Effectiveness analysis of antipsychotics in schizophrenia using psychometric scales: an observational study
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ABSTRACT

Background: Schizophrenia is a commonest and one of the well known psychiatric disorders. Life expectancy of a patient with schizophrenia may be 20 to 30 years shorter than the general population. Long term antipsychotic therapy is usually required for the management of schizophrenia. It is not currently possible to predict which antipsychotic may be optimal for a given patient because there are still many debates about effectiveness and efficacy of atypical drugs over first generation antipsychotics. So, our aim is to assess the effectiveness of various antipsychotics by using various psychometric scales, which will be helpful to bring out better treatment options for schizophrenia patients.

Methods: This was an observational questionnaire based study, conducted on patients of inpatient and outpatient Department of Psychiatry and Department of Pharmacology at VIMSAR, Burla, for a period of 24 months (September 2015 to August 2017). Patients of schizophrenia aged 18 years or above were subjected to clinical global impression – severity scale (CGI-S) and clinical global impression – improvement scale (CGI-I) questionnaire after taking informed consent. Then scores were calculated using non parametric test with Graph pad Prism version 6.0.

Results: Out of the 90 cases, majority (60) of patients belonged to the middle (25 to 45 yrs) age group followed by younger (<25 yrs) age group and rest 10 were elderly (>45 yrs) patients. Both scales showed significant improvement with atypical antipsychotics as compared to first generation antipsychotics.

Conclusions: Based on these findings, we can conclude that atypical antipsychotics are more effective than first generation antipsychotics. But further studies are needed to assist clinicians in making optimum treatment decisions.
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tardive dyskinesia at therapeutic doses limit their use in some patients, so the treatment thus include treatment of acute psychotic exacerbations, relapse prevention, minimization of adverse effects and amelioration of broad range of symptoms including positive, negative, cognitive and mood symptoms. Cognitive dysfunction is the strongest predictor of functional impairment among schizophrenia patients, yet negative symptoms and cognitive deficits show limited improvement with antipsychotic treatment.

There are around 65 antipsychotic medications utilized across the world. They are classified into two groups – First generation antipsychotics and Second generation (atypical) antipsychotics. Antipsychotics are effective in both treating the acute psychotic episode and preventing relapses. Both First generation antipsychotics and second-generation antipsychotics are effective in reducing positive and disorganization symptoms but are only minimally effective for negative and cognitive symptoms, which contribute significantly to the disability associated with schizophrenia.

Various previously available studies states that there are no consistent differences in efficacy among antipsychotic agents, except for the superior efficacy of clozapine in treating positive symptoms and disorganization in otherwise antipsychotic refractory schizophrenia patients.

Although, second generation antipsychotics were all initially believed to be more efficacious and tolerable than first generation antipsychotics, results of large scale studies (such as the clinical antipsychotic trials of intervention effectiveness (CATIE)) indicate that second generation antipsychotics are no more efficacious than first generation antipsychotics, in contrast to their efficacy in treating disorganization and positive symptoms, antipsychotics are less effective in reducing negative, cognitive and mood symptoms. Therefore, it is not currently possible to predict which antipsychotic may be optimal for a given patient.

There are still many debates about supremacy of other atypical drugs over earlier medication. Decision about antipsychotic therapy therefore often entail a trial on error process involving careful monitoring of response and adverse effects, an ongoing risk benefit assessment, and judicious switching if necessary. A number of simple scales exist to facilitate such measurements. So, to find out optimal therapy for schizophrenia, this study has been designed to investigate and compare the effectiveness of various antipsychotics with different pharmacological and clinical profile in the course of schizophrenia using various psychometric scales.

**METHODS**

After obtaining the Institutional Ethics Committee clearance and informed consent from participants the study has been conducted on the patients of inpatient and outpatient Department of Psychiatry and Department of Pharmacology at V. S. S. Institute of Medical Sciences & Research, Burla from September 2015 to August 2017.

Prospective, observational and questionnaire-based study was designed with sample size of ninety patients suffering from schizophrenia.

**Selection of cases:** Convenience sampling.

**Inclusion criteria:**

Patients of schizophrenia aged 18 years or older, diagnosed according to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition, and provided written informed consent were included.

**Exclusion criteria**

Patients who were at imminent risk of injury to themselves or others, who had a known hypersensitivity to drugs, or who had been treated with investigational agents within the previous 30 days were not eligible for enrollment. Women of childbearing potential who were not using an adequate method of contraception and women who were pregnant or breast feeding were also not eligible for participation.

**Method of study**

This was a 24-month, prospective, observational study in patients with schizophrenia who were initiated on various typical and atypical antipsychotics. Physicians were allowed to change treatment during the study as clinically warranted. Data has been collected at baseline and subsequently every 6 months up to 24 months for clinical global impression (CGI) scales.

**Statistical analysis**

All the scores have been evaluated using Graph pad prism version 6.0 using non-parametric scales. P value <0.05 was kept statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

Overall 90 patients suffering from schizophrenia, at different stages of disease, treated with different type of antipsychotic agents were included in the study to observe the effectiveness of various antipsychotics.

**Demographic profile of patients**

Out of the 90 cases, majority of patients belonged to the middle (25 to 45 yrs) age group (60 (66.7%)), followed by younger (<25 yrs) age group (20 (22.2%)) and rest were elderly (>45 yrs) patients (10 (11.1%)) as shown in Table 1.
Table 1: Age distribution of schizophrenia patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (in years)</th>
<th>No. of cases (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;25</td>
<td>20 (22.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-45</td>
<td>60 (66.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;45</td>
<td>10 (11.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Out of 90 cases, 60 (66.7%) were males and 30 (33.3%) were females as presented in Table 2.

Table 2: Sex distribution of schizophrenia patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>No. of cases (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>60 (66.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>30 (33.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Educational status of schizophrenia patients was as follows: out of 90 cases, 30 (33.3%) patients were illiterate, 6 (6.7%) patients had studied below 8th standard, 44 (48.9%) had studied above 8th standard but below 12th standard and only 10 (11.1%) patients had studied above 12th standard as depicted in Figure 1.

Figure 1: Educational status of schizophrenia patients.

The antipsychotics prescribed during last one year were trifluoperazine and chlorpromazine (1st generation antipsychotics) and olanzapine, risperidone, clozapine and amisulpride (atypical antipsychotics) as shown in Table 3.

Table 3: Antipsychotics usage during last one year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of antipsychotic</th>
<th>No. of cases (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Olanzapine</td>
<td>20 (22.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risperidone</td>
<td>10 (11.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amisulpride</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clozapine</td>
<td>2 (2.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trifluoperazine</td>
<td>32 (35.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorpromazine</td>
<td>26 (28.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other than antipsychotics, various other medications were used in order to minimize side effects of antipsychotics and to treat the other symptoms of disease. The most commonly used agents were anticholinergics (trihexyphenidyl) (46 (51.1%)), benzodiazepines (clonazepam, alprazolam, nitrazepam, lorazepam) (70 (77.78%)), antiemetics (domperidone) (26 (28.89%)), antihistaminics (promethazine) (34 (37.78%)), proton pump inhibitors (pantoprazole, omeprazole) (26 (28.89%)), mood stabilizers (valproate) (10 (11.11%)), Co-enzyme Q-20 (12 (13.33%)) and multivitamins (22 (24.44%)) as depicted in Figure 2.

Table 4: CGI-S and CGI-I scale scores during treatment with first generation and atypical antipsychotics on 6 month duration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scales</th>
<th>Total score with first generation antipsychotics after 6 month duration (Mean±SEM)</th>
<th>Total score with atypical antipsychotics after 6 month duration (Mean±SEM)</th>
<th>Level of significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CGI-S Scale</td>
<td>3.5±0.26</td>
<td>2.5±0.18</td>
<td>0.0156*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGI-I Scale</td>
<td>2.63±0.18</td>
<td>1.88±0.13</td>
<td>0.03*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.05=statistically significant, clinical global impression – severity scale (CGI-S) and clinical global impression – improvement scale (CGI-I).

At baseline, out of 90 patients 58 patients were receiving first generation antipsychotics and rest 32 patients were taking atypical antipsychotics. At the end of 6 months, 16 patients out of 58 who were receiving first generation antipsychotics were switched to atypical antipsychotics and 4 patients out of 32 patients who were taking atypical antipsychotics were switched to first generation drugs due to side effects, so total number of patients in first generation group was 46 and in atypical group were 44.
At the end of 12 months, 30 patients out of remaining 46 patients (first generation group) were switched to atypical antipsychotics, so atypical group contained 74 patients and first-generation group contained 16 patients. After that no change had been made in the treatment of patients, the same treatment was continued till the completion of the study period.

The comparison of effectiveness of first generation and atypical antipsychotics was made by using CGI-S scale which measures severity of disease, CGI-I scale which measures improvement in disease condition at 6, 12 and 18 months by using non parametric, paired t-test (Wilcoxon signed rank test).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scales</th>
<th>Total score with first generation antipsychotics after 12 month duration (Mean±SEM)</th>
<th>Total score with atypical antipsychotics after 12 month duration (Mean±SEM)</th>
<th>Level of significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CGI- S scale</td>
<td>2.87±0.35</td>
<td>2±0.38</td>
<td>0.0002***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGI- I scale</td>
<td>2.06±0.26</td>
<td>1.20±0.41</td>
<td>0.0002***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Both scales showed significant improvement with atypical antipsychotics as compared to first generation antipsychotics as shown in Table 4 and Table 5.

DISCUSSION

This prospective observational study intended to compare the effectiveness of typical and atypical antipsychotics in our tertiary care hospital provided the above mentioned data which mostly correlates with previous research articles.

The age group for schizophrenia in present study was same as the study conducted by Cortesi et al. The peak age of onset of schizophrenia is 10-35 years. The onset may be abrupt or insidious, but majority of people manifest a slow and gradual development. Gender distribution revealed male predominance in this study. This corroborates with the study conducted by Johnson et al, Suresh et al and Kua et al.

Educational status of schizophrenia patients was poor as 33.3% patients were illiterate, 6.7% patients had studied below 8th standard, 48.9% patients had studied above 8th standard but below 12th standard and only 11.1% patients had studied above 12th standard. Result of this study is similar to the study conducted by Cortesi et al who also had reported poor educational status among schizophrenia patients. Educational status of schizophrenia patient is an important factor for prognosis. In general, it is said that poor educational status is associated with poor prognosis.

The types of antipsychotics prescribed in last one-year duration in present study were trifluoperazine and chlorpromazine (first generation) and olanzapine, risperidone, clozapine and amisulpiride (atypical). On retrospectively observing the patients record, it was evident that haloperidol has been prescribed to every patients on their 1st episodes but soon were switched to other typical or atypical antipsychotics because of extra-pyramidal side effects. Other studies, in contrast to this study studied that the newer antipsychotics like aripiprazole, ziprasidone, quetiapine etc were most commonly prescribed. But, as our study is a government hospital based study, prescription of free drugs is mandatory for the clinicians and also, the other reason for non –prescription of newer antipsychotics was their high cost and patients in our study belonged to low socio-economic status.

In order to minimize side effects of antipsychotics and to treat the other symptoms of disease, various other medications were used. The proportion of use of anticholinergics, benzodiazepines, mood stabilizers and anti-histaminics were almost similar to study conducted by McCue et al. But prescription of PPIs, vitamins and enzymes were additional in the present study.

Result of this study is in corroboration with the study conducted by Macfadden et al, who found that patients with schizophrenia had reduced illness severity (as measured by CGI-S scale) and improved clinician rated functioning with atypical antipsychotics (as measured by level of functioning scale, global assessment of functioning scale and personal and social performance scale) after 3 months of treatment. Results of the study conducted by Ravanic et al were also similar to this study i.e. atypical antipsychotics, in long term (five years) showed better effectiveness in chronic schizophrenia with positive and negative symptoms than typical antipsychotics as per scores of psychometric instruments like general well-being scale, positive and negative syndrome scale and CGI.

CONCLUSION

Overall, these results may contribute to clarify the existing controversial data available regarding effectiveness of first generation and atypical antipsychotics. Based on these findings, it can be said that atypical antipsychotics are more effective than first generation antipsychotics in terms of severity reduction and improvement in symptoms. But, because of
availability of many newer antipsychotics and controversial knowledge about their effectiveness, more studies involving other antipsychotics, employing other scales and including patients of diverse socio-economic status are needed to get still deeper insight into the effectiveness of various antipsychotics in the management of schizophrenia.
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