A comparative prospective study to assess the clinical efficacy and safety of pantoprazole monotherapy versus pantoprazole and itopride dual therapy in patients with gastroesophageal reflux disease in a tertiary care hospital
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ABSTRACT

Background: Gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) is a common chronic, relapsing condition that carries a risk of significant morbidity and potential mortality from resultant complications. Proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) remained as the mainstay in the therapy of GERD but they do not have any role in increasing the tone of lower esophageal sphincter which is the main pathophysiology of GERD. In this regard addition of prokinetic agent like Itopride may be beneficial in improving the symptoms associated with GERD. So the present study has been taken to compare the healing rates of esophagitis and reduction in symptom scores associated with GERD between Pantoprazole monotherapy and Pantoprazole plus Itopride combination.

Methods: 100 patients diagnosed with GERD were randomly assigned into two groups, Group A and Group B. Group A received tablet Pantoprazole 40 mg twice daily alone and group B received tablet Pantoprazole 40 mg twice daily and tablet Itopride 50 mg thrice daily 30 minutes before food for 4 weeks. The patients were followed up at the end of 4 weeks and were given the questionnaire to assess the FSSG scores. Endoscopy and FSSG scores were recorded and then the percentage of responders in both groups was compared.

Results: Endoscopic evidence of healing of esophagitis was similar in both the groups, 72% in Group A and 74% in Group B. The symptom relief was significantly more in Pantoprazole plus Itopride group 74.5% (4.2±1.6) than Pantoprazole alone 62.5% (6.4±1.1) after 4 weeks (p < 0.001). The occurrence of side effects was less in Group B compared to Group A (22% vs 30%, p = 0.172).

Conclusions: Pantoprazole and combination of Pantoprazole plus Itopride provide more effective endoscopic healing of esophagitis. Pantoprazole and Itopride combination is more efficacious in ameliorating the symptoms of GERD than Pantoprazole alone.
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INTRODUCTION

Gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) is a condition characterized by reflux of acid gastric contents into the esophagus, with attendant inflammation, irritation and often with erosive damage to the esophageal mucosa.¹ The prevalence of GERD ranges from 10% to 30% in the western population and 18.7% in Indian population.²³

Although most cases follow a relatively benign course, GERD in some individuals can cause severe erosive esophagitis and serious sequelae including stricture formation and Barrett's metaplasia (replacement of squamous by intestinal columnar epithelium), which in turn, is associated with a small but significant risk of adenocarcinoma⁴.

Pathogenesis of GERD is lower esophageal sphincter (LES) dysfunction, abnormal clearing capacity of refluxed materials, delayed gastric emptying and abnormal resistance of esophageal mucosa to gastric acid, but the primary motor dysfunction is regarded as the most important factor in general⁵.

The most common presentation of patients with GERD includes a long-standing history of heartburn and a
shorter history of regurgitation. Heartburn, when typical, is a very reliable symptom. Heartburn is confined to the epigastric and retrosternal areas. Somewhat less common is dysphagia and chest pain. Sometimes these symptoms are disabling and require treatment.

The goals of GERD therapy are complete resolution of symptoms and healing of esophagitis. Proton pump inhibitors clearly are more effective than H2-receptor antagonists in achieving these goals.7 Healing rates after 4 weeks and 8 weeks of therapy with proton pump inhibitors are approximately 80% and 90% respectively, while the corresponding healing rates with H2-receptor antagonists are 50% and 75% respectively.7

Proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) have been accepted as the first line treatment of GERD because of greater efficacy and faster healing rate.8 PPIs like Pantoprazole cause decrease in acid production and have high healing rates and rates of resolution of reflux symptoms at 4 weeks, but they do not help to improve underlying disturbance in gut motility or improve the tone of cardiac sphincter.9

Itopride, a novel gastro prokinetic agent stimulates gastrointestinal motor activity through dual mode of action, acting as dopamine D2 receptor antagonist and cholinesterase inhibitor. It has an antiemetic action, accelerates gastric emptying and modulates gastric sensorimotor function.10

The combination is synergistic by decreasing acid production as well as increasing lower esophageal sphincter tone and esophageal clearance, thus providing a better therapeutic response.

In view of problems with tolerability with other prokinetic drugs, also there is paucity of literature with combination of Pantoprazole and Itopride in GERD, the present study has been taken up.

METHODS

This was a prospective, comparative, randomized study. It was conducted on outpatients attending the department of surgical gastroenterology, Victoria hospital, Bangalore. The present study was undertaken after approval from institutional ethics committee.

100 patients of either sex aged between 18-60 years, more than one upper dyspeptic symptoms such as regurgitation, epigastric pain, nausea, vomiting, dysphagia, chest pain lasting for more than 4 weeks, frequency scale for the symptoms of GERD (FSSG) score >8, grade I-III esophagitis by modified Savary-Miller classification by endoscopic examination were included in the study after taking written informed consent from the patients.

Exclusion criteria were corrosive esophagitis by a toxicant, esophagitis due to inflammatory, infection or radiotherapy, regular use of H2 blockers, prokinetic or anticholinergic agents for previous 4 weeks, previous gastrointestinal surgery, inflammatory bowel disease, cardiological, respiratory, gastrointestinal disease, endocrine metabolic disease and neuro-psychological disease, clinically significant hepatic or renal dysfunction, pregnant and lactating women.

The patients were randomly assigned into two treatment groups.

- Group A: received tablet Pantoprazole 40 mg twice daily alone 30 minutes before food for 4 weeks.
- Group B: received tablet Pantoprazole 40 mg twice daily and tablet Itopride 50 mg thrice daily 30 minutes before food for 4 weeks.

Both the groups were also advised to avoid alcohol and smoking during the study period. Patients were advised to come for follow up after 4 weeks, endoscopy and FSSG scores were again recorded and those patients who showed Improvement in FSSG scores and endoscopic healing of esophagitis were considered responders and then the percentage of responders in both groups were compared.

Efficacy parameters were: improvement in FSSG scores and endoscopic healing of esophagitis (completely cured- any grade of esophagitis improving to grade 0, partially cured- improving at least one grade lower from baseline, not cured- remaining at the same grade as baseline).

Safety parameters were: occurrence of adverse drug reactions after treatment, changes in laboratory tests.

Statistical analysis

Parametric variables were analysed using student t test and z test. Non-parametric variables were analysed using Fischer exact test and Chi- square test.

RESULTS

The present study was done at Victoria hospital, department of surgical gastroenterology, Bangalore medical college and research institute revealed the following results. Totally 100 patients suffering from GERD were evaluated for efficacy and safety. All the 100 patients completed the study and there were no drop outs.

In the present study both the treatment groups matched with respect to age, gender, diet, habits, co-morbid conditions and area of distribution.

At the end of 4 weeks of treatment 73 cases (36 cases in Group A and 37 in the Group B) were cured. 18 patients (9 in each group) showed partial healing. 9 patients (5 in Group A and 4 in Group B) did not show any improvement in healing of esophagitis as shown in Figure1. Although the healing of esophagitis is similar in
both the treatment groups but there is no statistical significance with the p =0.639.

There was no change in laboratory parameters in both the groups at 4th week compared to baseline as presented in Table 4.

Table 4: Comparison of laboratory parameters in two groups of patients studied.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Laboratory parameters</th>
<th>Group A</th>
<th>Group B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hb (mg/dl)</td>
<td>12.19±1.54</td>
<td>12.15±1.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End of study</td>
<td>12.32±1.34</td>
<td>12.28±1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Random blood sugar (mg/dl)</td>
<td>101.46±7.91</td>
<td>91.80±15.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End of study</td>
<td>100.84±9.66</td>
<td>91.26±16.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood urea (mg/dl)</td>
<td>26.90±5.04</td>
<td>26.96±5.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End of study</td>
<td>26.86±5.22</td>
<td>26.28±4.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum creatinine</td>
<td>0.84±0.16</td>
<td>0.56±0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End of study</td>
<td>0.80±0.13</td>
<td>0.62±0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGOT (in IU)</td>
<td>26.70±5.14</td>
<td>26.48±5.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End of study</td>
<td>25.60±4.59</td>
<td>25.80±4.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGPT(in IU)</td>
<td>25.42±6.24</td>
<td>25.14±6.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End of study</td>
<td>24.56±5.63</td>
<td>24.10±5.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

Gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), a common disorder with troublesome symptoms caused by reflux of gastric contents into the esophagus and its prevalence is increasing worldwide.

It usually manifests as heartburn, regurgitation, or dysphagia, and predisposes to development of esophagitis, stricture, Barrett’s metaplasia, and a substantial decreased in the quality of life. GERD is a risk factor for the development of esophageal adenocarcinoma, further increasing the importance of its diagnosis and treatment.

A variety of medications have been used in GERD treatment, and acid suppression therapy is the mainstay of treatment for GERD. Although proton pump inhibitor is the most potent acid suppressant and provides good efficacy in esophagitis healing and symptom relief, about one-third of patients with GERD still have persistent symptoms with poor response to standard dose of PPI. Antacids, alginate, histamine type-2 receptor antagonists, and prokinetic agents are usually used as add-on therapy to PPI in clinical practice.

Development of novel therapeutic agents has focused on the underlying mechanisms of GERD, such as transient lower esophageal sphincter relaxation, motililty disorder, mucosal protection, and esophageal hypersensitivity.
In this regard addition of a prokinetic agent like Itopride along with PPI like pantoprazole, results in complete resolution of dyspeptic symptoms and improvement in the quality of life.

In the present study endoscopic healing of esophagitis was seen equally in both the groups, Group A 72% (36/50), and 74% (37/50) in Group B, p= 0.639. Overall 73% of the patients were completely cured while 18% patients had partial healing in both the treatment groups.

This result was similar to the comparative study by Singhal et al who reported complete curing rate of 72.34% while the partial curing rate of 20.21% with Pantoprazole and domperidone. Another study by Madan et al had showed the healing rates of 70.5% with pantoprazole and mosapride when compared to pantoprazole alone.

Pantoprazole alone and combination of Pantoprazole with Itopride showed a significant reduction in symptom score independently. The symptom relief was significantly more in Pantoprazole plus Itopride group 74.5% (4.2±1.6) than Pantoprazole alone 62.5% (6.4±1.1) after 4 weeks (p <0.001). This was similar to the pilot study by Krishnakant et al who reported the symptom relief was 74% with Pantoprazole plus Itopride and 70% when compared to pantoprazole alone.

A study by Kim YS et al showed that Itopride 100 mg three times a day improved GERD symptoms and decreased esophageal acid exposure. Another study, by Vigneri et al showed the response rate in the combination group of omeprazole and cisapride was higher than in patients who received omeprazole alone. There was symptomatic improvement after adding itopride with pantoprazole, this improvement did not match the endoscopic healing. This is not surprising as it is well known that endoscopic healing does not correlate with symptomatic improvement as per the study done by Robinson et al.

Addition of a prokinetic agent like Itopride D2 antagonist with anticholinesterase activity causes significant improvement of pathogenic mechanism of GERD such as gastrointestinal motility disorder, incompetent LES relaxation, impaired esophageal acid clearance, and prolonged gastric emptying which in turn results in the symptomatic improvement in patients of GERD.

26% patients experienced side effects, 30% in Group A and 22% in Group B. Diarrhoea (4%), abdominal pain (12%) and headache (7%) were the common side effects seen in both the groups and are of mild severity. Incidence of abdominal pain, headache and diarrhoea were the most common side effect in the Group A, whereas abdominal pain was seen more frequently in the Group B. But there is no statistically significant difference seen between both the groups (p =0.495).

In a study conducted by Bochenek et al has reported the incidence of adverse effects 11% headache, 7% diarrhoea with pantoprazole. Another study by Vigneri et al had reported the adverse effects like diarrhoea, abdominal cramps, flatulence with the Pantoprazole and Mosapride and they were of mild type and most of them disappeared spontaneously.

**CONCLUSION**

The present study demonstrated that both Pantoprazole and combination Pantoprazole plus Itopride provide more effective healing of esophagitis as evidenced by the endoscopic examination before and after treatment. In terms of efficacy the combination of Pantoprazole and Itopride is more efficacious in ameliorating the symptoms of GERD as evidenced by the significant reduction in the FSSG symptom score than Pantoprazole alone at the end of 4 weeks of treatment.
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