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INTRODUCTION

Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is one of the most common endocrine disorders encountered in clinical practice globally. In the US prevalence of DM was found to be around 14% whereas in case of pre-diabetes the number was 38% in the year 2011-12. Type 2 diabetes is progressive in nature and has an underlying pathophysiology which is multifactorial and quite complex in nature. The disease often requires different class of pharmacological agents in individual patients to achieve optimum glycemic control. Many new therapeutic approaches evolving for last few years in the treatment of DM. Of these therapies, the newest types are incretin-based therapies and the sodium-glucose cotransporter-2 (SGLT-2) inhibitors.

Many studies in the past have indicated that there is a close association between T2DM and cardiovascular diseases (CVD). Hypertension, dyslipidemia, obesity, endothelial dysfunctions are the features we can commonly relate to the state of persistent hyperglycemia. Hyperuricemia is an increasingly
common medical problem in both developed as well as developing countries. It is suggested that this condition is closely related to the other features of metabolic syndrome like dyslipidemia and hypertension. Moreover recent studies have indicated that high level of serum uric acid level may give rise to CVD like atherosclerotic heart diseases and atrial fibrillation. The relation between hyperuricemia and coronary artery disease is established worldwide, although the relationship between the two is a complex one. High levels of uric acid can give rise to CVD by promoting various pathophysiological mechanisms like forming uric acid crystals, inducing NO production, exerting oxidative stress, promoting inflammatory reactions and others. SGLT2 inhibitors lower blood glucose in an insulin-independent manner by reducing the reabsorption of glucose from the renal filtrate. In addition to lowering the blood glucose level it helps in weight reduction. Uric acid levels are generally higher in diabetic population. Several clinical trials and meta-analysis have clearly demonstrated that usage of SGLT2 inhibitors in diabetic individuals can lower the circulating uric acid levels consistently. Greater reduction in serum uric acid level was observed among the patients with high glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c) levels. It is evident that treating a diabetic individual with SGLT2 inhibitors as a monotherapy or add on can significantly increases uric acid excretion, reduces circulating uric acid and improves parameters of CV and renal function. This study aims at finding the impact of SGLT2 inhibitors on serum uric acid level when used as a add on therapy to metformin in diabetic individuals.

**METHODS**

It was a prospective interventional study involving patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus who were on metformin monotherapy in optimum dosage (2 gm daily) and having inadequate glycemic control. A total of 50 subject attending the Internal Medicine outpatient department of Midnapore medical college and hospital, aged between 30-60 years were recruited for the study. Total duration of the study was 12 weeks (November 2019 to February 2020). Institutional ethics committee’s approval was obtained prior to initiating our study. Written informed consent was received from each participant. Blood samples were sent to measure biochemical parameters like serum uric acid, HbA1c at the baseline. Personal history taking and other clinical parameters were screened at the beginning of the study. All the subjects were assessed for their respective ASCVD risk for 10 years using MDRD formula and history of recent genitourinary infection were excluded. Other clinical and non-clinical parameters were thoroughly screened to assess the subjects’ compatibility with this class of anti-diabetic agents. With the help of endocrinologist adequate dosage regimens were finalized for each participant. Canagliflozin and dapagliflozin were the drugs used in our study. After 12 weeks serum uric acid level and HbA1c were measured and compared with the baseline values. All the subjects were put on strict low-calorie diet which is suitable to their respective glycemtic status. Special emphasis was given for life style modification for throughout the study period as well. Foods or drugs which can interfere with the serum uric acid level were advised to be excluded from the diet of the participants throughout the study period.

**Statistical analysis**

Data was entered on Microsoft excel. Continuous variables were summarized as mean and standard deviation. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 21. Paired t and unpaired t test were used for intragroup and intergroup comparison of the values respectively. A p-value <0.05 was considered significant. All the analysis was carried out at the Department of Pharmacology, Midnapore Medical College, West Bengal.

**RESULTS**

The study was conducted to find out the impact of SGLT2 inhibitors on serum uric acid level when used as an add on therapy to metformin in diabetic individuals. Among the pts, aged 35-70 years, attending general medicine outpatient Department of Midnapore Medical College. 50 patients met the inclusion criteria and got enrolled in our study. The study subjects were predominantly male (82%) as shown in (Figure 1), with the mean age of 56.16 years respectively. BMI wise most of the subjects (44%) belonged to overweight category (32%) and normal category (24%) (Figure 2).

![Figure 1: Gender wise distribution of study population.](image)

26 out of 50 patients were on tab canagliflozin 200 mg (52%) and rest 24 (48%) were on tab dapagliflozin 10 mg as an add on therapy to metformin. In the canagliflozin group the mean HbA1c was 7.6192±0.510 and the mean serum uric acid (SUA) level was 6.2346±0.677 at the baseline. On the other hand, the
mean HbA1c and the mean SUA of the dapagliflozin group were 7.6958±0.499 and 6.391±0.771 respectively at the baseline. At the end of 3 months , the mean HbA1c of the dapagliflozin group was 7.512±0.467 and the mean HbA1C of the canagliflozin group was 7.400±0.538, whereas the mean serum uric acid level of the dapagliflozin group was 6.095±0.675 and in canagliflozin group it was found to be 6.038±0.624 (Figure 3). Both the changes were found to be statistically insignificant (Table1). However, when the total study population is considered, mean HbA1c was 7.65±0.5, whereas mean serum uric acid was 6.31±0.72 at the baseline. After 3 months HbA1C and serum uric acid levels of total population were found to be 7.45±0.50 and 6.06±0.64 respectively. Both the changes were statistically significant (p<0.005) (Figure 4 and Table 1). No adverse drug reactions were reported throughout the study period.

Table 1: Comparison between mean HbA1c and mean SUA levels at baseline and after 3 months in total population and individual groups of patients receiving dapagliflozin and canagliflozin.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. no.</th>
<th>Baseline level (mean±SD)</th>
<th>After 3 months (mean±SD)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean HbA1c (total population)</td>
<td>7.65±0.501</td>
<td>7.45±0.503</td>
<td>≥0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean SUA (total population)</td>
<td>6.310±0.720</td>
<td>6.066±0.643</td>
<td>≥0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean HbA1c (CANA)</td>
<td>7.619±0.510</td>
<td>7.400± 0.538</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean SUA (CANA)</td>
<td>6.234±0.677</td>
<td>6.038±0.624</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean HbA1c (DAPA)</td>
<td>7.695±0.499</td>
<td>7.512±0.467</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean SUA (DAPA)</td>
<td>6.391±0.771</td>
<td>6.095±0.675</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values of serum uric acid (SUA) are expressed in mg/dl, values of HbA1c are in %.

DISCUSSION

The present study elucidated the impact of the SUA lowering effect that is commonly observed in response to SGLT2 inhibitors in diabetic population which can be an additional ploetrophic benefit in addition to glycemic control. When glycemic control is concerned, total population achieved significant reduction in HbA1c values after 3 months when compared to baseline, various randomized studies, meta-analysis have shown similar efficacy of SGLT2 inhibitors in the past. This group of oral anti diabetic drugs acts in insulin independent manner, and promotes glycosuria by inhibiting glucose reabsorption from the proximal convoluted tubule of nephron. Studies have demonstrated dose dependent increment in glycosuria and improvement in glycemic parameter like oral glucose tolerance test in the past.8
Hyperuricemia is common biochemical finding in patients with T2DM. Significant reduction in serum uric acid level have been observed when diabetic patients are treated with drugs like canagliflozin. Similar results were observed in a randomized control study conducted in China, which showed dapagliflozin effectively reduced serum uric acid level of hospitalized patients having inadequate glycemic control. Both these results are consistent with finding of our study, as significant reduction in uric acid as well as HbA1c were observed when total population is concerned includes both dapagliflozin and canagliflozin groups. It can be said SGLT2 inhibitors as a whole has a decremental effect on serum uric acid, apart from the drugs used in our study, another molecule in this class i.e., empagliflozin also showed similar effect on serum uric acid as well as blood pressure of diabetic population. It is widely suggested that metformin is the drug that every drug naïve diabetic patients should be started with unless contraindicated. When choosing the add on therapy to metformin factors like diet, lifestyle, usage of other medications by individual subjects throughout the study period.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, SGLT2 inhibitors like canagliflozin and dapagliflozin provides the evidence that they reduce uric acid and HbA1c. These findings suggest the beneficial effects of SGLT2 inhibitors on the cardiovascular risk factors in patients with T2 DM.
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