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ABSTRACT

Background: Multi-vessel coronary artery disease (CAD) is a disease stage in which at least two or three of the epicardial coronary arteries is involved with atherosclerosis of significant severity. The multi-vessel disease is often associated with a higher burden of comorbidities, left ventricular dysfunction, and cardiovascular risk. Dyslipidaemia is the commonest cause of the blood vessel diseases and their incidence has been rising all over the world thereby increasing the morbidity and mortality due to cardiovascular diseases. Dyslipidaemia is also one of the component of metabolic syndrome along with another group of cardiovascular risk factors such as high blood pressure (BP), abdominal obesity, and insulin intolerance, whose concurrent appearance increases the risk of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease. To compare the efficacy of atorvastatin and rosuvastatin in the management of hyperlipidaemia. To compare the dose-related efficacy of statins on lipid goal achievement in patients with hyperlipidaemia.

Methods: This prospective, randomized, single-blinded interventional study was conducted for a period of 1 year [2014-1015] in medicine OPD in Rajah Muthiah Medical College and Hospital. A total number of 100 patients with diagnosed multiple vessel blocks were included in this study. Among them, 50 patients were randomized to group A, 50 patients were selected to group B. 50 patients of the group A are treated with Atorvastatin up 10 mg once daily for 8 weeks. 50 patients of group B were treated with Rosuvastatin up to 10mg/day. For 8 weeks the results were analysed accordingly.

Results: Even though both rosuvastatin 10mg/day and atorvastatin 10mg/day produced a reduction in total cholesterol (p<0.001) significantly, rosuvastatin produced a reduction in LDL levels (p<0.001) more significantly than atorvastatin 10mg/day.

Conclusions: Rosuvastatin produces a greater reduction in serum LDL-C levels and should, therefore, be preferred over atorvastatin. Both the treatment regimens significantly decreased TC, TG, LDL-C, VLDL-C, but the reduction was more and statistically significant in Rosuvastatin when compared with atorvastatin-treated group at the end of 8 weeks.
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INTRODUCTION

Patients with diabetes mellitus have a higher risk of cardiovascular mortality than the general population. This increase in risk is believed to be related to accelerated coronary atherosclerosis, a higher frequency of complications of the coronary disease, including myocardial infarction (MI), as well as a higher likelihood of mortality resulting from those complications.1 Although only 5% of the population have diabetes; 13% to 25% of the patients undergoing coronary revascularization procedures have diabetes. Patients with diabetes experience higher perioperative as well as midterm mortality rates compared with nondiabetics undergoing
coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG). However, patients with diabetes have also been shown to have less favorable long-term survival after percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI). Thus, for patients with diabetes requiring revascularization, the choice of procedure has provoked much controversy.\(^2\) The bypass angioplasty revascularization investigation (BARI) trial demonstrated that, for patients with treated diabetes mellitus and multivessel coronary artery disease (MVD) who were candidates for either CABG or PCI, initial CABG was associated with a markedly lower five-year mortality rate relative to initial PCI (19.4% vs. 34.5%, respectively, p = 0.003) This result triggered a national heart, lung and blood institute (NHLBI) clinical alert recommending bypass surgery in this patient group.\(^3\) Dyslipidemia occurs due to a disturbance in the lipid parameters like Total Cholesterol, LDL-C, VLDL, TGs, and HDL-C. Combined or mixed hyperlipidemia (CHL) is a lipid disorder characterized by increased low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), elevated triglycerides (TGs) and decreased high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) which is more common in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. It has been estimated that almost every other adult in the United States has abnormal cholesterol values and every third person has elevated low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) levels.\(^4\) Not only is the treatment of hyperlipidemia associated with improved outcomes in patients with these diseases, but also the lipid-lowering the most powerful intervention in primary prevention. Statins are the first-line therapy for treating high lipid levels. In addition to the numeric reduction in lipid levels, they significantly reduce vascular events and all-cause mortality through their pleiotropic effects.\(^5\) It has already been proved that statins have antioxidant, anti-inflammatory effects and antithrombotic properties that add to their clinical utility. They improve endothelial dysfunction and reduce the growth of atherosclerotic plaque. Available evidence does not strongly suggest a clear clinical benefit of other lipid-lowering agents in such situations. All of the available statins have small differences in terms of pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics and hence clinical efficacy and side effects profile.\(^6\) The treatment of hyperlipidemia in coronary heart disease is of two types.

1. Primary Prevention is to treat Hyperlipidemias in a patient who is prone to develop Coronary Heart Disease.
2. Secondary prevention is to treat patients who already have the Coronary Heart Disease.

The drug treatment of hyperlipidemias includes fabric acid derivatives, cholestyramine Resin, 3-hydroxy 3-methyl glutaryl coenzyme-A (HMG Co-A) reductase inhibitors (statins), estrogens, probucal, fibre, Gugulipid, nicotinic acid, selective estrogen receptor modulators. Nowadays, coronary heart disease is one of the major cause of death and hyperlipidemias are one of the main cause of coronary heart disease. Among all drugs, the statins are widely used in the treatment of hyperlipidemias and to decrease the coronary heart disease. The broad range of significant clinical benefits of statin therapy includes a decrease in major coronary events, coronary revascularization, stroke and transient ischemic attack, death due to CHD and total mortality.\(^7\) Based on this we undertook this study to compare the efficacy of rosuvastatin and atorvastatin. As there are many patients having CHD this study has been undertaken, i.e. the treatment of hyperlipidemia in CHD patients.\(^8\)

**METHODS**

This was a prospective and comparative study, performed in 100 patients attending the cardiology and medicine outpatient department, rajah mutiah medical college and hospital. All patients men and women aged 30-70 Years with a previous history of Acute Myocardial infarction or Unstable angina >3months but <20 months were selected. This study was conducted on patients with lipid levels in the range of Total Cholesterol <240mg/dl; Triglycerides <350mg/dl, LDL-C >100mg/dl were selected for the study. Before starting the study, the Ethical committee approved the protocol. Written and informed consent was obtained from each patient in the local language. Initially, 100 patients were recruited into the study who met inclusion and exclusion criteria. These patients were selected and placed into two groups.

- Group A was given Atorvastatin 10mg once daily.
- Group B was given Rosuvastatin 10mg once daily, during the whole period of the study.

**Inclusion Criteria**

- Adults and geriatrics patients
- Patients who are diagnosed with hyperlipidemia
- Patients who are having an established prescription for hyperlipidemia
- Low Density Lipoprotein-Cholesterol >100mg/dl
- Serum creatinine <1.2mg/dl
- Normal liver function test

**Exclusion criteria**

- Patients with cognitive problems
- Pregnant patients
- Patients with a major disorder of hepatic, GIT or Hemopoietic systems
- Patients who are not willing to participate
- Patients with fluctuating or rapidly deteriorating function

Before starting the study, the risk factors like smoking, hypertension, H/o of unstable angina (USA) and H/o myocardial infarction (MI) were noted for each patient. Among the 100 patients in the study 59 patients had previous MI (30 patients in atorvastatin group and 29 patients in rosuvastatin group), 39 patients had unstable angina (20 patients in atorvastatin group and 19 patients in...
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rosuvastatin group). The laboratory investigations performed initially were Hb%, blood sugar, blood urea, Serum creatinine, serum bilirubin and lipid profile (total cholesterol, triglycerides, LDL-C, HDL-C). The ECG was taken, echocardiography records of the patients done at the time of discharge from the previous hospitalization were checked for ventricular dysfunction. On each visit, compliance to treatment was assessed and possible side effects of treatment were recorded. Serum total cholesterol, TG, HDL-C, and LDL-C after 12 hours fast were rechecked at the end of 8 weeks.

Statistical analysis

Mean±SD values were calculated for each variable. Demographic details were summarized for all subjects using descriptive statistics. Pairwise comparisons within the groups and between the two treatments were tested for statistical significance using the paired and unpaired Student t test respectively. Statistical significance was at P<0.05. All statistical tests were processed using graph pad prism software, Version 5.0

RESULTS

Essential hypertension, was found to be more in group B (47) when compared to group A (43) (Table 1). DM was found to be more number in the group a (48) when compared to group B (46). The congestive cardiac failure rate was found to be very less or rare in both the groups. Unstable angina was found to be 7 in group A group and more 11 in group B.

Table 1: Basic underlying disease components.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Underlying disease</th>
<th>Group A (n=50)</th>
<th>Group B (n=50)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Essential hypertension</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congestive cardiac failure</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unstable angina</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TLC was found to be more in group A (318±39.16) when compared to group B (306±46.44) which was around -39% which is statically significant (Table 2).

Mean triglyceride was found to be more in group A (298.9±14.56) when compared to group B (286.4±11.38) which was around -41% which is statically significant. HDL was found to be more in group B (35.0±±8.15) when compared to group A (35.0±±8.15) which was around +9% which is statically less significant. LDL was found to be more in group A (212.90±35.09) which was around -63% which is statically significant. VLDL was found to be more in group A (49.56±98) when compared to group B (46.99±74) which was around 63%, which is statically significant.

Table 2: Lipid profile levels among the two groups before treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups [n=100]</th>
<th>Mean TLC (mg/dL)</th>
<th>Mean TGL (mg/dL)</th>
<th>Mean HDL (mg/dL)</th>
<th>Mean LDL (mg/dL)</th>
<th>Mean VLDL (mg/dL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atorvastatin (n=50)</td>
<td>318 ± 39.16</td>
<td>298.9 ± 14.56</td>
<td>35.04 ± 8.15</td>
<td>233.6 ± 29.06</td>
<td>49.56±98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosuvastatin (n=50)</td>
<td>306±46.44</td>
<td>286.4±11.38</td>
<td>38.56 ± 4.93</td>
<td>212.90±35.09</td>
<td>46.99±74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of values</td>
<td>-39%</td>
<td>-41%</td>
<td>+9%</td>
<td>-63%</td>
<td>-55%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TLC - Total cholesterol, TGL - Total triglyceride, HDL - High-density lipoprotein, LDL - low-density lipoprotein, VLDL - very low-density lipoprotein

Table 3: Lipid profile levels among the two groups after the 8 weeks treatment of atorvastatin and rosuvastatin.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups [n=100]</th>
<th>Mean TLC (mg/dL)</th>
<th>Mean TGL (mg/dL)</th>
<th>Mean HDL (mg/dL)</th>
<th>Mean LDL (mg/dL)</th>
<th>Mean VLDL (mg/dL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atorvastatin (n=50)</td>
<td>141 ± 4.5</td>
<td>168.3 ± 9.6</td>
<td>43.08 ± 5.15</td>
<td>183.6 ± 23.90</td>
<td>38.46±98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosuvastatin (n=50)</td>
<td>106±3.4</td>
<td>122.4± 9.38</td>
<td>47.67 ± 4.93</td>
<td>163.9±6.09</td>
<td>41.89±74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of values</td>
<td>-53%</td>
<td>-42%</td>
<td>+16%</td>
<td>-54%</td>
<td>-51%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TLC was found to be more in group A (141±4.5) when compared to group B (106±3.4) which was around 53% (Table 3). Group B showed a significant reduction in TLC level. Mean triglyceride was found to be more in group A (168.3±9.6) when compared to group B (122.4±9.38) which was around 41%, which is statically significant. Group B showed a significant reduction in TGL level. HDL was found to be more in group B (47.67±4.93) when compared to group A (43.08±5.15) which was around 16% which is statically less significant. Group B showed a significantly increased value in HDL level. LDL was found to be more in group A (183.6±23.90) when compared to group B (163.9±6.09) which was around 63% which is statically significant. Group B showed a significant reduction in LDL level. VLDL was found to be more in group A (38.46±98) when compared to group B (41.89±74) which was around 51% which is statically increased.
significant. Group B showed a significant reduction in VLDL level.

**DISCUSSION**

This study on Asian patients demonstrated an approximately 10% greater reduction in LDL-C levels with rosvastatin. This superiority of rosvastatin is in keeping with the findings of several other trials done on other racial groups. Notable amongst these is the landmark statin therapies for elevated lipid levels compared across doses to rosvastatin (STELLAR) trial done on 2431 patients comparing rosvastatin with atorvastatin, simvastatin, and pravastatin.8 Across a wide dose range, rosvastatin produced a significantly greater reduction in LDL-C levels as compared to its competitors. Similarly, Millionis et al. demonstrated a greater LDL-C lowering effect of 10mg rosvastatin as compared to that of 20mg atorvastatin in patients with primary hyperlipidemia. Physicians should remain aware of the doses of different statins while applying the results of this present study to clinical practice.10 This is because different statins, with dose adjustment, can be therapeutically equivalent in reducing LDL-C as concluded Wlodarczyk et al, in a meta-analysis. A unique finding of this study is a reduction in HDL-C levels with both statins. Barakat et al, have earlier reported similar phenomenon with rosvastatin, atorvastatin, and pravastatin. This is in contrast to the well-known fact that statins produce the modest elevations in HDL-C levels. Different statins vary in their LDL-C raising ability and the baseline HDL-C and TG levels are a predictor of statin-induced increases in HDL-C.11 One possible explanation for these results is a high frequency of diabetics (75%) enrolled in this study, as diabetes is known to blunt the HDL response to statins. Poor compliance to treatment cannot be a reason since beneficial effects on LDL-C levels have been seen with both statins. New European guidelines published in 2003 recommend a more stringent target (LDL-C <2.5mmol/L) than that used when the present study was planned. Further analysis of the 4-week (fixed-dose) LDL-C data indicated that rosvastatin 10mg treated significantly more patients the new 2003 European goal of <2.5mmol/L than atorvastatin 10mg. As expected, the absolute percentages of patients achieving the more stringent 2003 goal were lower than the absolute percentages achieving the 1998 goal at 4 weeks, but the greater efficacy of rosvastatin 10mg compared with atorvastatin 10mg remained the same.12 As more clinical trial evidence becomes available regarding the positive effects of intensive lipid-lowering among patients with diabetes, it is likely that even more stringent LDL-C goals will be recommended. Indeed, national cholesterol education program adult treatment panel III recommendations were recently reviewed and a target of LDL-C <70mg/dL (1.8mmol/L) was suggested as a therapeutic option for individuals considered to be at very high risk including those with both type 2 diabetes and established cardiovascular disease. Although the statin effect on LDL particle concentration was attenuated in comparison with the effect on LDL cholesterol, the fractional HDL particle concentration response was higher than that of HDL cholesterol.13 ApoA-I levels were only modestly increased (4–6% by RSV and _1 to 2% by ATV), consistent with the known heterogeneity of HDL particles with regard to ApoA-I and lipid content. Thus, the observed treatment effects could reflect an increase in relatively cholesterol-depleted HDL particles.14 Whether this cholesterol depletion is due to higher cholesterol ester transfer protein-mediated reverse cholesterol transport activity or to reduced cholesterol uptake from the periphery uncertain. A recent report described the apparent dysfunction of HDL in patients with the metabolic syndrome thus, any mechanistic hypothesis would not necessarily apply to other patient groups.15 Restoring HDL function may be particularly valuable in these patients, but the utility of statins for this purpose remains to be established. However, these studies also suggest that combination of rosvastatin and atorvastatin was well tolerated and is as safe as therapy with the individual agents used as monotherapy.16 These studies also suggest that data up to 2 years support the safety of this combination. Other treatment strategies for normalizing multiple lipid parameters in patients with mixed dyslipidemia include the addition of nicotinic acid or omega 3-fatty acids to statin therapy. Both strategies have resulted in improvements in lipid parameters other than LDL-C.17

**CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, the present study showed that Rosuvastatin 10mg/dl had better response in lipid-profile regulation except for increasing HDL-C levels in IHD patients than Atorvastatin 10mg/dl. Further study will be needed to determine whether more stringent statin monotherapy or combination treatment, with the goal of further reducing LDL particle concentration, will translate into better outcomes in patients with the metabolic syndrome.
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