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INTRODUCTION

Cognitive dysfunction is the most common neurological disorder encountered in clinical practice affecting memory, learning, perception and problem solving including amnesia, dementia and delirium. It is found to be related to Alzheimer’s disease (AD), epilepsy, depression, schizophrenia and stroke. AD is the fourth leading cause of death worldwide characterized by a decline in cognitive functions that affects an individual’s ability to perform daily activities. This decline occurs because neurons involved in cognitive functions get damaged. The pathophysiology of AD is directly related to the cholinergic loss of neurons beginning in the hippocampal region which is involved in memory and learning and progressing towards the dilatation of ventricles and shrinkage of cortex.

Current treatment is aimed at alleviating the symptoms only failing to target its cure. Augmenting of cholinergic...
transmission is currently the mainstay of therapy. Drugs used for this purpose are cholinesterase inhibitors like Donepezil, Rivastigmine and Galantamine which are being used fervently in mild to moderate disease.5,6 It is proposed that statins play a beneficial role in AD pathology due to its potent cholesterol lowering mechanisms which alter amyloid precursor protein and beta amyloid plaque levels.7,8

Scopolamine being an anticholinergic agent is used fervently in experimental models for memory deficits and has been widely implicated for the screening of anti-dementia agents.9

The use of certain fruits has shown to possess powerful neuroprotective properties. One such being Punica granatum (commonly known as Pomegranate) which apart from helping in conditions like hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, oxidative stress, hyperglycemia and inflammation, it has also shown to suppress SK-N-SH neuronal cells and hence it can be a potential agent in preventing the development and progression of AD.10-12

Keeping this view in mind, present study has been carried out to evaluate the effect of Punica granatum juice and Rosuvastatin against scopolamine induced memory deficit through behavioural paradigms like Passive Avoidance Response and Cook’s Pole Climbing Response.

METHODS

Animals used in the study were Male Wistar rats (Rattus norvegicus) of 150-200gm weight.

Animals were obtained from CPCSEA-certified animal house (CDRI, Lucknow).

The animals were maintained in cages, under a temperature of 25±2°C and 45-55% relative humidity, with a 12-hour light/dark cycle.

They were fed with standard pellet diet and water ad libitum.

Authentication of plant material and preparation of extract13,14

The fruit was purchased from the market and was authenticated at NBRI, Lucknow. Juice from fresh Punica granatum fruit was extracted by squeezing the pulp and was collected in a separate container. The dose of juice extract was taken to be 500mg/kg body and was administered via oral gavage tube.

Rosuvastatin

It was purchased from local market and was pulverized and dissolved in distilled water and administered to experimental animals via oral gavage tube. Dose of Rosuvastatin was taken to be 10mg/kg.15

Standard treatment

Donepezil

It was purchased from local market and was pulverized and dissolved in distilled water and administered to experimental animals via oral gavage tube. The dose of Donepezil was taken as 0.5 mg/kg body weight orally till day 5.16

Induction of amnesia

Scopolamine (3 mg/kg) was purchased from market and was administered intraperitoneally, to study its effect on acquisition in separate groups (n = 6), 30 minutes prior to the trial.17

Experimental protocol

The rats were trained for 1 week prior to the start of the experiment. They were divided into 4 groups of 6 rats each. Total of 24 rats were taken. Behavioural assessment was done in rats at the start of the experiment i.e. at day 0.

The rats were pretreated with the test and standard drugs for 5 days, following which injection Scopolamine 3mg/kg i.p. was administered in all the groups and behavioural assessment on the Cook’s Pole Climbing Response apparatus, Morris Water Maze Response and Passive Avoidance Response Apparatus 30 minutes after the induction of amnesia was carried out.

The groups were divided as follows:

- Group 1: Amnesic control (Scopolamine 3mg/kg, i.p. on day 5+D.W orally by oral gavage tube from day 1 till day 5)
- Group 2: Standard Treatment (Scopolamine 3mg/kg, i.p. on day 5+Donepezil (0.5mg/kg orally by oral gavage tube from day 1 till day 5)
- Group 3: Rosuvastatin (Scopolamine 3mg/kg, i.p. on day 5+Rosuvastatin 10mg/kg orally by oral gavage tube from day 1 till day 5)
- Group 4: Punica granatum Juice (Scopolamine 3mg/kg, i.p. on day 5+ Punica granatum Juice 500mg/kg orally by oral gavage tube from day 1 till day 5).

Behavioral analysis

Behavioral tests were performed to functionally validate cognitive dysfunction amnestic models and to assess treatments.
Following methods to assess hippocampus-dependent memory functions were used:

**Cook’s pole climbing apparatus**

The rats were trained for conditioned avoidance response by using Cook’s Pole Climbing Apparatus. Each rat was allowed to acclimatize and explore the apparatus for 1 minute. The buzzer was then sounded, 5 seconds after switching on the buzzer, mild electric shocks were administered through the stainless steel grid floor. The time taken by the rat to climb the wooden pole in the center known as “escape latency” is recorded. As soon as the rat climbed the pole, both the buzzer and foot-shock were switched off.18,19 Escape latency in seconds was recorded as end point measure.

**Passive avoidance response**

The animals were subjected to a single trial as per Sakurai M. et al, 2008. The response was recorded to examine the long-term memory. The apparatus consisted of a box (27cm x 27cm x 27cm) having three walls of wood and one wall of Plexiglas, featuring a grid floor (made up of 3 mm stainless-steel rods set 8 mm apart), with a wooden platform (10cm x 7cm x 1.7cm) in the center of the grid floor. The box was illuminated with a 15 W bulb during the experimental period. Electric shock was delivered to the grid floor. A wooden platform was placed on the grid floor carrying foot shock (50 Hz, 1.5 mA). Each rat is placed on the wooden platform. The time taken by the rat to step down and place all four paws on the grid floor carrying shock known as “step down latency” in seconds is recorded as end point.20

**Statistical analysis**

The data obtained was tabulated and subjected to descriptive analysis. The different groups were compared using ANOVA (Analysis of variance) followed by Post Hoc Dunnet T3 Test. Values before and after treatment in each group were compared using Paired ‘T’ test. All statistical analysis was done using Graph pad Prism software (version 6.02). p value <0.05 was considered as significant.

**RESULTS**

**Effect of test drugs on escape latency by Cook’s Pole Apparatus**

No significant change was observed on baseline behaviour in terms of escape latency in any of the groups (p=0.262) at day 0 (Table 1).

A statistically significant increase in the escape latency on the 5th day was observed when its values were compared to the baseline behaviour (p=0.0017) i.e., at day 0 in the control group. Whereas no significant difference was observed in the standard treatment group, Rosuvastatin group and PJ at Day 5 from the baseline. A significantly higher escape latency was observed in the control group when compared to the standard treatment group (p<0.0001) at day 5 after administration of inj scopolamine whereas, the rest of the groups (Standard treatment group, Rosuvastatin group and PJ group) showed comparable results with the standard treatment group i.e. Donepezil. On comparison with the control group, a statistically significant improvement in the mean escape latencies in all the groups was observed (p<0.0001) (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Day 0</th>
<th>Day 5</th>
<th>Paired T test: p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean (sec) 95% CI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.245-5.355</td>
<td>11.67*** 8.957-14.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STD treatment</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>2.741-8.459</td>
<td>5.833# 4.026-7.641</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosuvastatin</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>3.132-10.07</td>
<td>8.333# 6.269-10.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG juice</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>6.161-8.239</td>
<td>4.5# 2.908-6.092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anova F value</td>
<td>1.425</td>
<td>14.33</td>
<td>0.262 &lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Effect of test drugs on step down latency by Passive Avoidance Response**

There was no significant difference in the baseline behaviour of rats at day 0 in terms of mean step down latency in any of the groups (p=0.6704) (Table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Day 0</th>
<th>Day 5</th>
<th>Paired T test: p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean (sec) 95% CI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.7611-2.839</td>
<td>1.167** -0.2282-2.562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STD treatment</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>1.236-7.164</td>
<td>16# + 6.133-25.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosuvastatin</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>3.18-8.02</td>
<td>12# + 8.365-15.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG juice</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>2.184-5.016</td>
<td>19.17# + 17.02-21.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anova F value</td>
<td>0.5949</td>
<td>15.26</td>
<td>0.6704 &lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1: Effect of test drugs on escape latency by Cook’s Pole Apparatus at day 5.**

**Table 2: Effect of test drugs on step down latency by Passive Avoidance Response at day 5.**
An improvement in memory, cognition and behaviour was seen in pretreated groups (Standard treatment, Rosuvastatin and PJ groups) at day 5 depicted by a significant increase in step down latency time from the baseline at day 0. (p=0.0167, 0.0046, <0.0001, respectively) (Table 2).

All the groups showed a statistically significant increase in the step down latencies as compared to the Control group at day 5 (p<0.0001). The Control group showed significant reduction in the mean step down latency in comparison to the standard treatment group (p<0.0001) while the rest of the groups Rosuvastatin and PJ showed comparable results with the latter. Mean baseline values of step down latencies at day 0 did not vary significantly amongst different groups (p=0.6704) (Table 2).

DISCUSSION

Present study was done to discern the effects of the test substances Punica granatum juice and Rosuvastatin in memory deficits associated with dementia in an experimental model of Alzheimer’s disease in comparison to its standard treatment i.e. Donepezil.

Administration of Scopolamine at day 5 in groups pretreated with Standard treatment, Rosuvastatin, PJ and vehicle led to attenuation of memory function, learning skills and behaviour in comparison to the baseline parameters at day 0. Scopolamine is capable of inducing various behavioural changes in several animal species due to its anticholinergic effect leading to the depletion of acetylcholine neurotransmitter.17,19

In our study the Rosuvastatin group showed a considerable neuroprotection in response to Cook’s Pole Climbing Response apparatus and Passive Avoidance Response apparatus compared to the Control group but the improvement in dementia was not as pronounced as the Standard treatment group. The rats pretreated with Rosuvastatin showed amelioration of memory functions at day 5 when amnesia was induced by Scopolamine. A possible mechanism which is through the inhibition of HMG-CoA reductase, statins ultimately prevent the endogenous production of cholesterol. Several evidences from cell culture and in-vivo animal studies state that cholesterol can inhibit β amyloid synthesis.21

Treatment with Punica granatum juice showed a neuroprotective effect in Scopolamine induced amnesia as well as in High Fat Diet induced model of Alzheimer’s disease. It led to the amelioration of memory function and behaviour in the Punica granatum pretreated groups in Scopolamine induced amnesia at day 5. It has been reported that the neuroprotective effects of many polyphenols present in Punica granatum fruit has ability to permeate brain barrier and directly scavenge pathological concentration of reactive oxygen and nitrogen species and chelate transition metal ions, hence, aiding in neuroprotection.22

In our study Donepezil showed statistically significant improvement in cognition and memory in experimental models. Neurotransmitter enhancement therapy with ChEIs (cholinesterase inhibitors) is a treatment approach for patients with mild to moderate AD. The treatment is aimed to improve the cognitive outcome of the disease such as memory loss, clinical global impression, activities of daily living and behaviour.23,24

A substantial neuroprotection offered by Punica granatum juice and Rosuvastatin in combattting memory loss and cognitive dysfunction induced by scopolamine has been recorded in our research. To the best of our knowledge this effect has not been reported earlier and this is the first report of amelioration by Punica granatum and Rosuvastatin in Scopolamine induced amnesia model of Alzheimer’s disease as compared to the standard treatment i.e. Donepezil.

CONCLUSION

Hence, we conclude that Punica granatum has a remarkable protective role in memory function, learning, cognition and behaviour in Scopolamine induced amnesia model of Alzheimer’s disease which was better than the Rosuvastatin treatment.

Longer, more specific and dose responsive animal and human studies are required to further strengthen our present conclusion and to unveil the concerned mechanisms of actions of Punica granatum and Rosuvastatin in either halting the disease progress or preventing it.
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