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INTRODUCTION

Assessment of use of drugs is a system of ongoing criteria-based drug evaluation system that ensures the appropriate use of drugs.¹ Drug use is complex subject which includes patients, physicians and dispensers who may be influenced by various factors which are often difficult to measure or quantify. WHO has developed, standardized and evaluated a number of indicators which are grouped in to three categories namely: prescribing indicators, patient care indicators and facility indicators.² Throughout the world, information on drug use is limited which indicates that drugs are not used optimally and this inappropriate use has serious health and economic consequences which is not beneficial for the success of national health care system. The irrational use of drugs
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becomes the world wide problem than the absence of drugs information. Even drug experts (pharmacists) have also contributed to the irrational use of drugs in a world wide scale, encompassing developing and developed countries, developing countries have rather worsened condition. The label on dispensed medicines should provide the patient with all the information necessary so that the medication can be taken or used appropriately, as result therapeutic effectiveness of the drug will be improved and toxicity and adverse drug reaction will be reduced. Globally, more than 50% of all medicines are prescribed, dispensed or sold inappropriately, while 50% of patients fail to take the prescribed drugs correctly and one third of the world population lacks access to essential medicines. Studies reported from various areas of the world reveal different drug use patterns. Irrational use of medicines can stimulate inappropriate patient demand and lead to reduced access and attendance rates due to medicine stock outs and loss of patient confidence in health. Essential drug ones selected to fulfill the real need of the majority of the population in diagnostic, prophylactic, therapeutic and rehabilitative services using criteria of risk benefit ratio, cost effectiveness, quality practical administration as well as patient compliance and acceptance. Generally, irrational drug use are numerous and complex involving the health system, prescriber, dispenser, patient and the community. Due to such worsened condition, it is now felt that the overall drug use situation needs to be assessed, problems identified and remedial intervention strategies to be implemented. The current study is, therefore, aimed at assessing patterns of drug use by using WHO prescribing indicators.

**WHO prescribing indicators**

1. **Average number of drugs per encounter:** Average, calculated by dividing the total number of different drug products prescribed, by the number of encounters surveyed. It is not relevant whether the patient actually received the drugs.

2. **Percentage of drugs prescribed by generic name:** Percentage, calculated by dividing the number of drugs prescribed by generic name, by the total number of drugs prescribed, multiplied by 100.

3. **Percentage of encounters with an antibiotic prescribed:** Percentage, calculated by dividing the number of patient encounters during which an antibiotic is prescribed, by the total number of encounters surveyed, multiplied by 100.

4. **Percentage of encounters with an injection prescribed:** Percentage, calculated by dividing the number of patient encounters during which an injection is prescribed, by the total number of encounters surveyed, multiplied by 100.

5. **Percentage of drugs prescribed from essential drugs list or formulary:** Percentage, calculated by dividing the number of products prescribed which are listed on the essential drugs list or local formulary (or which are equivalent to drugs on the list).

**Aim & objectives**

The present study was undertaken with an aim to develop baseline data on drug prescribing pattern and to evaluate the rationality of the prevalent prescribing pattern by using WHO prescribing indicators.

**METHODS**

After obtaining approval from Institutional Research Committee, a prospective observational study was conducted in hospitalized patients in medical department of Uttar Pradesh Rural Institute of Medical Sciences & Research (UP RIMS & R), Saifai, District Etawah, Uttar Pradesh, India. Study period was Jan 2015 to June 2015.

All inpatient case records selected randomly were analyzed for prescriptions. Only drugs of modern medicine were included in the study. Intravenous fluids and transfusions were not counted as drugs. Data were collected in a study proforma from case records. Study proforma contained demographic data, disease data and drug data of the patients. Data were analyzed according to WHO prescribing indicators and presented by using descriptive statistics namely total numbers, mean and percentage wherever applicable.

**RESULTS**

A total of 626 prescriptions were selected in which 3205 drugs were prescribed. The demographic profiles of the patients showed that up to age of 20 yrs, it was 15.81%, (21-40 years) were 29.06%, (41-60 years) were 26.52%, (61-80 years) were 19.32% and >80 age group were constituted 9.26% (Figure 1).

![Figure 1: Age distribution of patients.](image)

The proportions of males were higher 63.01% as compared to females 36.09% (Figure 2). The most common route used were oral (71.03%) followed by parenteral (24.05%) and topical (4.92%) (Figure 3).
Average number of drugs per encounter was 5.11. Drugs prescribed from essential drugs list (India) was 76.06%. Drugs prescribed from essential drugs list (WHO) was 23.04%. Total number of prescriptions with injections was 24.05%. Percentage of fixed dose combinations was 28.7%. Drugs prescribed by generic name were 89.88% (Figure 4 and Table 1).

### Table 1: Prescribing indicators.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Observed value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of prescriptions analyzed</td>
<td>626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of drugs prescribed</td>
<td>3205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average number of drugs per encounter</td>
<td>5.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drugs prescribed by generic name</td>
<td>89.88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drugs prescribed from essential drugs list (India)</td>
<td>76.06%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drugs prescribed from essential drugs list (WHO)</td>
<td>23.04%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of prescriptions with antibiotics</td>
<td>24.27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of prescriptions with injections</td>
<td>24.05%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of Fixed Dose Combinations</td>
<td>28.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The incidence of polypharmacy was also common with range of 2 to 8 drugs per prescription. 32 prescriptions had two drugs per prescription. 22.68% of prescriptions had 4 drugs, 18.21% had 5 drugs and 15.81% had 6 drugs per prescription. More than 8 drugs were prescribed in 2.87% prescriptions. Prescriptions contained 4 or more drugs were about 87% (Table 2).

Most of the patients had chronic liver diseases 14.06%, followed by diabetes mellitus 13.88%, diarrhoea 11.22%, coronary artery diseases 12.17%, chronic kidney disease 10.07%, cirrhosis 8.75%, and hepatitis 8.37% (Figure 6).
how prescribing habits are being directly influenced by the representative of the drugs companies for undue favours but this is not applicable in our study. Generic prescribing reduces the chances of dispensing errors which may be due to misinterpretation of like sounding names of drugs and also decreases the economic burden on the patients. Hence we should encourage generic prescribing. Drugs from NLEM were only 76.06%. Though it is comparable with other Indian studies, (78%) in study by Niti et al, 2014\(^{15}\) (70.26%) by Binu et al, 2013\(^{16}\) but was still on the lower side as compared to standard value and study done by U.K. Chandelkar et al., 2014 (99.67%).\(^{17}\) Also the percentage of drugs prescribed from the essential drugs list of WHO was only 23.03%. This may be due to lack of awareness of essential drug list. Injectables were used in (24.05%) of prescription. Though the use of injectables were high as compared to standard value (less than 10%) but was lower than studies done by N. Meena et al, 2013 (59.1%)\(^{12}\) and by P. Geetha et al, 2015 (38%).\(^{18}\)

Antibiotics prescribed were 24.27% out of all drugs. According to WHO 15-25% of prescriptions with antibiotics are expected in most of the developing countries where infectious diseases are more prevalent. This figure was within the standard limits however figure was very high (59.1%) in study done by N. Meena et al, 2013.\(^{12}\) Appropriate use of antibiotics is absolutely necessary to prevent emergence of drug resistance and should be used after culture sensitivity testing. Most of the acute respiratory and acute gastroenteritis cases are due to viral infection and may not need antibiotics. An antibiotic policy should be formulated so that the clinicians can use them judiciously according to patients need.

Poly pharmacy was clearly visible in our study. Maximum number of prescriptions i.e. 22.68% had four drugs each followed by five drugs in 18.21% and six drugs in (15.81%), seven drugs in (14.05%) and eight drugs in (13.41%) of prescription. Poly pharmacy is a very common practice now days as is reported by various studies (Binu et al, 2013, Afroz et al, 2012 and Niti et al, 2014)\(^{13,15,16}\). It is of concern in those patients with various co-morbidities as it increases the chances of drug interactions & adverse effects.

The most common disease pattern seen in patients was chronic liver diseases accounting for (14.06%) of cases followed by diabetes mellitus & coronary artery disease which were accounting for (13.88%) and (12.17%) of cases.

The most common categories of drugs prescribed were; antibiotics (24.64%) followed by anti-diabetics (12.38%) and analgesics (12.23%). The ideal value of percentage of prescription with antibiotics is less than 30%. In our study percentage prescription of antibiotic was according to ideal value. Similar value was also present in study done by Afroj Abidi et al, 2012\(^{13}\) but its values were

**DISCUSSION**

Prescription auditing is important tool for quality assurance in hospitals. They should address problems that have serious consequences for patients if proper treatment is not given. The audit data will be of great value to health care providers, for their decision making and drafting policies.

In present study, 626 prescriptions were analyzed, contained 3205 drugs. Therefore average number of drugs per prescriptions was found 5.11. This value is similar to study done by Harmeet et al, 1998\(^{11}\) and N. Meena et al, 2013.\(^{12}\) This is much higher than the recommended limit of 2.0. This value is also higher in studies done by Afroz et al, 2012 (4.22),\(^{13}\) P. Geetha et al, 2015 (4.38).\(^{14}\) Increase in the number of average drugs per prescription may increase the risk of drug interactions, & may lead to unwanted adverse effects. It also increases the cost of treatment and increased chances of emergence of resistance.

Drugs prescribed by generic names were only in 89.88% of cases. Although this is low as compared to standard value 100% but is higher than study done by Nitti et al, 2014 (21.55%),\(^{15}\) Afroz et al, 2012 (3.79%),\(^{13}\) N Meena et al, 2013 (3.64%)\(^{12}\) and Binu et al, 2013 (14.83%).\(^{16}\) The low values of generic drug use in the above studies shows how prescribing habits are being directly influenced by the representative of the drugs companies for undue favours but this is not applicable in our study. Generic prescribing reduces the chances of dispensing errors which may be due to misinterpretation of like sounding names of drugs and also decreases the economic burden on the patients. Hence we should encourage generic prescribing. Drugs from NLEM were only 76.06%. Though it is comparable with other Indian studies, (78%) in study by Niti et al, 2014\(^{15}\) (70.26%) by Binu et al, 2013\(^{16}\) but was still on the lower side as compared to standard value and study done by U.K. Chandelkar et al., 2014 (99.67%).\(^{17}\) Also the percentage of drugs prescribed from the essential drugs list of WHO was only 23.03%. This may be due to lack of awareness of essential drug list. Injectables were used in (24.05%) of prescription. Though the use of injectables were high as compared to standard value (less than 10%) but was lower than studies done by N. Meena et al, 2013 (59.1%)\(^{12}\) and by P. Geetha et al, 2015 (38%).\(^{18}\)

Antibiotics prescribed were 24.27% out of all drugs. According to WHO 15-25% of prescriptions with antibiotics are expected in most of the developing countries where infectious diseases are more prevalent. This figure was within the standard limits however figure was very high (59.1%) in study done by N. Meena et al, 2013.\(^{12}\) Appropriate use of antibiotics is absolutely necessary to prevent emergence of drug resistance and should be used after culture sensitivity testing. Most of the acute respiratory and acute gastroenteritis cases are due to viral infection and may not need antibiotics. An antibiotic policy should be formulated so that the clinicians can use them judiciously according to patients need.

Poly pharmacy was clearly visible in our study. Maximum number of prescriptions i.e. 22.68% had four drugs each followed by five drugs in 18.21% and six drugs in (15.81%), seven drugs in (14.05%) and eight drugs in (13.41%) of prescription. Poly pharmacy is a very common practice now days as is reported by various studies (Binu et al, 2013, Afroz et al, 2012 and Niti et al, 2014)\(^{13,15,16}\). It is of concern in those patients with various co-morbidities as it increases the chances of drug interactions & adverse effects.

The most common disease pattern seen in patients was chronic liver diseases accounting for (14.06%) of cases followed by diabetes mellitus & coronary artery disease which were accounting for (13.88%) and (12.17%) of cases.

The most common categories of drugs prescribed were; antibiotics (24.64%) followed by anti-diabetics (12.38%) and analgesics (12.23%). The ideal value of percentage of prescription with antibiotics is less than 30%. In our study percentage prescription of antibiotic was according to ideal value. Similar value was also present in study done by Afroj Abidi et al, 2012\(^{13}\) but its values were
higher in studies done by Binu et al, 2013 (41.98%)\textsuperscript{16} U.A. Chandekkar et al, 2014 (31.8%),\textsuperscript{17} Harmeeet et al, 1998 (42.8%).\textsuperscript{11} Drugs for CVS were prescribed in (11.82 %), followed by drugs for GIT ailments (9.01%). Tonics and antihistaminic were prescribed in 7.98%, 7.23% of cases respectively. Expectorants & bronchodilators were prescribed in 4.27% of cases.

It’s required to reduce the unnecessary use of injectables to prevent HIV and other blood borne infections. Medicines like multivitamins, minerals, enzymes should not be prescribed by physicians unless absolutely required by the patient. It will increases the cost of therapy and increases the chances of drug interactions.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The present study showed the prescribing pattern of antibiotics was according to WHO recommendations while the average number of drugs per prescription was found high. There were small difference in the values of drugs prescribed by generic names, injectable and drugs from NLEM from the recommended values; hence there is a need to improve the standard of prescription. This can be achieved by educating and updating clinicians through CME, seminar by providing them standard treatment guidelines, essential drug list and antibiotic policy.
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