing an ideal prescription.¹ The word, ‘prescribe’ comes from Latin word meaning to write or to designate or order the use of as a remedy. Prescription not only indicates names of drugs, dosage and duration of treatment, but also acts as legal document indicating instructions to patients, pharmacists and contains essential contact information of prescriber and patient. This information may come handy in case of adverse drug event.² Any mistake in writing a proper prescription leads to prescription errors, in turn leading to medication errors. Prescription errors are reported to have a heavy toll in health care.³ In United States, prescription errors are eighth leading cause of death.³ (Similar data is not available in India). Modifications in medical undergraduate training has shown to have a positive impact in prescription writing skills.⁴⁻⁶
Prescription writing is taught in second phase of medical education in India. Students will be utilizing this knowledge throughout their life. They start prescribing during their internship. Most of them learn by tedious learn by error methods even though it is taught systematically well in advance during undergraduate training. Generally most learn by observing seniors or when are commanded by seniors. 7-10

World health organization (WHO) has made few recommendations for optimized effective prescriptions. They are directed towards mentioning professional, patient's identity and details, mode of administration, drug generic names, dosage and frequency of use and duration of treatment.11 Care must be taken to avoid any errors in prescribing as it adversely affects the treatment outcome. Therefore, there is need for proper education based intervention to aid improvement in prescribing competency.

With advent of new drugs and formulations, prescribing has become more complicated. Especially in scenarios where there are newer drugs or newer indications for older drugs. Therefore, the prescribers must be very cautious while writing. It serves as a legal document as well.

There are many studies done in past, especially in foreign universities evaluating the prescription writing skills of junior doctors and medical undergraduates.5,8,11-14 This study evaluates the prescription writing skills of undergraduate medical students and interns. By analysing their skills we could address the primary question - was systematic training of prescription writing during second phase helpful in third and final year MBBS and internship? If not what can be done to improve prescription writing during these training phases?

The objective of this study was to assess the prescription writing skills among various phases of undergraduate medical students. And to assess the need for emphasis on prescription writing during various phases of learning process in medical undergraduate’s.

METHODS

The design of this study was cross sectional survey.

Inclusion criteria

Fourth to eight semester medical students and interns.

Exclusion criteria

Students not willing to participate. Students who did not complete the questionnaire or fill in the prescription.

The study was conducted Azeezia Institute of Medical Sciences.

Azeezia ethics committee approval was obtained prior to initiation of the study. The study duration was May to August 2015.

Tools used

- Structured questionnaire
- Prescription writing for a specific clinical scenario
- Written informed consent form.

The questionnaire (annexure 1) was pretested in the department of pharmacology with 25 students and questions were standardized. The testing and retesting of the questionnaire was done. The questions were rephrased, reframed, added, and removed from the questionnaire for better comprehension. Then the questionnaire was distributed among intended participants.

The students were invited to take part in this research, after obtaining the written informed consent; the structured questionnaire was distributed to the participants. They were asked to prescribe for a clinical scenario printed in the form after which they would fill in the feedback questionnaire printed on the reverse. The process took around twenty minutes for a participant. The students participated in different batches. In the process, there occurred self-learning and introspection to some extent. After collecting the questionnaire, the students were given a briefing on how to write a proper prescription.

Participants answered a case scenario of acute gastritis and were asked to prescribe drug therapy in a given time. Prescribing knowledge of students was evaluated based on their quality of prescription, compliance with good prescribing pattern and WHO's prescribing indicators. The patient’s name, age, and gender; the date of issuance; the symbol Rx; the drug name (legible), dose, and strength; directions for use; the signature of the prescriber, date, and prescriber’s registration number and instructions for refills were chosen as nominal variables to assess the completeness of prescriptions.

RESULTS

A total three hundred and fifty medical undergraduates were approached, out of which 281 students participated in this study, this included 39 from semester 4, 35 from semester 5, 37 from semester 6, 49 from 8th semester and 121 interns.

Table 1 depicts analysis of feedback questionnaire. Prescription writing is systematically dealt during second year pharmacology teaching, 21 participants (7.4%) failed to acknowledge that they have learnt it during their training programme. Fifty participants (17.8%) said that there were no questions asked in summative assessment regarding prescription writing, whereas it is actually a part
of the university requirement for exams and is actually included in the practical assessment.

Table 1: Tabulation of answers of feedback questionnaire (n=281).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Questionnaire</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of participants who have -</td>
<td>N=281</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>learnt prescription writing previously</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>92.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Were there any questions in summative assessment regarding prescriptions</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>81.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Written prescriptions previously</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>39.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undergraduate training - prepared you?</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>52.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinicians discuss prescription writing</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>44.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prescription writing as part of case discussion</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>23.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discussions and writing help for better prescription</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>83.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinforcing classes during 3rd and 4th year</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>90.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinforcing classes during CRRI</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>90.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade name or generic name</td>
<td>61/220</td>
<td>21.7/78.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide patient education</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>85.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharge counselling on medication</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>86.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special population difficulty</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>91.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pharmacist contribute to medication use</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>35.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collaboration with pharmacist will enhance safety</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>84.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pharmacist - reliable information</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>47.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ever dispensed medications</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>20.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training in prescribing paediatric drugs</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Parameters noted in prescription written by participants (n=281).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters noted in prescription</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date mentioned</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>85.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name of doctor mentioned</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>66.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address of doctor</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>33.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone number of doctor</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>21.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient name written</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>96.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient address mentioned</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>42.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient phone number</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient's age</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>95.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>88.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>21.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symbol</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>94.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency written</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>90.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>81.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dosage form</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>89.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generic</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>41.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brand</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>58.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dose</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>83.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient instructions</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>43.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hand writing</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>97.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>29.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initials (signature)</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>95.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Registration number</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>56.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instruction to pharmacist</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

169 participants (60.1%) agree that they have not written a formal prescription to any patient when the questionnaire was administered. 134 participants (47.6%) feel that undergraduate training has not prepared them for prescribing properly. Nearly 126 participants agreed that clinicians discuss prescription writing but only 23.8% agree that these discussions were part of clinical case discussions. Fifty-four participants said that discussions happen more often. Only nine participants feel that such discussions are regular. This implies that training of prescription writing is more of a non-case discussion event. More than three fourth of participants (235, 83.6%) equivocally accept that such discussions and on hand training by clinicians help them in better prescription writing. 90% of participants said reinforcing classes during 3rd, 4th year and during compulsory rotatory residential internship will be beneficial.

220 participants (78.2%) says generic name while prescribing but 61 participants preferred trade name. Most participants agree that they should educate patients (85.8%) and counsel while discharging (86.5%).

Analysis of prescriptions (Figure 2)

Handwriting was legible and comprehensible in 98% of the prescriptions. Though 220 participants have opined that generic name should be used while prescribing, only 124 have actually used generic names in their
Prescribing drugs for common ailments is an important core competency of medical undergraduate. It involves a mixture of knowledge, judgement and skills. The existing medical undergraduate medical curriculum includes prescription training in second phase under pharmacology. Even though all medical undergraduates get training regarding prescription writing during their second phase of MBBS, in this study, we noted 7.4% of the participants saying they never had such training. This depicts either careless attitude of the students during their formative training or subtleness of such training. There is some evidence suggesting improvement in training. Many studies opine that once the newly qualified doctors exposed to workplace prescription writing, they retrospectively feel that there was insufficient emphasis on practical aspects of writing prescription in undergraduate curriculum. Interns share this view as well. There is growing evidence that, prescription errors are increasing and are often caused by inadequate training in prescription writing. With better training and exposure of medical students this issue can be addressed.3,22

The main findings of this study are

- Though every medical undergraduate gets trained in prescription writing, they are not confident of writing.
- Prescribing was very rarely practiced prior to graduation.
- Less than half the respondents agree that clinicians discuss prescription writing skills.
- Most feel that they need reinforcement sessions at third and final phase of medical training.
- Most feel that they need reinforcement session at the beginning of internship.
- Most participants felt that there difficulty in prescribing for special groups.

Results of assessment of prescription writing skills at various stages of medical undergraduate training showed similarity to many previous studies done in India and neighbouring countries. Illegible handwriting may lead to serious medication error and has been reported in many of the previous studies. Contrastingly, in our study, handwriting of most participants was legible and comprehensible.

Information regarding the prescriber was missing in many prescriptions. Participants in many studies, including in our study, failed to mention their phone numbers and addresses. Such contact details may be lifesaving if patient faces adverse drug events. In India, it is important to mention the registration number in each prescription. The lack of the display of registration number may amount to a serious negligence on the part of the doctor. In our study, all interns failed to depict a slot for registration numbers.

Use of generic names is universally advocated while prescribing. But most previous studies report that many doctors write brand names. In our study, even though many participants knew that generic names to be used (according questionnaire analysis), they wrote brand names while prescribing. Various studies have suggested assisted e-learning modules brings about positive change.
in undergraduates regarding prescription writing. Educational training programs have resulted in significant behavioural changes. Good prescribing habits reinforced by practical example as in good bed side clinical class or OPD training is essential to bring a change in prescription writing skills in medical graduates.

Implications

This study evaluates the difficulties in learning and recollecting prescription writing skills. By addressing such issues, it can be assumed that students will be able to prescribe with minimal errors/without any errors. Knowledge about students' perception regarding reinforcements during third and fourth years of training or inclusion during case discussion is of great value for the regulatory/scientific body which designs or formulates the medical curriculum. Clinical pharmacologists should play an essential role in training of proper prescription writing skills among medical graduates. Prescription writing should be identified as an important core learning competency. It runs vertically through the medical curricula integrating with relevant horizontal modules.

CONCLUSION

There are widespread lacunae in prescription writing by medical undergraduates. There is perceived need for reinforcement sessions at third and final phase of undergraduate education to address this gap. Most participants opine that clinicians do not discuss this issue during case discussion. There is knowledge and practice gap in using generic names while prescribing. There is discrepancy in patient instructions, discharge advice and contact details of prescriber in case of adverse drug event.
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# Annexures

## Annexure 1: Questionnaire and prescription writing case used in the study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Questionnaire</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Have you learnt the prescription writing previously? - Yes ☐ / No ☐

2. Were there any questions in your summative assessment of pharmacology regarding prescription writing? - Yes ☐ / No ☐

3. Have you written a prescription previously for any common ailments? - Yes ☐ / No ☐

4. Do you feel that your undergraduate training has prepared you to prescribe? - Yes ☐ / No ☐

5. Do clinicians discuss prescription writing as a part of case discussion? - Yes ☐ / No ☐

6. If Yes, how often? A) very rare B) rare C) often D) regular

7. Have you written any prescriptions in the case discussion classes? - Yes ☐ / No ☐

8. If Yes, how many? Number-

9. Do such discussions and writing help in efficient prescribing? - Yes ☐ / No ☐

10. Do you need any reinforcing classes during third and fourth year of training for prescription writing? - Yes ☐ / No ☐

11. Do you need any reinforcing Classes during CRRI - Yes ☐ / No ☐

12. Do you prefer using trade name ☐ or generic name ☐ while prescribing a drug?

13. Do you Provide patient education on their medicines - Yes ☐ / No ☐

14. Do you Provide discharge counseling on medication use - Yes ☐ / No ☐

15. Do you find it difficult to prescribe for special population (like-Pregnant, children, liver failure, renal failure, heart failure etc.) - Yes ☐ / No ☐
16. Do you get pharmacist to contribute to medication use in your practice? - Yes ☐ / No ☐

17. Do you think collaboration with pharmacists will enhanced drug related patient safety? - Yes ☐/ No ☐

18. Do you think pharmacists are a reliable source of clinical drug information? - Yes ☐ / No ☐

19. Have you ever Dispensed medication - Yes ☐ / No ☐

20. Did you have enough training about pediatric drugs? - Yes ☐ / No ☐

21. Where do you obtain drug related data (Source of drug information)
   - CIMS, physicians’ desk reference, Drug index, MIMS ☐
   - Indian National formulary, Indian Pharmacopeia, British National Formulary, Text books ☐

**Annexure 2: Prescription writing questions**

Write prescription for following case scenario:

Abenizer, aged 40 years presented with upper abdominal pain and severe retching. He was diagnosed to have acute gastritis.